



This is a repository copy of *Preface Special Issue "Advances in Numerical Modelling of Hydrodynamics"*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/112029/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Kesserwani, G., Müller, S. and Caviedes-Voullième, D. (2016) Preface Special Issue "Advances in Numerical Modelling of Hydrodynamics". *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40 (17). pp. 7424-7426. ISSN 0307-904X

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2016.06.044>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Preface Special Issue “Advances in Numerical Modelling of Hydrodynamics”

Georges Kesserwani^{1,*}, Siegfried Müller², Daniel Caviedes-Voullième¹

¹*Pennine Water Group, Dept. of Civil and Structural Engineering, University of Sheffield, UK*

²*Institut für Geometrie und Praktische Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, Templergraben 55, D52056, Aachen, Germany*

1. Introduction

Mathematical and numerical models that deal with hydrodynamics have transformed the way river engineering are practiced, and are possibly worth of a comprehensive review of developments in their own right (Knight 2013a, Knight 2013b, Duran and Marche 2014, Xing and Shu 2014, Stansby 2013). Developments in numerical methods and computing power continue to grow, to cite just a few (Caviedes-Voullième and Kesserwani 2015, Kesserwani et al. 2015, Sanders et al. 2010, Dawson et al. 2013, Cao et al. 2015, George 2011, Smith and Liang 2013, Lacasta et al. 2013, Zhou et al. 2013, Donat et al. 2014, Zanotti et al. 2015, Delis et al. 2011, Juez et al. 2014, Jian et al. 2015, Ran et al. 2015, Murillo and Garcia-Navarro 2010, Gerhard et al. 2015, Marsooli and Wu 2015, Swartenbroekx et al. 2013, Guan et al. 2014, Kim et al. 2014). This growth has opened-up opportunities to increase the accuracy, robustness and computational complexity of latest simulation models, and to address issues of practical relevance for modelling hydrodynamic processes. Particular examples include the treatment of the sink/source terms involved in the governing equations, parameter sensitivity analysis, the adoption of more sophisticated numerical schemes for real-scale simulations.

To exploit such opportunities, there is a growing necessity to promote interdisciplinary research across a wider range of experts from mathematics, engineering, physics and computer sciences to bridge gaps and to establish a common research perception, in order to tackle the research area in a more holistic way. This was the motivation of the “*Advances in Numerical Modelling of Hydrodynamics*” workshop held in Sheffield, UK, in March 2015. The workshop brought together 41 scientists and engineers from across different countries (France, Belgium, Switzerland, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Sweden, UK, Germany, Taiwan, USA, China, South Africa and Saudi Arabia) and career stages (from M. Sc. to professorial level). The contributions to this special issue were papers presented at the workshop, which were subject to peer-review by the workshop’s scientific advisory board (details in Section 3). Selected papers, which were identified to offer *significant* scientific advance to modelling hydrodynamics, were extended and underwent a rigorous peer-review process. Acceptance into this Special Issue was based on comprehensive review reports, the authors addressing critical reviews, examination by the Guest editors and final decision by the Editor-in-Chief. This Special Issue is expected to benefit researchers and engineers addressing theoretical and applied aspects of Computational Hydraulics (see also Foreword).

2. Scope of the accepted papers

- Validity of advanced Riemann solvers for 1D river hydraulics: Approximate Riemann solvers are frequently used to solve problems in Computational Fluid Dynamics. Among these solvers, those build from the HLL (Harten et al. 1983) and (Roe 1981) approximations emerged very popular in the field of computational river hydraulics leading to many improved variants. In this issue, Franzini and Soares-Frazão compare the performance some latest versions of the HLL (Interallée HLL and HLLS) and Roe (Augmented with energy balance) Riemann solvers, with a key focus

Corresponding authors. Tel.: +441142225746.

E-mail address: g.kesserwani@shef.ac.uk, mueller@igpm.rwth-aachen.de, Daniel.CaviedesVoullieme@b-tu.de

on solving 1D shallow flow with varying channel shapes and geometries. The paper diagnostically identifies the pros and cons of these emerging techniques, and discusses their implications for practical flow simulations.

- Coarse-mesh 2D flood model with subgrid-scale effects: Accurate and efficient integration of the geometrical details of urban area is an enduring challenge to 2D modelling of surface water flooding. Sub-grid models have been devised and applied to account for topographic variability that is too small to resolve with the computational mesh (Sanders et al. 2008). In this issue, Özgen et al. offer an extended formulation to the depth-averaged shallow water equations with anisotropic porosity to account for subgrid-scale effects while using coarse-grid simulations. Time-varying porosity terms are introduced as function of the water elevation in the cell and a cumulative distribution function of the unresolved bottom elevation to enable full inundation across a coarse cell. The applicability of the new equations is verified for various tests and compared with high-resolution reference simulations, with highlights on potential efficiency gain and on open-ended research challenges.
- Significance of the sediment diffusion term to hydro-morphodynamic modelling: Deterministic modelling of bedload transport in sedimentation engineering and computational river dynamics have rapidly emerged in recent years (Marsooli and Wu 2015, Kesserwani et al. 2014). In this issue, Bohorquez and Ancy examine the importance of sediment diffusion associated with advection in bed load transport. The concept of sediment transport is revisited by further intertwining with probabilistic theory to identify the role played by particle diffusion in bed load transport. Based on the shallow water equations coupled with Exner equation, numerical simulations are performed to reproduce channel degradation and antidune development in gravel bed streams over steep slopes. Validations with respect to flume experiments is performed to illustrate the improvement associated with the inclusion of the diffusion term.
- Physical and numerical modelling of Hele-Shaw flow: Shallow water flows through thin geometries are often associated with Hele-Shaw flow (Boyko et al. 2015). For such flows, the advective (inertial) forces are small compared with viscous forces, and the boundary conditions are defined by pressures and surface tensions. In this issue, Kalogirou et al. explore analytically, numerically and experimentally the damped motion of driven water waves in a Hele-Shaw tank. The equations governing the hydrodynamics of the problem are derived from a variational principle for shallow water, but with further inclusion of surface tension effects, linear momentum damping and incoming volume flux through the boundary at which waves are generated. The discontinuous Galerkin method is applied to solve the model equations. Numerical results are validated against exact linear wave solutions and laboratory experiments of artificially driven waves in the Hele-Shaw tank.
- Time step enlargement of an explicit finite volume shallow water model: Explicit numerical methods, although dictate small time steps due to a Courant–Friedrich–Lewy (CFL) stability condition < 1 , remain undoubtedly one of the most popular approaches in solving for unsteady shallow water flows (Morales-Hernández et al. 2013). In this issue, Morales-Hernandez et al. extend their approach for relaxing the CFL condition to > 1 to enable larger time steps in solving the inviscid shallow water equations on unstructured triangular meshes. This paper especially focuses on the handling of information transfer facing the unstructuredness of the meshes. The proposed approach is compared against conventional first- and second-order explicit schemes to demonstrate its potential impacts on accuracy and efficiency.
- Modelling air-entrainment in shallow water flow: In some situations, such as an impact jet or a jump formation, air-entrainment into shallow water flow can cause two layer water/air with complex turbulent mixing (Lubin et al. 2006, Chanson et al. 2006). Physical and numerical modelling of these processes is challenging and demanding due to: the presence of the macroscopic interface, multiple parameterization setting and, bubbles formation, interaction and transport throughout the free-surface. In this issue, Lopes et al. examine numerically and experimentally the aspects of a 3D circular plunging jet of water entering a pool. An explicit term is introduced to detect bubble formation and air-entrainment at the free-surface. The capacities of a Volume-of-Fluid based model to detect the free-surface and predict the velocities inside the

water phase is studied, as well as mesh dependency issues. The results obtained are further compared and discussed with similar cases in the literature.

- Comparative study of topography integration techniques in 3rd-order Discontinuous Galerkin models: Real-world shallow water flows occur over uneven topographies. Topography discretisation techniques are unavoidable for the design of shallow water numerical models (Murillo and Garcia-Navarro 2010, Kesserwani 2013). Several techniques have been proposed, which are commonly constructed based on the assumption of motionless steady state. However, their ability to handle moving-water steady state over discontinuous terrain shapes is still questioned. In this issue, Caleffi et al. unravel the capability of five different numerical approaches for discretisation of free-surface over bottom steps. A systematic and diagnostic 1D analysis is presented crossing aspects of accuracy-order, conservation properties, continuous vs. discontinuous terrain shapes, steady and unsteady flows.
- Theoretical and sensitivity analysis of the divergence discretisation of bed slope source term: The divergence formulation of the bed slope source term (Valiani and Begnudelli 2006) within the numerical solution of the shallow water equations has emerged as physically-based technique to easily, efficiently and accurately integrate the discretisation of the topography in a wide range of numerical models and on different mesh structures (Kim et al. 2014, Hou et al. 2013). In this issue, Bruwier et al. present a theoretical analysis of the divergence bed slope formulation going beyond the classical assumption of locally-constant free-surface elevation and considering the influence on energy balance. The analysis is performed for the case of a single topographic step and is then tested numerically. A calibration parameter is introduced and tested to further improve the use of the divergence formulation on the basis to minimize the energy variation.

3. Acknowledgments

This workshop's special issue was a dissemination activity of project "*Unified flood model with optimal zooming and linking at multiple scales*" funded by the UK Engineering and Physical Research Council (EP/K031023/1). The administrative support offered by the Pennine Water Group (EP/I029346/1) was instrumental during the planning, organization and advertisement of the workshop, and is therefore greatly acknowledged.

We are also thankful to the following colleagues for joining the workshop's scientific advisory board and for their contribution to the peer-review of the papers: Paul Bates (University of Bristol), Valerio Caleffi (University of Ferrara), Albert Chen (University of Exeter), Slobodan Djordjevic (University of Exeter), Michael Dumbser (University of Trento), Reinhard Hinkelmann (Technische Universität Berlin), Kamal EL Kadi Abderrezzak (EDF R&D and Saint-Venant Hydraulics Laboratory), Christopher Keylock (University of Sheffield), Omar Lakkis (University of Sussex), Jorge Leandro (Ruhr University Bochum), Qiuhua Liang (Newcastle University), Fabien Marche (University of Montpellier), Javier Murillo (University of Zaragoza), Jeffrey Neal (University of Bristol), Gareth Pender (Heriot Watt University), Songdong Shao (University of Sheffield), Kramer Tamás (Budapest University of Technology and Economics), Pablo Tassi (EDF R&D and Saint-Venant Hydraulics Laboratory), Nigel Wright (University of Leeds), Jian Zhou (University of Liverpool).

Finally, we acknowledge that Mr Nils Gerhard was awarded the prize of the best workshops' oral presentation. The prize winner was chosen based on online voting by the participants.

4. References

Knight, D. (2013a) *Experimental and Computational Solutions of Hydraulic Problems*. Rowiński, P. (ed), pp. 19-52, Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

- Knight, D.W. (2013b) River hydraulics – a view from midstream. *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 51(1), 2-18.
- Duran, A. and Marche, F. (2014) Recent advances on the discontinuous Galerkin method for shallow water equations with topography source terms. *Computers & Fluids* 101(0), 88 - 104.
- Xing, Y. and Shu, C.W. (2014) A Survey of High Order Schemes for the Shallow Water Equations. *J Math Study* 47(3), 221-249.
- Stansby, P.K. (2013) Coastal hydrodynamics – present and future. *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 51(4), 341-350.
- Caviedes-Voullième, D. and Kesserwani, G. (2015) Benchmarking a multiresolution discontinuous Galerkin shallow water model: Implications for computational hydraulics. *Advances in Water Resources* 86, Part A, 14-31.
- Kesserwani, G., Caviedes-Voullième, D., Gerhard, N. and Müller, S. (2015) Multiwavelet discontinuous Galerkin h-adaptive shallow water model. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 294, 56 - 71.
- Sanders, B.F., Schubert, J.E. and Detwiler, R.L. (2010) ParBreZo: A parallel, unstructured grid, Godunov-type, shallow-water code for high-resolution flood inundation modeling at the regional scale. *Advances in Water Resources* 33(12), 1456-1467.
- Dawson, C., Trahan, C.J., Kubatko, E.J. and Westerink, J.J. (2013) A parallel local timestepping Runge–Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method with applications to coastal ocean modeling. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 259(0), 154-165.
- Cao, Z., Hu, P., Hu, K., Pender, G. and Liu, Q. (2015) Modelling roll waves with shallow water equations and turbulent closure. *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 53(2), 161-177.
- George, D.L. (2011) Adaptive finite volume methods with well-balanced Riemann solvers for modeling floods in rugged terrain: Application to the Malpasset dam-break flood (France, 1959). *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 66(8), 1000-1018.
- Smith, L.S. and Liang, Q. (2013) Towards a generalised GPU/CPU shallow-flow modelling tool. *Computers & Fluids* 88(0), 334-343.
- Lacasta, A., García-Navarro, P., Burguete, J. and Murillo, J. (2013) Preprocess static subdomain decomposition in practical cases of 2D unsteady hydraulic simulation. *Computers & Fluids* 80(0), 225-232.
- Zhou, F., Chen, G., Huang, Y., Yang, J.Z. and Feng, H. (2013) An adaptive moving finite volume scheme for modeling flood inundation over dry and complex topography. *Water Resources Research* 49(4), 1914-1928.
- Donat, R., Martini, M.C., Martínez-Gavara, A. and Mulet, P. (2014) Well-Balanced Adaptive Mesh Refinement for shallow water flows *Journal of Computational Physics* 257, Part A(0), 937 - 953.
- Zanotti, O., Fambri, F., Dumbser, M. and Hidalgo, A. (2015) Space–time adaptive ADER discontinuous Galerkin finite element schemes with a posteriori sub-cell finite volume limiting. *Computers & Fluids* 118, 204-224.
- Delis, A.I., Nikolos, I.K. and Kazolea, M. (2011) Performance and comparison of cell-centered and node-centered unstructured finite volume discretizations for shallow water free surface flows. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering* 18(1), 57-118.
- Juez, C., Murillo, J. and García-Navarro, P. (2014) A 2D weakly-coupled and efficient numerical model for transient shallow flow and movable bed. *Advances in Water Resources* 71, 93-109.
- Jian, W., Liang, D., Shao, S., Chen, R. and Liu, X. (2015) SPH study of the evolution of water–water interfaces in dam break flows. *Natural Hazards* 78(1), 531-553.
- Ran, Q., Tong, J., Shao, S., Fu, X. and Xu, Y. (2015) Incompressible SPH scour model for movable bed dam break flows. *Advances in Water Resources* 82, 39-50.
- Murillo, J. and Garcia-Navarro, P. (2010) Weak solutions for partial differential equations with source terms: Application to the shallow water equations. *Journal of Computational Physics* 229(11), 4327-4368.
- Gerhard, N., Caviedes-Voullième, D., Müller, S. and Kesserwani, G. (2015) Multiwavelet-based grid adaptation with discontinuous Galerkin schemes for shallow water equations. *Journal of Computational Physics* 301, 265-288.
- Marsooli, R. and Wu, W. (2015) Three-Dimensional Numerical Modeling of Dam-Break Flows with Sediment Transport over Movable Beds. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 141(1), 04014066.

- Swartenbroekx, C., Zech, Y. and Soares-Frazão, S. (2013) Two-dimensional two-layer shallow water model for dam break flows with significant bed load transport. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 73(5), 477-508.
- Guan, M., Wright, N. and Sleigh, P. (2014) 2D Process-Based Morphodynamic Model for Flooding by Noncohesive Dyke Breach. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 140(7), 04014022.
- Kim, B., Sanders, B.F., Schubert, J.E. and Famiglietti, J.S. (2014) Mesh type tradeoffs in 2D hydrodynamic modeling of flooding with a Godunov-based flow solver. *Advances in Water Resources* 68, 42-61.
- Harten, A., Lax, P. and Leer, B. (1983) On Upstream Differencing and Godunov-Type Schemes for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws. *Siam Review* 25(1), 35-61.
- Roe, P. (1981) Approximate Riemann solvers, parameter vectors, and difference schemes. *Journal of Computational Physics* 43(2), 357-372.
- Sanders, B.F., Schubert, J.E. and Gallegos, H.A. (2008) Integral formulation of shallow-water equations with anisotropic porosity for urban flood modeling. *Journal of Hydrology* 362(1-2), 19-38.
- Kesserwani, G., Shamkhalchian, A. and Zadeh, M. (2014) Fully Coupled Discontinuous Galerkin Modeling of Dam-Break Flows over Movable Bed with Sediment Transport. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 140(4), 06014006.
- Boyko, E., Rubin, S., Gat, A.D. and Bercovici, M. (2015) Flow patterning in Hele-Shaw configurations using non-uniform electro-osmotic slip. *Physics of Fluids* 27(10), 102001.
- Morales-Hernández, M., Murillo, J. and García-Navarro, P. (2013) The formulation of internal boundary conditions in unsteady 2-D shallow water flows: Application to flood regulation. *Water Resources Research* 49(1), 471-487.
- Lubin, P., Vincent, S., Abadie, S. and Caltagirone, J.-P. (2006) Three-dimensional Large Eddy Simulation of air entrainment under plunging breaking waves. *Coastal Engineering* 53(8), 631-655.
- Chanson, H., Aoki, S. and Hoque, A. (2006) Bubble Entrainment and Dispersion in Plunging Jet Flows: Freshwater vs. Seawater. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 664-677.
- Kesserwani, G. (2013) Topography discretization techniques for Godunov-type shallow water numerical models: a comparative study. *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 51(4), 351-367.
- Valiani, A. and Begnudelli, L. (2006) Divergence Form for Bed Slope Source Term in Shallow Water Equations. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 132(7), 652-665.
- Hou, J., Simons, F., Mahgoub, M. and Hinkelmann, R. (2013) A robust well-balanced model on unstructured grids for shallow water flows with wetting and drying over complex topography. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 257(0), 126 - 149.
- Kalogirou, A., Bokhove, O. and Mouloupoulou, E.E. (2016). Variational FEM for Waves in a Hele-Shaw Tank. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, this issue.
- Bohorquez, P. and Ancey, C. (2016). Sediment diffusion in deterministic non-equilibrium bed load transport simulations. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, this issue.
- Özgen, I. Liang, D. and Hinkelmann, R. (2016). Shallow water equations with depth-dependent anisotropic porosity for subgrid-scale topography. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, this issue.
- Franzini, F. and Soares-Frazão S. (2016). Efficiency and accuracy of Lateralized HLL, HLLS and Augmented Roe's scheme with energy balance for river flows in irregular channels. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, this issue.
- Morales-Hernandez, M., Lacasta A., Murillo, J. and García-Navarro, P. (2016). A Large Time Step explicit scheme (CFL>1) on unstructured grids for 2D scalar and systems of conservation laws: application to the 2D homogeneous shallow water equation. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, tentatively accepted.
- Lopes, P., Tabor, G., Carvalho, R.F. and Leandro, J. (2016). Numerical application and validation of a Volume-of-Fluid based model together with a sub-grid approach to detect air-entrainment in a 3D vertical and circular plunging jet. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, this issue.
- Caleffi, V., Valiani, A. and Li, G. (2016). A comparison between bottom-discontinuity numerical treatments in the DG framework. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, this issue.
- Bruwier, M., Archambeau, P., Epicum, S., Piroton, M. and Dewals, B. (2016). Discretization of the divergence formulation of the bed slope term in the shallow-water equations and consequences in terms of energy balance. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, this issue.