

This is a repository copy of *A large handaxe from Wadi Dabsa and early hominin adaptations within the Arabian Peninsula*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/113622/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Foulds, Frederick, Shuttleworth, Andrew, Sinclair, Anthony et al. (4 more authors) (2017) A large handaxe from Wadi Dabsa and early hominin adaptations within the Arabian Peninsula. *Antiquity*. pp. 1421-1434. ISSN 0003-598X

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 The Recent Discovery of a Palaeolithic site at Wadi Dabsa, Saudi Arabia:
2 Implications for Hominin Adaptations in the Arabian Peninsula.

3

4 F.W.F. Foulds¹, A. Shuttleworth², A. Sinclair³, A.M. Alsharekh⁴, S. Al Ghamdi⁵, R.H.
5 Inglis⁶ and G.N. Bailey⁶

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 Introduction

¹ Independent Researcher. Email: frederick.foulds@googlemail.com

² Department of Anthropology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom. Email: andrew.shuttleworth@durham.ac.uk

³ Department of Archaeology, Classics and Egyptology, University of Liverpool, 12-14 Abercromby Square, L69 7WZ, United Kingdom. Email: A.G.M.Sinclair@liverpool.ac.uk

⁴ Department of Archaeology, King Saud University, P.O Box 2627, Riyadh 12372, Saudi Arabia. Email: asharekh@ksu.edu.sa

⁵ Qatar Museums Authority, QM Tower, P.O. Box 2777, Doha – State of Qatar. Email: salghamdi@qm.org.qa

⁶ Department of Archaeology, University of York, The King's Manor, York, YO1 7EP, United Kingdom. Email: geoff.bailey@york.ac.uk

1 Acheulean bifacial tools are considered one of the greatest enigmas of the Early Stone
2 Age (ESA) of Africa and the Lower Palaeolithic of Europe (Wymer 1982: 102). They
3 appear in the archaeological record from 1.76 million years ago (Asfaw et al. 1992;
4 Lepre et al. 2011; Quade et al. 2004) and persist for over one million years, presenting
5 an extensive period of technological stasis associated with a variety of hominin
6 species, landscapes, and environments. Wrapped within this technological enigma are
7 those overly large handaxes, whose excesses in both size and weight have confounded
8 archaeologists as to their exact nature and use (Wynn, 1995). Here we present the
9 recently discovered Palaeolithic site of Wadi Dabsa, Saudi Arabia and the recovery of
10 a large Acheulean handaxe from this location. The rich lithic assemblage from Wadi
11 Dabsa not only yields evidence of how hominin populations may have adapted to
12 varied landscapes and conditions during their dispersals out of Africa, but also
13 provides insight into how such large bifacial tools may have been used.

14

15 There is clear evidence that the Arabian Peninsula played host to Acheulean hominins
16 throughout the Early to Middle Pleistocene (c. 2 Mya–200 kya) (Petraglia, 2003;
17 Petraglia & Rose 2009 and references therein; Groucutt & Petraglia, 2012), and that
18 these hominins occupied landscapes and environments close to water and raw
19 material sources in the interior (Petraglia et al., 2009; Groucutt & Petraglia, 2012),
20 and coastal regions of the Peninsula (Field & Lahr, 2005; Bailey et al. 2007, 2015). It
21 is not clear whether a land bridge would have existed across the southern end of the
22 Red Sea at low sea-level stands during the Pliocene or Early Pleistocene. The long-
23 term rotation of the Arabian Plate away from Africa might imply progressive
24 widening of the sea channel and possible closure by extrapolation to an early stage in
25 this process. However, accommodation of plate motions by crustal deformation
26 mainly occurs in the Afar depression and along the Arabian escarpment rather than in
27 the area of the Red Sea Channel, and there are too many uncertainties about the
28 topographic impact of tectonic and volcanic activity at this early period to be certain.
29 At any rate, by the Middle Pleistocene, and certainly from about half a million years
30 ago, it is clear from the analysis of isotopic composition in deep sea cores and from
31 tectonic modelling of palaeocoastlines that a narrow and shallow sea connection to
32 the Indian Ocean would have persisted for long periods during lower sea levels in the
33 Hanish Sill region. This would have afforded the possibility of sea crossings of no
34 more than 4 km, and a very extensive area of potentially attractive lowland coastal

1 territory would also have been exposed on both sides of this channel (Siddall et al,
2 2003, Bailey 2009, Lambeck et al. 2011; Rohling et al. 2013; Bailey et al. 2015).

3

4 The significance of Arabia in the dispersal and evolution of hominins out of Africa is,
5 however, much debated due to the lack of chronological certainty for many of its
6 prehistoric sites; and though the use of the 'Southern Dispersal Route' during the
7 Pleistocene is plausible, the lack of significant genetic input from this region within
8 modern populations suggests that these migrations involved small populations
9 (Cabrera et al., 2009). Regardless of their size, these groups would have migrated
10 into, and along, what are now the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden coastlines. These
11 regions, in particular those along the southern Red Sea coast with their added
12 increment of territory made available at lower sea levels, would have presented
13 hominins with a productive landscape of fauna, water and raw material sources
14 comparable to those already experienced in the Horn of Africa, they would also have
15 acted as refugia during periods of hyper-aridity when the Arabian interior would have
16 become uninhabitable (Petraglia & Rose, 2009 and references therein; Winder et al.
17 2015).

18

19 The DISPERSE Project and Wadi Dabsa

20 The DISPERSE Project is concerned with the impact of sea level change and active
21 tectonics on the early landscapes of human evolution and hominin dispersal within
22 Africa and beyond (Bailey et al., 2012, 2015; Devès et al. 2014; Inglis et al. 2014,
23 Kübler et al., 2016). Work has concentrated in particular on the southern Red Sea and
24 the South-west Arabian escarpment, on reconstruction of prehistoric landscapes on
25 land and under water, and on survey and investigation of Palaeolithic sites and later
26 coastal middens in their landscape setting. This regional focus is informed by the
27 hypothesis that South-west Arabia was an early centre of hominin settlement and a
28 primary stepping-stone for range expansion out of Africa due to the similar tectonic
29 and volcanic processes that have been shown to be advantageous in the earliest
30 centres of human evolution in the East African Rift, proximity and accessibility to the
31 Rift across a narrow sea crossing for long periods of the Pleistocene, and relatively
32 beneficial climatic conditions and ecological diversity (King & Bailey 2006; Bailey et
33 al. 2007, 2011, 2015; Reynolds et al. 2011; Winder et al. 2013, 2015).

34

1 The Harrat Al Birk is an extensive series of basaltic flows associated with numerous
2 cinder cones that extend along the present-day coastline for ~100km and stretch
3 inland for ~30km, where they meet the basement rocks of the foothills of the Western
4 Arabian Escarpment (Dabbagh et al. 1984; Prinz 1984). Wadi Dabsa, at present a
5 seasonally flowing watercourse, drains the western edge of the harrat, running for
6 ~7km to the sea (**FIGURE 1** and **FIGURE 2**). In its upper reaches, the wadi flows
7 through a small basin within the basalt, the base of which has been covered by tufa
8 deposition, around 2km² in total. The tufa was deposited during a past period of
9 consistent flow of carbonate-rich water, possibly fed by a number of small tributaries
10 draining the surrounding slopes, forming a series of dams and pools (Inglis et al.,
11 2015). The tufa formation suggests perennial water flow, and, given the limited
12 catchment of the basin, may be linked to past spring activity rather than runoff. No
13 matter the source, the presence of large volumes of water would have made the
14 locality particularly attractive to hominins in the past, something that is attested to by
15 the extraordinary accumulation of archaeological material recovered during survey of
16 the area.

17
18 **Figure 1 and Figure 2 to be placed somewhere around here**

19
20 Survey of the basin resulted in the surface collection of artefacts along a number of
21 transects across the tufa and surrounding basalt. Intensive survey using 5x5m grid
22 squares was also carried out at site L0106, where a dense lithic scatter was discovered
23 extending over about 100 m² of the tufa surface, near to an area where the basalt
24 outcrops through the tufa. Over 900 artefacts were collected from the survey area
25 across a 40x50m area, representing approximately a quarter of the area of this scatter.
26 In total, 1002 lithic artefacts were recovered from within the Wadi Dabsa basin area,
27 including the surrounding basalt outcrops as well as the tufa. These display
28 predominantly Early Stone Age/Lower Palaeolithic and Middle Stone Age/Middle
29 Palaeolithic affinities, although several Later Stone Age artefacts produced
30 exclusively on quartz were also found along the southern edge. The assemblage
31 primarily consists of flake debitage, but also includes a large number of cores and
32 several retouched tools (**TABLE 1**). Wadi Dabsa is the most productive location
33 found thus far. Here we provide an initial analysis of the Acheulean material and its
34 importance for elucidating early hominin landscape use within the Arabian Peninsula.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Table 1 to be placed somewhere around here

The Acheulean assemblage of Wadi Dabsa
A number of cores and retouched tools within the assemblage are typical of the
Acheulean including discoidal and simple flake cores with episodes of parallel
working, as well as bifaces and large cutting tools. Nineteen of the artefacts can be
classified as handaxes, cleavers, or fragments thereof. Most of these tools were
produced on large flakes, sourced either by deliberate flaking from large cores, or by
selection of local, naturally produced exfoliation flakes. This method of production
shares close similarities to other Acheulean sites within the Arabian Peninsula
(Petraglia et al. 2010; Shipton et al. 2014), although the majority of the tools evidence
an intense focus on reduction of the tip rather than the butt. High quality basalts,
almost certainly sourced from the surrounding lava fields, appear to be the
predominant raw material of choice, with andesite used in much lower quantities. The
local basalt from the lava fields, however, appears to vary in their porosity and
density, with finer grained materials to the north and poorer quality material along the
southern edge (Inglis et al. 2015). The predominance of higher quality raw materials
within the assemblage, therefore, appears to indicate a careful selection for the better
materials available on the part of the hominins present at the site.

Figure 3 to be placed somewhere around here

Within the assemblage, however, a single large bifacially worked tool stands out as
anomalous (**FIGURE 3**). This was recovered during surface collection along a 250m
transect at L0107, stretching from the north-western edge of the tufa to the top of a
basalt jebel that overlooks the basin and wadi. It is 266mm long, weighs 3598g, and
was produced from either a very large basalt flake or, more likely, a natural
exfoliation flake. On the basis of its size, it was originally interpreted as a large,
abandoned roughout or core. Its appearance shares affinities with Victoria West cores
(Sharon 2007, 2009; Sharon and Beaumont 2006), as well as with examples of cores
developed on bifacial tools (DeBono & Goren-Inbar 2001), albeit of a much larger
size. However, limited preparation of the ventral surface and a lack of any additional
examples from the site preclude this interpretation. Furthermore, the large scar on the

1 ventral surface appears to be a natural exfoliation surface, rather than an intentional
2 removal. Evidence of bifacial retouch on the upper two thirds using a heavy, hard
3 hammer, as well as extensive working of the tip, probably using a smaller hard
4 hammer, indicate the imposition of a working edge. This suggests that the artefact
5 should be considered as a finished tool, as opposed to an abandoned roughout,
6 especially given that the pattern of reduction is closely comparable to similar
7 examples of tip preparation seen on other bifaces recovered from the site.

8
9 **Table 2 to be placed somewhere around here**

10
11 Metrical analysis of large cutting tools (e.g. Sharon 2007) indicates that the large
12 biface from Wadi Dabsa is well above average in terms of its size, even if it is not the
13 largest currently known. A number of bifaces measuring at least 250mm have been
14 found in both Europe and Africa, most notably those from Cuxton (Wenban-Smith
15 2004), Olorgesailie (Issac 1977, 134), Olduvai Gorge, site FLK (Roe 1994: 207),
16 Isimila (Cole et al. 2016) and the Furze Platt giant (MacRae 1987), all of which
17 provide examples surpassing 300mm. A comparison of the Wadi Dabsa handaxe with
18 several of these known large handaxes is provided in **TABLE 2**, demonstrating that
19 this new example fits well within the range of these previously collected artefacts,
20 though it is generally broader and thicker than most. Whilst the size of the large
21 handaxe from Wadi Dabsa is comparable to others, it is rare that such tools approach
22 weights of 3000g or more, with only a few known examples from Africa (Kelley
23 1959; Petraglia & Shipton 2009; Sharon 2007). The excessive weight of the example
24 from Wadi Dabsa would certainly have made it difficult to wield in the hand, begging
25 the question of how this tool may have been used and for what purpose.

26
27 Discussion

28 In the context of the wider Acheulean occupation of the Arabian Peninsula, Wadi
29 Dabsa is comparable to sites such as Wadi Fatima and Dawādmi to the north
30 (Jennings et al. 2015; Petraglia et al. 2009), and those recently discovered in the
31 Nefud Desert (Shipton et al. 2014). In addition, it can be added to the wider evidence
32 for the Acheulean occupation of the Red Sea region produced by the DISPERSE
33 project (Inglis et al. 2013, 2014, 2015) and previous studies (Zarins et al 1980, 1981).
34 The location of Wadi Dabsa at the confluence of several tributaries and the potential

1 presence of a larger body of water conforms to the expectation that Acheulean sites
2 are associated with water sources (Potts et al. 1999, Shipton, 2011). This is
3 unsurprising, given that hominin ranges would have been constrained by access to
4 fresh water (Hardaker 2011). The surrounding basalt jebels would have provided
5 expansive views of the surrounding landscape extending as far as the Red Sea
6 coastline (**FIGURE 4**), which are equivalent to viewsheds reported for Wadi Fatima
7 and Dawādmī (Petraglia et al. 2009).

8
9 **Figure 4 to be placed somewhere around here**

10
11 The presence of large cutting tools produced on large flakes, such as handaxes, also
12 conforms to what has been described for other Acheulean assemblages within Arabia.
13 These cutting tools were produced using the abundant local raw materials, although
14 Wadi Dabsa displays clear evidence for the preferential selection of good quality raw
15 materials, specifically basalt clasts sourced to the north of the basin, which display a
16 more cohesive cryptocrystalline structure compared to that available along the
17 southern edge. This provides some evidence for a clear appreciation for the
18 conchoidal fracture properties of the lithic materials by local hominins living within
19 the region. The presence of a Large Flake Acheulean at Wadi Dabsa close to other
20 Near and Middle Eastern sites which have been linked to similar knapping strategies
21 seen at, for example, Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, suggests that these represent a new wave
22 of Acheulean-using hominins dispersing from Africa (Martínez-Navarro &
23 Rabinovich, 2011). If this is the case, then Wadi Dabsa has the potential to expand
24 this hypothesis to include the Arabian Peninsula.

25
26 **Table 3 to be placed somewhere around here**

27
28 The size and weight of the Wadi Dabsa handaxes fall within the range of variation
29 generally recorded for the Acheulean (**TABLE 3**). In terms of shape, however, the
30 handaxes found at Wadi Dabsa, including the large handaxe described above, show
31 clear and repeated focus in manufacture for a preferential reduction and finishing of
32 the tip, leaving the butt minimally worked suggesting an active selection of a
33 particular handaxe form. Variability in biface shape has long been a central topic
34 within Lower Palaeolithic research. It has been suggested that that variation in the

1 shape of bifaces can often be explained by the need to establish and preserve a sharp,
2 cutting edge (Lycett 2008). However, a suite of factors continues to be acknowledged
3 as influencing handaxe shape, including raw material selection, social pressures, and
4 the individual (e.g. Ashton & McNabb 1994; Callow 1994; Gamble 1997; White
5 1998; Kohn & Mithen 1999; Spikins 2012; Foulds 2014). In the case of the bifaces
6 from Wadi Dabsa, as well as the lithic artefacts from other sites examined as part of
7 the DISPERSE project, an emphasis on the creation of a good working edge is
8 notable. It remains to be seen whether this pattern of reduction in handaxes is due to
9 functional requirements, raw material affordance or the cultural transmission of
10 specific methods of lithic manufacture in general.

11
12 The large handaxe presented here currently represents a unique find within the
13 Arabian Peninsula and is the largest handaxe from this region that is currently known
14 to the authors. It falls within the range of variation seen amongst other examples of
15 overly large tools, despite its excessive weight. The occurrence of only a single large
16 biface at Wadi Dabsa, however, is more in keeping with the context in which such
17 bifaces have been discovered in Europe, where they are generally found as single
18 occurrences. However, the fact that large handaxes are generally found in isolation
19 may present a false indication of their individuality. It is clear from African sites,
20 where such large tools are found in an assemblage context, e.g. Olduvai (Roe 1994)
21 and Isimila (Cole et al. 2016), then multiple, similar examples can occur. This may
22 also be the case at Cuxton, where at least four handaxes over 200 mm in length were
23 recovered by Tester (Cole 2011; Shaw and White 2003), which compliment the two
24 large bifaces found during excavation by Wenban-Smith (2004).

25
26 The key question regarding the large handaxe is why it was produced. Several
27 hypotheses concerning the function of large bifaces have been put forward, including
28 their use as digging tools (Wymer, 1983, 103), expressions of knapping skill (Wymer,
29 1968, 225), and as artefacts incorporated into some form of social display (Kohn &
30 Mithen, 1999). None of these theories has been conclusively proven. The large
31 handaxe from Wadi Dabsa does not appear to represent the work of a highly skilled
32 knapper wishing to demonstrate the extent of their abilities, whereas those used to
33 support this hypothesis tend to be exquisitely worked (Wenban-Smith 2004). Prime
34 examples are the biface from Furze Platt and the ficron and cleaver from Cuxton,

1 which exhibit careful and controlled knapping to create a relatively well-thinned and
2 symmetrical edge.

3
4 The excessive size and weight of the Wadi Dabsa biface leads us to believe that it was
5 too large and unwieldy to be used in the hand, an observation that has been made of
6 similar large tools by others (Wymer 1968, 1982; Roe 1981). By the same token, it is
7 also unlikely that it was made with the intention of someone carrying it from site to
8 site. This might suggest that either its use as a hand held butchery tool, as is often
9 suggested for handaxes, was unlikely, or alternatively that our impressions of size and
10 weight are significantly different to those of hominins who made them (Wenban-
11 Smith 2004). There is the potential that it could be a large, bifacial core. However, as
12 discussed above, the lack of additional examples and limited preparation appear to
13 preclude this hypothesis. Moreover, given the lack of extensive reduction used in the
14 creation of the large handaxe from Wadi Dabsa, as well as its dimensions and
15 conformity in shape and working to other handaxes within the assemblage, most
16 notably in the intense reduction of the tip to create a cutting edge, it seems reasonable
17 to suggest that it was made for a clear utilitarian purpose. It might perhaps have been
18 employed as a static tool with hominins resting the handaxe on the ground, secured
19 between an individual's legs, and resources brought down on the tip for processing. In
20 this way it could have been used to process faunal remains so as to access meat and
21 marrow. Sites such as Isimila, Elandsfontein and Doornlaagte have provided
22 examples of similar tools that were found on their edges when excavated, as if pressed
23 into the ground (Wymer 1982, 103). While this is certainly plausible for the large
24 handaxe from Wadi Dabsa, its recovery as part of an unstratified surface collection
25 find from within the basalt fields means that this possibility cannot be substantiated.
26 Microwear analysis of the tip will be required to determine whether it was used for a
27 specific material or in a particular fashion.

28

29 Conclusion

30 Wadi Dabsa presents a highly concentrated area of Acheulean activity within the
31 Arabian Peninsula. It provided a wide range of resources, including raw materials for
32 tool production and a fresh water source that would have attracted animals suitable for
33 hunting. These resources were essential for hominin dispersal from the Red Sea
34 shoreline and deeper into the Arabian Peninsula. The site is made more extraordinary

1 by the large quantity of artefacts recovered, suggesting either the repeated or intensive
2 use of this locality. The large handaxe adds to the complexity and difficulty of
3 interpreting this newly discovered site, as well as presenting a new addition to the
4 known catalogue of these enigmatic bifacial tools. It is geographically unique, being
5 the only example currently known from within the Arabian Peninsula, while its
6 unusually excessive weight highlights its importance in comparison to similar overly
7 large tools. The use of such large bifaces is still a mystery that requires solving, and it
8 is hoped that the addition of the Wadi Dabsa specimen can contribute to this debate,
9 as well as further discussion regarding their dispersal throughout the Acheulean
10 world.

11

12 Acknowledgements

13 We thank HRH Prince Sultan bin Salman bin Abdul Aziz, President of the Saudi
14 Commission for Tourism and National Heritage (SCTH), KSA, Professor Ali Al-
15 Ghabban, Vice-President, and Dr Abdullah Al Saud, Director General for granting
16 fieldwork permission, as well as their interest in and support of our work in Saudi
17 Arabia. Grateful thanks are also extended to Dr Abdullah Al Zahrani, SCTH Riyadh,
18 and Mr Saeed Al Karni, Director of Antiquities in Asir, and Mr Haider Al Mudeer as
19 well as the staff of the SCTH offices in Abha and Sabiya.

20 The January 2015 fieldwork was carried out as part of the DISPERSE project, funded
21 by European Research Council Advanced Grant 269586, under the 'Ideas' Specific
22 Programme of FP7 to Geoff Bailey and Geoffrey C.P. King. For generous additional
23 funding for the fieldwork we thank the Gerald Averay Wainwright Fund for Near
24 Eastern Archaeology, University of Oxford, and the Department of Archaeology
25 Research Fund, University of York. This is DISPERSE contribution no. 37.

26

1 Figure Captions

2

3 Figure 1: Location of Wadi Dabsa on the southwest coastline of the Arabian
4 Peninsula.

5 Figure 2: Wadi Dabsa and associated geology and archaeological transects. L0107
6 (red highlight) indicates the location where the large handaxe was found.

7 Figure 3: (top) Photograph of the large handaxe from Wadi Dabsa, (bottom)
8 illustration of the handaxe, including profile view. Photograph taken by A.
9 Shuttleworth. Illustration by F. Foulds.

10 Figure 4: View from the top of a basalt jebel at the northern extent of L-0107, looking
11 south over the basalt surface and tufa exposure. Adapted from Inglis et al (2015).
12 Photo by R. Inglis.

13

14 Table Captions

15

16 Table 1. Distribution of artefact types within the Wadi Dabsa assemblage.

17 Table 2. A comparison of the large handaxe with other known handaxes of length
18 greater than 240mm. Part of the data for this table is based on Gowlett (2013). Data
19 for the Olduvai FLK handaxes is based on metrics recorded in Leakey and Roe
20 (1994).

21 Table 3. A comparison of the mean length, thickness and weight of the Wadi Dabsa
22 handaxes with examples from Europe, Africa, India and the Arabian Peninsula.
23 (*Figures in brackets provide the average and standard deviations for the Wadi Dabsa
24 assemblage with the removal of the large handaxe). Data gathered from Shipton et al.
25 (2014) and Petraglia et al. (2009).

26

1 References

- 2 ASFAW, B., BEYENE, Y., SUWA, G., WALTER, R.C., WHITE, T.D., WOLDEGABRIEL, G.
3 & T. YEMANE 1992. The earliest Acheulian from Konso-Gardula. *Nature* 360: 732-
4 735. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/360732a0>
5
- 6 BAILEY, G.N. 2009. The Red Sea, coastal landscapes and hominin dispersals. In:
7 Petraglia, M.D. & J.I. Rose (eds) *The Evolution of Human Populations in Arabia: 15-*
8 *37*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
9
- 10 BAILEY, G.N., FLEMMING N., KING, G.C.P., LAMBECK, K., MOMBER, G., MORAN, L.,
11 AL-SHAREKH, A. & C. VITA-FINZI 2007. Coastlines, submerged landscapes and
12 human evolution: the Red Sea Basin and the Farasan Islands. *Journal of Island and*
13 *Coastal Archaeology* 2 (2): 127–60.
14
- 15 BAILEY, G.N., KING, G.C.P., DEVÈS, M., HAUSMANN, N., INGLIS, R., LAURIE, E.,
16 MEREDITH-WILLIAMS, M., MOMBER, G., WINDER, I., ALSHAREKH, A. & D.
17 SAKELLARIOU 2012. DISPERSE: dynamic landscapes, coastal environments and
18 human dispersals. *Antiquity* 86 (334). <http://antiquity.ac.uk/projgall/bailey334/>
19
- 20 BAILEY, G.N., DEVÈS, M.H., INGLIS, R.H., MEREDITH-WILLIAMS, M.G., MOMBER, G.,
21 SAKELLAROU, D., SINCLAIR, A.G.M., ROUSAKIS, G., AL GHAMDI, S. & A.M.
22 ALSHAREKH 2015. Blue Arabia: Palaeolithic and underwater survey in SW Arabia
23 and the role of coasts in Pleistocene dispersals. *Quaternary International* 382: 42-57.
24
- 25 CABRERA, V.M., ABU-AMERO, K.K., LARRUGA, J.M. & A.M. GONZÁLEZ 2009. The
26 Arabian peninsula: Gate for human migrations out-of-Africa or cul-de-Sac? A
27 mitochondrial DNA phylogeographic perspective. In: Petraglia, M.D & J.I. Rose (eds)
28 *The Evolution of human populations in Arabia: paleoenvironments, prehistory and*
29 *genetics: 79-88*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer
30
- 31 CALLOW, P. 1994. The Olduvai bifaces: technology and raw materials. In M.D.
32 Leakey & D.A. Roe (eds) *Oldovai Gorge, Volume 5: Excavations in Beds III, IV and*
33 *the Masek Beds, 1968-1971: 235-253*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
34
- 35 COLE, J. 2011. Hominin cognitive and behavioural complexity in the Pleistocene:
36 Assessment through identity, intentionality and visual display. Unpublished PhD
37 thesis, University of Southampton.
38
- 39 COLE, J., MCNABB, J., BUSHOZI, P., BATES, M., KWEKASON, A., NASH, D. & P. TOMS
40 2016. Recent Investigations in the Stone Ages Site of Ismila, Tanzania. Paper
41 presented to the Unravelling the Palaeolithic conference, Southampton, 14-16th
42 January 2016.
43
- 44 DEBONO, H. & N. GOREN-INBAR 2001. Note on a link between Acheulian handaxes
45 and the Levallois method. *Proceedings of the Israel Prehistoric Society* 31: 9-23.
46

- 1 DEVÈS, M.H., STURDY, D.A., KING, G.C.P., GODET, N. & G.N. BAILEY 2014. Hominin
2 reactions to herbivore distribution in the Lower Palaeolithic of the southern Levant.
3 Quaternary Science Reviews. 96: 140–160. DOI: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.04.017
4
- 5 FIELD, J.S. & M.M. LAHR 2005. Assessment of the Southern Dispersal: GIS-Based
6 Analyses of Potential Routes at Oxygen Isotopic Stage 4. Journal of World Prehistory
7 19 (1): 1- 45. DOI: 10.1007/s10963-005-9000-6
8
- 9 FOULDS, F.W.F. 2014. Invisible individuals, visible groups: on the evidence of
10 individual and groups at the Lower Palaeolithic site of Caddington, Bedfordshire, UK.
11 In F.W.F. Foulds, H.C. Drinkall, A.R. Perri, D.T.G. Clinnick & J.W.P. Walker (eds)
12 Wild Things: Recent advances in Palaeolithic and Mesolithic research, pp. 12-40.
13 Oxford: Oxbow Books.
14
- 15 GAMBLE, C. 1997. Handaxes and Palaeolithic individuals. In N. Ashton, F. Healey &
16 P. Pettitt (eds) Stone Age archaeology: essays in honour of John Wymer, pp. 105-109.
17 Oxford: Oxbow Books.
18
- 19 GOWLETT, J.A.J. 2013. Elongation as a factor in artefacts of humans and other
20 animals: an Acheulean example in comparative context. Philosophical transactions of
21 the Royal Society B 368: 20130114
22
- 23 GROUCUTT, H.S. & M.D. PETRAGLIA 2012. The prehistory of the Arabian Peninsula:
24 Deserts, Dispersals, and Demography. Evolutionary Anthropology 21: 113-125.
25
- 26 HARDAKER, T.R. 2011. *New approaches to the study of surface Palaeolithic artefacts:
27 a pilot project at Zebra River, Western Namibia*. British Archaeological Reports
28 International Series 2270. Oxford: Archaeopress.
29
- 30 INGLIS, R.H., SINCLAIR, A.G.M., SHUTTLEWORTH, A. & A.M. ALSHAREKH 2013.
31 Preliminary Report on 2013 Fieldwork in Southwest Saudi Arabia by the
32 DISPERSE Project: (2) Jizan and Asir Provinces Feb–March. Unpublished Report to
33 the Saudi Commission for Tourism and Antiquities. Available at
34 <<http://www.disperse-project.org/field-reports>>
35
- 36 INGLIS, R.H., SINCLAIR, A.G.M., SHUTTLEWORTH, A., ALSHAREKH, A., AL GHAMDI,
37 S., DEVÈS, M., MEREDTH-WILLIAMS, M.G., AND G.N. BAILEY 2014. Investigating the
38 Palaeolithic Landscapes and Archaeology of the Jizan and Asir Regions, Southwest
39 Saudi Arabia. Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies 44: 193-212.
40
- 41 INGLIS, R.H., FOULDS, F., SHUTTLEWORTH, A., ALSHAREKH, A., AL GHAMDI, S.,
42 SINCLAIR, A.G.M., & G.N. BAILEY 2015. The Palaeolithic occupation of the Harrat Al
43 Birk: Preliminary report on 2015 fieldwork in Asir Province, southwest Saudi Arabia.
44 Unpublished Report to the Saudi Commission for Tourism and Antiquities. Available
45 at <<http://www.disperse-project.org/field-reports>>
46
- 47 ISAAC, G.L. 1977. Olorgesailie: Archaeological Studies of a Middle Pleistocene Lake
48 Basin in Kenya. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

JENNINGS, R.P., SHIPTON, C., BREEZE, P., CUTHBERTSON, P., ANTONIO BERNAL, M., OSHAN WEDAGE, W.M.C., DRAKE, N.A., WHITE, T.S., GROCUIT, H.S.,PARTON, A., CLARK-BALZAN, L., STIMPSON, C., AL OMARI, A.-A., ALSHAREKH, A. & M.D. PETRAGLIA 2015. Multi-scale Acheulean landscape survey in the Arabian Desert. *Quaternary International* 382: 58-81.

KELLEY, H. 1959. Bifaces de tres grande taille. *Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française* 16: 739-772.

KING, G.C.P. & G.N. BAILEY 2006. Tectonics and human evolution. *Antiquity* 80: 265–86.

KOHN, M. & S. MITHEN 1999. Handaxes: products of sexual selection? *Antiquity* 73: 518-526.

KÜBLER, S., OWENGA, P., RUCINA, S., REYNOLDS, S.J., BAILEY, G.N. & G.C.P. KING 2016. Edaphic and topographic constraints on exploitation of the Central Kenya Rift by large mammals and early hominins . *Open Quaternary* 2: 5, pp. 1–18. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/oq.21>

LAMBECK, K., PURCELL, A., FLEMMING, N.C., VITA-FINZI, C., ALSHAREKH, A.M. & G.N. BAILEY 2011. Sea level and shoreline reconstructions for the Red Sea: isostatic and tectonic considerations and implications for hominin migration out of Africa. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30 (25-26): 3542-3574.

LEAKEY M.D. & D.A. ROE 1994. *Oldovai Gorge, Volume 5: Excavations in Beds III, IV and the Masek Beds, 1968-1971*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

LEPRE, C.J., ROCHE, H., KENT, D.V., HARDMAN, S., QUINN, R.L., BRUGAL, J.-P., LENOBLE, A. & C.S. FEIBEL 2011. An earlier origin of the Acheulien. *Nature* 477 (7362): 82-85. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10372>

LYCETT, S.J. 2008. Acheulean variation and selection: does handaxe symmetry fit neutral expectations? *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35:2640-2648.

MACRAE, R.J. 1987. The great giant handaxe stakes. *Lithics* 8: 15-17.

MARTÍNEZ-NAVARRO, B. AND R. RABINOVICH 2011. The fossil Bovidae (Artiodactyla, Mammalia) from Gesher Benot Ya ‘aqov, Israel: Out of Africa during the Early–Middle Pleistocene transition. *Journal of Human Evolution* 60(4): 375–386.

PETRAGLIA, M.D. 2003. The Lower Palaeolithic of the Arabian Peninsula: occupations, adaptations and dispersals. *Antiquity* 77: 671-684.

- 1 PETRAGLIA, M.D. & J.I. ROSE (eds) 2009. The Evolution of human populations in
2 Arabia: paleoenvironments, prehistory and genetics. Dordrecht, Netherlands:
3 Springer.
4
- 5 PETRAGLIA, M.D., DRAKE, N. & A.M. ALSHAREKH 2009. Acheulean landscapes and
6 large cutting tool assemblages in the Arabian Peninsula. In: M.D. Petraglia & J.I.
7 Rose (eds) The Evolution of human populations in Arabia: paleoenvironments,
8 prehistory and genetics. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
9
- 10 POTTS, R., BEHRENSMEYER, A.K. AND P. DITCHFIELD 1999. Paleolandscape variation
11 and Early Pleistocene hominid activities: members 1 and 7, Olorgesailie Formation,
12 Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution* 37(5): 747–788.
13
- 14 PRINZ, W. 1984. Geological map of the Wadi Haliy quadrangle, Sheet 18E, Kingdom
15 of Saudi Arabia. Scale 1:250,000. Geoscience Map GM-74C. Kingdom of Saudi
16 Arabia Ministry of Petroleum and Mineral Resources, Deputy Ministry for Mineral
17 Resources, Jeddah.
18
- 19 QUADE, J., LEVIN, N., SEMAW, S., STOUT, D., RENNE, P., ROGERS, M.J. & S. SIMPSON
20 2004. Palaeoenvironments of the earliest stone tool-makers, Gona, Ethiopia.
21 *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 116 (11-12): 1529-1544. DOI:
22 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B25358.1>
23
- 24 REYNOLDS, S.C., BAILEY, G.N. & G.C.P. KING 2011. Landscapes and their relation to
25 hominin habitats: case studies from Australopithecus sites in eastern and southern
26 Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 60 (3): 281-298.
27
- 28 ROE, D. 1981. The Lower and Middle Palaeolithic Periods in Britain. London:
29 Routledge and Kegan Paul.
30
- 31 ROE, D. 1994. A metrical analysis of selected sets of handaxes and cleavers from
32 Olduvai Gorge. In M.D. Leakey and D.A. Roe (eds) Olduvai Gorge, Volume 5:
33 Excavations in Beds III, IV and the Masek Beds, 1968-1971, pp. 146-235. Cambridge:
34 Cambridge University Press.
35
- 36 ROHLING, E.J., GRANT, K.M., ROBERTS, A.P. & J.-C. LARRASOÑA 2013. Paleoclimate
37 Variability in the Mediterranean and Red Sea Regions during the last 500,000 Years.
38 *Current Anthropology* 54 (S8): 0000 - 0017
39
- 40 SHARON, G. 2007. Acheulian large flake industries: technology, chronology, and
41 significance. *British Archaeological Reports International Series* S1701. Oxford:
42 Archaeopress.
43
- 44 SHARON, G. 2009. Acheulian giant-core technology. *Current Anthropology* 50: 335-
45 367.
46

- 1 SHARON, G. & P. BEAUMONT 2006. Victoria West – a highly standardised prepared
2 core technology. In N. Goren-Inbar and G. Sharon (eds) *Axe Age: Acheulian tool*
3 *making from quarry to discard*, pp. 181-199. London: Equinox.
- 4
- 5 SHAW, A.D. & M.J. WHITE 2003. Another look at the Cuxton handaxe assemblage.
6 *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 69: 305-314.
- 7
- 8 SHIPTON, C. 2011. Taphonomy and Behaviour at the Acheulean Site of Kariandusi,
9 Kenya. *African Archaeological Review* 28(2): 141–155.
- 10
- 11 SHIPTON, S., PARTON, A., BREEZE, P., JENNINGS, R., GROUCUTT, H.S., WHITE, T.S.,
12 DRAKE, N., CRASSARD, R., ALSHAREK, A., & M.D. PETRAGLIA 2014. Large Flake
13 Acheulean in the Nefud Desert of Northern Arabia. *PaleoAnthropology* 2014: 446-
14 462.
- 15
- 16 SPIKINS, P. 2012. Goodwill hunting? Debates over the ‘meaning’ of handaxe form
17 revisited. *World Archaeology* 44 (3): 378–392.
- 18
- 19 WENBAN-SMITH, F. 2004. Handaxe typology and Lower Palaeolithic cultural
20 development: ficrons, cleavers and two giant handaxes from Cuxton. *Lithics* 25: 11-
21 21.
- 22
- 23 WHITE, M.J. 1998. On the significance of Acheulian biface variability in southern
24 Britain. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 64: 15-44.
- 25
- 26 WINDER, I., KING, G.C.P., DEVÈS, M. & G.N. BAILEY 2013. Complex topography and
27 human evolution: the missing link. *Antiquity* 87: 333-349
- 28
- 29 WINDER, I., DEVÈS, M., KING, G.C.P., BAILEY, G.N., INGLIS, R.H. & M. MEREDITH-
30 WILLIAMS 2015. Dynamic landscapes and complex topography as agents in human
31 evolution: the dispersals of the genus *Homo*. *Journal of Human Evolution* 87: 48-65.
32
- 33 WYMER, J. 1968. *Lower Palaeolithic Archaeology in Britain, as represented by the*
34 *Thames Valley*. London: John Barker Publishers Ltd.
- 35
- 36 WYMER, J. 1982. *The Palaeolithic Age*. London: Croom Helm Ltd.
- 37
- 38 WYNN, T. 1995. Handaxe enigmas. *World Archaeology* 27: 10-23
- 39
- 40 ZARINS, J., MURAD, A. & K. AL-YAISH 1981. The Second Preliminary Report on the
41 Southwestern Province. *Atlatl* 5: 9–42.
- 42 ZARINS, J., WHALEN N.M., IBRAHIM, I., MORAD A. & M. KHAN 1980 *Comprehensive*
43 *Archaeological Survey Program: preliminary report on the Central Southwestern*
44 *Provinces survey*. *Atlatl* 4: 9–117.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

Type		Number found
Flakes/debitage		
	<i>Flakes</i>	475
	<i>Prepared core flakes</i>	96
	<i>Blades</i>	17
	<i>Utilised flakes</i>	28
	<i>Spintered pieces/wedges</i>	3
	<i>Shatter</i>	89
Cores		
	<i>Cores</i>	140
	<i>Core fragments</i>	6
Bifacial tools		
	<i>Handaxes</i>	11
	<i>Cleavers</i>	4
	<i>Pics</i>	4
	<i>Broken handaxes</i>	4
Retouched tools		
	<i>Backed knife</i>	1
	<i>Burin</i>	2
	<i>Denticulate</i>	4
	<i>Notch</i>	9
	<i>LCTs</i>	16
	<i>Piercer/borer</i>	13
	<i>Points</i>	8
	<i>Scraper</i>	47
Other		
	<i>Clasts</i>	23
	<i>Hammerstones</i>	2
Total		1002

1
2
3

TABLE 1

Locality	Length (mm)	Breadth (mm)	Thickness (mm)
Kilombe	248	120	53
Kilombe	258	151	56
Kilombe	243	111	55
Sidi Abderrahman Cunette	250	162	47
Sidi Abderrahman Cunette	241	107	73
Kalambo Falls	291	138	65
Cornelia-Uitzoek	240	124	73
Cornelia-Uitzoek	243	114	77
Holsdam	245	107	65
Peninj	265	119	81
Olduvai Gorge FLK	289	132	72
Olduvai Gorge FLK	268	124	83
Olduvai Gorge FLK	249	116	72
Olduvai Gorge FLK	277	129	69
Olduvai Gorge FLK	270	117	67
Wadi Dabsa	265	160	85

1

2 **TABLE 2**

Locality	<i>n</i>	Mean Length (mm)	<i>n</i>	Mean Thickness (mm)	SD	<i>n</i>	Mean Weight (g)	SD
<i>Africa</i>								
Olduvai Gorge Bed II	21	195.39	17	66.92	19.2	17	1406.81	784.12
Kariandusi	58	157.94	35	43.6	14.74	35	571.02	369.8
Olorgesailie DE89A	63	180.76	60	46.23	10.43	60	877.82	381.8
Orlogesailie H9AM	13	199.77	10	36.2	7.53	10	770	426.54
Orlogesailie I3	62	97.95	57	33.54	9.28	57	225.12	197.48
Orlogesailie FB	16	98.81	15	34.6	8.44	15	180.87	116.11
Orlogesailie DE89C	69	158.7						
<i>Europe</i>								
High Lodge	68	116.51	63	35.15	14.01	63	259.89	208.83
<i>Arabia</i>								
Dawādmī 206-76	49	162.87	27	52.04	22.02			
Wadi Fatima	35	141.86	15	49.67	9.8			
Arzraq Lion Spring			42	43.97	9.68	42	216.43	86.11
Wadi Dabsa*	11	140.27 (127.80)	11	60.54 (58.10)	15.83 (14.33)	11	1105.72 (856.50)	993.39 (580.77)
<i>India</i>								
Hunsgi V	151	143.51	45	48.44	9.99	45	669	349.6
Hunsgi II	34	162.9	18	52.22	10.6	18	1041.94	551.14
Gulbal II	17	147.14	12	47.5	9.65	12	902.5	385.84
Mudnur VIII	9	227.78	9	61.11	9.28	9	1302.22	204.56
Yediyapur I	21	123.13	10	36	5.16	10	443	230.3
Yediyapur IV	20	132.94	11	42.73	11.04	11	626.82	415
Yediyapur VI	66	127.86	21	42.86	13.09	21	591.19	563.49
Fatehpur V	31	126.82	11	40.91	11.36	11	455.45	246.74
Teggihalli II	31	121.54						
Anagwadi	25	137.24	15	45.73	6.04			
Godavari	10	114						







