

This is a repository copy of *Constructing bounded remainder sets and cut-and-project sets which are bounded distance to lattices, II*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/112246/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Haynes, Alan orcid.org/0000-0001-6077-8162, Kelly, Michael and Koivusalo, Henna (2017) Constructing bounded remainder sets and cut-and-project sets which are bounded distance to lattices, II. *Indagationes mathematicae-New series*. pp. 138-144. ISSN 0019-3577

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2016.11.010>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

CONSTRUCTING BOUNDED REMAINDER SETS AND CUT-AND-PROJECT SETS WHICH ARE BOUNDED DISTANCE TO LATTICES, II

ALAN HAYNES, MICHAEL KELLY, HENNA KOIVUSALO

ABSTRACT. Recent results of several authors have led to constructions of parallelotopes which are bounded remainder sets for totally irrational toral rotations. In this brief note we explain, in retrospect, how some of these results can easily be obtained from a geometric argument which was previously employed by Duneau and Oguey in the study of deformation properties of mathematical models for quasicrystals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $T : \mathbb{T}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^s$ be a Lebesgue measure preserving transformation of the s -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^s = \mathbb{R}^s/\mathbb{Z}^s$. A measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ is a *bounded remainder set* (henceforth denoted BRS) for T if

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^s} \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \chi_A(T^n(x)) - N|A| \right| < \infty,$$

where $\chi_A : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the indicator function of A , viewed as a multi-set in \mathbb{T}^s (i.e. so that χ_A could potentially take any non-negative integer value), and $|A|$ denotes its measure. Throughout this paper we will assume that T is a toral rotation, given by $T(x) = x + \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s$. This is a situation which is particularly important in Diophantine approximation, and over the course of the past century it has been studied by a number of authors.

For $s = 1$ the problem of classifying BRS's is satisfactorily dealt with by works of Hecke [7], Ostrowski [13], and Kesten [11] (see also related results of Oren [12]), which together show that for an irrational rotation of \mathbb{T} by α , a necessary and sufficient condition for an interval \mathcal{I} to be a BRS is that $|\mathcal{I}| \in \alpha\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$. Several papers [9, 18, 19, 20, 21] have investigated the corresponding problems in higher dimensions. Of

AH, HK: Research supported by EPSRC grants L001462, J00149X, M023540.

MK: Research supported NSF grants DMS-1101326, 1045119 and 0943832.

HK: Research supported by Osk. Huttunen Foundation.

particular note are the works of Szűsz [18], who demonstrated a construction of parallelogram BRS's when $s = 2$, and Liardet [9, Theorem 4], who used a dynamical cocycles argument to extend Szűsz's construction to arbitrary $s > 1$. Other significant connections between BRS's and dynamical systems have been highlighted in [4, 6, 10, 14], and a more thorough exposition of the history of these sets can be found in the introduction of [5].

Our understanding of polytope BRS's in higher dimensions has recently been substantially improved by Grepstad and Lev in [5]. One of their central results is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and that $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then for any choice of linearly independent vectors $v_1, \dots, v_s \in \alpha\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}^s$, the parallelootope*

$$P = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^s t_j v_j : 0 \leq t_j < 1 \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

is a BRS for the rotation of \mathbb{T}^s by α .

Grepstad and Lev's proof of Theorem 1.1 involved a detailed Fourier analytic argument which allowed them to calculate the 'transfer functions' of the parallelotopes P . One of the goals of this paper is to explain how the theorem can be deduced using a simple and elegant geometric argument, first introduced by Duneau and Oguey [3] in the study of regularity properties of quasicrystalline materials. When applied to the BRS problem, the essence of their argument shows that the collection of return times of a toral rotation to a region of the form (1.1) has a group structure coming from a natural higher dimensional realization of the problem.

Our main theorem, which is an abstract but otherwise straightforward generalization of [3, Theorem 3.1], is Theorem 2.1 below. Upon first reading, the statement of the theorem may seem rather complicated. Our reason for presenting it in such a form is to highlight its flexibility in terms of potential applications. The theorem applies not only to orbits of toral rotations, which can be recast as one dimensional quasicrystals, but also to multidimensional point patterns in Euclidean space. As a particular example, the following result will be shown to be an easy consequence of our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the collection of vertices of any Penrose tiling. Then there is a bijection from Y to a lattice, which moves every point by at most a uniformly finite amount.*

This result was mentioned in the paper of Duneau and Oguey (see comments on [3, p.13]), and it has recently been proved using different means by Solomon [17]. We wish to emphasize that Theorem 1.2 is only representative of the potential applications of our main theorem. The same argument used in its proof applies to any generic canonical cut and project set in Euclidean space. However, to avoid a long list of definitions and technical points regarding these objects, we maintain focus on the Penrose tilings, viewed as linear transformations of 5 to 2 cut and project sets.

It is also worth noting that the hypotheses Theorem 2.1 allow us the flexibility to formulate results in many interesting non-Euclidean spaces. This is a topic which we believe will lead to new results, and which we leave open for further exploration.

Acknowledgements: AH wishes to thank Dirk Frettlöh for helpful conversations in Delft regarding the context of the results in this paper, and for sharing a preprint (joint work between him and Alexey Garber) in which similar results have been obtained.

2. STATEMENT AND PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Let X be a metrizable \mathbb{Q} -vector space with a translation invariant metric $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and suppose that V_p and V_i are complementary \mathbb{Q} -vector subspaces of X , in the sense that $V_p \cap V_i = \{0\}$ and

$$X = V_p + V_i,$$

where the right hand side denotes the Minkowski sum of the two sets. In all of what follows, subsets of X will be taken with the usual induced topology. Let ρ_p and ρ_i be the projections according to the above decomposition, onto V_p and V_i , respectively. Suppose that Γ is a subgroup of X which is also a finite dimensional \mathbb{Z} -module. Given a compact $W \subseteq V_i$, we define a set $\tilde{Y} \subseteq X$ and a multi-set $Y \subseteq V_p$ by

$$\tilde{Y} = \rho_i^{-1}(W) \cap \Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \rho_p(\tilde{Y}).$$

We emphasize that Y is a multi-set, so that if the restriction of ρ_p to \tilde{Y} is not injective, the elements of Y are listed with the appropriate multiplicity.

Theorem 2.1. *With the notation above, suppose further that:*

- (i) Z is a subspace of X (possibly different than V_i) which is complementary to V_p , $\phi_p : X \rightarrow V_p$ is the projection onto V_p with respect to the decomposition $X = V_p + Z$,

(ii) The group Γ has a decomposition of the form

$$\Gamma = \Lambda + \Lambda_c,$$

where Λ and Λ_c are groups with the properties that $\Lambda \cap \Lambda_c = \{0\}$ and $Z \cap \Gamma = \Lambda$, and

(iii) W is the image under ρ_i of a compact fundamental domain for Z/Λ' , where $\Lambda' \leq \Lambda$ and $[\Lambda : \Lambda'] = N < \infty$.

Then there is a bijection f from Y to a subgroup Λ'_c of V_p satisfying $\phi_p(\Lambda_c) \leq \Lambda'_c$ and $[\Lambda'_c : \phi_p(\Lambda_c)] = N$, with the property that f moves every point of Y by at most a uniformly finite amount.

Proof. From assumption (ii) it follows that \tilde{Y} can be written as the disjoint union

$$\tilde{Y} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_c} (Z + \lambda) \cap \tilde{Y}.$$

Let $W_Z = \rho_i^{-1}(W) \cap Z$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_c$ consider the set

$$W_\lambda = (Z + \lambda) \cap \rho_i^{-1}(W) - \lambda.$$

Each such set is a translate of W_Z in Z , and therefore a fundamental domain for Z/Λ' . It follows that each set W_λ contains exactly N points of Λ , and from this we have that

$$|(Z + \lambda) \cap \tilde{Y}| = N.$$

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_c$ let us write

$$(Z + \lambda) \cap \tilde{Y} = \{y_\lambda(0), \dots, y_\lambda(N-1)\}.$$

Choose a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$ for Λ_c , and for $1 \leq j \leq d$ let $\gamma'_j = \phi_p(\gamma_j)$. From the assumptions in hypothesis (ii), together with the fact that X is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, it is easy to deduce that the map ϕ_p is injective. It follows that the elements $\frac{1}{N}\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \dots, \gamma'_d$ generate a group $\Lambda'_c \leq V_p$ which contains $\phi_p(\Lambda_c)$ as a subgroup of index N .

Now, using the decomposition

$$\tilde{Y} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_c} \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{N-1} y_\lambda(j),$$

we define a bijection $g : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \Lambda'_c$ by

$$g(y_\lambda(j)) = \phi_p(\lambda) + \frac{j}{N}\gamma'_1,$$

and we use this to define $f : Y \rightarrow \Lambda'_c$ by

$$f(y) = g(\rho_p^{-1}(y) \cap \tilde{Y}).$$

Recall that Y is a multi-set, so the map f does produce a well defined bijection between Y and Λ'_c .

All that remains is to show that f moves every point by at most a uniformly finite amount. Let $y \in Y$ and write $\tilde{y} = \rho_p^{-1}(y) \cap \tilde{Y}$ in two different ways, as

$$\tilde{y} = v_i + v_p^{(1)}, \quad \text{where } v_i \in V_i \text{ and } v_p^{(1)} \in V_p,$$

and

$$\tilde{y} = z + v_p^{(2)}, \quad \text{where } z \in Z \text{ and } v_p^{(2)} \in V_p,$$

so that $y = v_p^{(1)}$ and $f(y) = v_p^{(2)} + (j/N)\gamma'_1$, for some $0 \leq j < N$. Notice that, because of the definition of \tilde{Y} , we have that

$$v_i \in W \quad \text{and} \quad z \in W_Z.$$

Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(y), y) &\leq d\left(\tilde{y} - z + \frac{j}{N}\gamma'_1, \tilde{y} - z\right) + d(\tilde{y} - v_i, \tilde{y} - z) \\ &\leq d\left(\frac{j}{N}\gamma'_1, 0\right) + \sup_{v \in W} \sup_{z' \in W_Z} d(-v, -z'). \end{aligned}$$

Since $W \cup W_Z$ is a compact subset of X , the right hand side of this inequality is finite. Since it does not depend on y , the conclusion follows. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will apply Theorem 2.1 with $X = \mathbb{R}^{s+1}$, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$,

$$V_p = \langle (\alpha, 1) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_i = \langle e_1, \dots, e_s \rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where e_j denotes the j -th standard basis vector for \mathbb{R}^{s+1} . Write each of the vectors v_j from the statement of Theorem 1.1 as

$$v_j = n^{(j)} - n_{s+1}^{(j)}\alpha,$$

with $n_{s+1}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, and let $W = P - x$ where $x \in V_i$ and P is the parallelotope of (1.1), realized as a subset of V_i . If we set $\lambda_j = (n^{(j)}, n_{s+1}^{(j)}) \in \Gamma$ then for each j we have that

$$v_j = \rho_i(\lambda_j).$$

We take Z to be the real subspace of X generated by the vectors $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$, and we set $\Lambda = Z \cap \Gamma$. It is a straightforward exercise to show that we can find a vector $\lambda_c \notin Z$ for which

$$\Gamma = \Lambda + \Lambda_c, \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_c = \langle \lambda_c \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Therefore the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, allowing us to conclude that the corresponding multi-set Y is in bijection, via a bounded distance map, with a lattice in V_p .

Now let Y' be the multi-set defined by

$$Y' = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n\alpha \in P - x \bmod \mathbb{Z}^s\} \subseteq \mathbb{R},$$

where each integer $n \in Y'$ is counted with multiplicity equal to the number of integer vectors $m \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ for which $n\alpha + m \in P - x$. Applying a linear transformation to X in our above argument, we deduce that Y' is in bounded distance bijection with a set of the form $\gamma\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, for some $\gamma > 0$. Since $1, \alpha_1, \dots$, and α_s are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent, the Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem (alternatively, Weyl's criterion from uniform distribution theory) implies that $\gamma = |P|^{-1}$. Write

$$Y' = \{n_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

with $n_0 \leq 0 < n_1$ and $n_j \leq n_{j+1}$ for all j . Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $K \geq 0$ so that $n_K \leq N < n_{K+1}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \chi_P(n\alpha + x) = K,$$

and also that

$$K = |P|n_K + O(1) = |P|N + O(1).$$

After rearranging terms and taking the supremum over all x , this shows that P is a bounded remainder set.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The argument we will use in our proof of Theorem 1.2 closely follows that outlined in [3, p.13], the only difference being that we do not appeal to [3, Theorem 4.1], but instead use the following result due to Laczkovich (see [8, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem 4.1. *For any discrete set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and for any $\kappa > 0$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There is a positive constant $C > 0$ with the property that, for any set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ which is a finite union of unit cubes, we have that*

$$|\#(S \cap \mathcal{C}) - \kappa|\mathcal{C}|_d| \leq C \cdot |\partial\mathcal{C}|_{d-1},$$

where $|\cdot|_k$ denotes k -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

- (ii) *There is a bijection from S to $\alpha^{-1/d}\mathbb{Z}^d$, which moves every point by at most a uniformly finite amount.*

Let $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/5)$, take $X = \mathbb{R}^5$, and let V_p be the two dimensional real subspace of X generated by the vectors

$$(1, \operatorname{Re}(\zeta), \operatorname{Re}(\zeta^2), \operatorname{Re}(\zeta^3), \operatorname{Re}(\zeta^4))$$

and

$$(0, \operatorname{Im}(\zeta), \operatorname{Im}(\zeta^2), \operatorname{Im}(\zeta^3), \operatorname{Im}(\zeta^4)).$$

Take V_i to be the real subspace of X orthogonal to V_p , and let $W \subseteq V_i$ be a translate of the image under ρ_i of the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^5 . Well known results of de Bruijn [1] and Robinson [15] show that the set Y obtained in this way is the image under a linear transformation of the collection of vertices of a Penrose tiling, and in fact that all Penrose tilings can be obtained in a similar way.

The set W can be written as a disjoint union of $\binom{5}{3} = 10$ parallelo-
topes W_1, \dots, W_{10} , each of which is a translate of the projection to V_i of a parallelotope in \mathbb{R}^5 generated by 3 elementary basis vectors. Each parallelotope W_j satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, and therefore gives rise to a set Y_j which is in bijection, via a bounded distance map, with a lattice.

Appealing to Theorem 4.1, for each j and for any region $\mathcal{C} \in V_p$ which is a finite union of unit cubes (chosen with respect to some basis for V_p), the number of points in $Y_j \cap \mathcal{C}$ is equal to the expected number plus an error which is at most a constant multiple of the volume of the boundary. Therefore, by summing over all j , we see that the set Y also satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 4.1, and the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 follows immediately.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. G. de Bruijn: *Algebraic theory of Penrose's nonperiodic tilings of the plane, I, II*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. 43 (1981), no. 1, 39-52, 53-66.
- [2] N. Chevallier: *Coding of a translation of the two-dimensional torus*, Monatsh. Math. 157 (2009), no. 2, 101-130.
- [3] M. Duneau, C. Oguey: *Displacive transformations and quasicrystalline symmetries*, J. Physique 51 (1990), no. 1, 5-19.
- [4] P. J. Grabner, P. Hellekalek, P. Liardet: *The dynamical point of view of low-discrepancy sequences*, Unif. Distrib. Theory 7 (2012), no. 1, 11-70.
- [5] S. Grepstad, N. Lev: *Sets of bounded discrepancy for multi-dimensional irrational rotation*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 25 (2015), no. 1, 87-133.
- [6] A. Haynes, H. Koivusalo: *Constructing bounded remainder sets and cut-and-project sets which are bounded distance to lattices*, Israel J. Math., to appear, arXiv:1402.2125.

- [7] E. Hecke: *Über analytische Funktionen und die Verteilung von Zahlen mod. eins.* (German), Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 1 (1922), no. 1, 54-76.
- [8] M. Laczkovich: *Uniformly spread discrete sets in R^d* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) 46 (1992), no. 1, 39-57.
- [9] P. Liardet: *Regularities of distribution*, Compositio Math. 61 (1987), no. 3, 267-293.
- [10] M. Kelly, L. Sadun: *Pattern equivariant cohomology and theorems of Kesten and Oren*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 47 (2015), no. 1, 13-20.
- [11] H. Kesten: *On a conjecture of Erdős and Szűs related to uniform distribution mod 1*, Acta Arith. 12 (1966/1967), 193-212.
- [12] I. Oren: *Admissible functions with multiple discontinuities*, Israel J. Math. 42 (1982), no. 4, 353-360.
- [13] A. Ostrowski: *Math. Miszellen IX and XVI, Notiz zur theorie der Diophantischen approximationen*, Jahresber. d. Deutschen Math. Ver. 36 (1927), 178-180 and 39 (1930), 34-46.
- [14] G. Rauzy: *Ensembles à restes bornés*, Seminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux (1972), 1-12.
- [15] E. A. Jr., Robinson: *The dynamical properties of Penrose tilings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), no. 11, 4447-4464.
- [16] W. M. Schmidt: *Irregularities of distribution VIII*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 198 (1974), 1-22.
- [17] Y. Solomon: *Substitution tilings and separated nets with similarities to the integer lattice*, Israel J. Math. 181 (2011), 445-460.
- [18] P. Szűs: *Über die Verteilung der Vielfachen einer komplexen Zahl nach dem Modul des Einheitsquadrats* (German), Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 5 (1954), 35-39.
- [19] V. G. Zhuravlev: *Rauzy tilings and bounded remainder sets on a torus* (Russian), Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 322 (2005), Trudy po Teorii Chisel, 83-106, 253; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 137 (2006), no. 2, 4658-4672
- [20] V. G. Zhuravlev: *Exchanged toric developments and bounded remainder sets* (Russian), Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 392 (2011), Analiticheskaya Teoriya Chisel i Teoriya Funktsii. 26, 95-145, 219-220; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 184 (2012), no. 6, 716-745.
- [21] V. G. Zhuravlev: *Bounded remainder polyhedra*, Sovremennyye Problemy Matematiki, 2012, Vol. 16, pp. 82-102.

AH, HK :

Department of Mathematics, University of York,

Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, England
e-mails: alan.haynes@york.ac.uk, henna.koivusalo@york.ac.uk

MK :
Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA
e-mails: michaesk@umich.edu