

This is a repository copy of Optic neuritis: the eye as a window to the brain.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/110579/

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Jenkins, T.M. and Toosy, A.T. (2017) Optic neuritis: the eye as a window to the brain. Current Opinion in Neurology, 30 (1). pp. 61-66. ISSN 1350-7540

https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.000000000000414

#### Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# Optic neuritis: the eye as a window to the brain

Thomas M Jenkins<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed T Toosy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sheffield Institute for Translational Neuroscience and Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK

<sup>2</sup>Queen Square MS Centre, Dept of Neuroinflammation, UCL Institute of Neurology, University College London, Queen Square, London, UK

Key words: optic neuritis, multiple sclerosis, neuromyelitis optica

Word count: 196 (abstract) 2471348 (body of manuscript excluding references)

<u>Corresponding author: Dr Ahmed Toosy, Queen Square MS Centre, Dept of</u> <u>Neuroinflammation, UCL Institute of Neurology, University College London,</u> <u>Queen Square, London, UK</u>

#### Abstract

**Purpose of review:** Acute optic neuritis (ON) is a common clinical problem, requiring a structured assessment to guide management and prevent visual loss. The optic nerve is the most accessible part of the central nervous system (CNS), so ON also represents an important paradigm to help decipher mechanisms of damage and recovery in the CNS. Important developments include the advent of optical coherence tomography (OCT) as a biomarker of CNS axonal loss, the discovery of new pathological antibodies, notably against aquaporin-4 and, more recently, myelin oligodendrocyte protein, and emerging evidence for sodium channel blockade as a novel therapeutic approach to address energy failure in neuroinflammatory disease.

**Recent findings:** We will present a practical approach to assessment of ON, highlighting the role of OCT, when to test for new antibodies and the results of recent trials of sodium channel blockers.

**Summary:** ON remains a clinical diagnosis; increasingly OCT is a key ancillary investigation. Patients with "typical" ON, commonly a first presentation of multiple sclerosis, must be distinguished from "atypical" ON, who require testing for new pathological antibodies and require more aggressive targeted treatment. Sodium channel blockade is an emerging and novel potential therapeutic pathway in neuroinflammatory disease.

## Introduction

Acute optic neuritis (ON) is relatively common with an estimated lifetime prevalence of 0.6/1000 [1], and age- and sex-adjusted incidence of 1-5/100 000 [1, 2]. ON most frequently affects young Caucasian women; the mean age of onset is 31-32 years [3, 4]. Patients may present to ophthalmologists, neurologists, emergency physicians or general practitioners, so knowledge of the condition is necessary for a wide range of clinicians. The presenting symptoms are readily recognisable and diagnosis is essentially clinical. In most patients, the pathology is demyelination of the optic nerve, which may reflect a first relapse of multiple sclerosis (MS), if there is additional clinical or radiological evidence of brain lesions fulfilling diagnostic criteria [5], or a clinically isolated syndrome suggestive of MS, if no evidence of intracranial involvement is present. In these patients with "typical" demyelinating ON (T-ON), spontaneous visual recovery is expected, and visual prognosis is good in 90-95% of cases [6]. In a smaller proportion of patients, ON occurs with a cause other than typical demyelination, usually an alternative inflammatory or infective disease. These patients exhibit "red flags" for an more aggressive aetiology, generally do not recover without directed treatment and are termed atypical ON (A-ON) in this review. Distinguishing T-ON from A-ON is critical to prevent permanent visual loss. In this review, we will describe a structured approach to the assessment of a patient with ON with a focus on recent developments, including the expanding role of optical coherence tomography (OCT), identification of new pathogenic antibodies implicated in cases of A-ON, and the insights that ON has provided into pathology of central nervous system (CNS) disorders including an emerging

role for energy failure in neuroinflammatory disease and sodium channel blockade as a potential new therapeutic strategy.

## **Clinical features of T-ON**

An attack of ON generally begins with periocular pain characteristically as worse on eye movements. Concurrent with pain onset, or following within a few days, visual loss occurs, ranging from mild blurring to loss of perception of light. There is usually dyschromatopsia. Associated positive visual phenomena, such as flashes of light on eye movements (termed phosphenes or photopsia) have been described. The presence of a relative afferent pupillary defect is a key clinical finding; patients with subclinical demyelination in the fellow eye or ipsilateral mild optic neuritis may not exhibit this sign. The optic disc is usually normal but is swollen in a third of patients [3] (termed papillitis rather than papilloedema); haemorrhages and exudates are unusual in T-ON but are more commonly seen in A-ON. In patients with subtle visual loss, acuities may be preserved but deficits of colour vision or low contrast acuity are evident in the vast majority [3]. Seventy nine percent of patients with T-ON begin to improve by three weeks and 93% by five weeks [7]. Vision returns to near normal, in terms of measured acuity [7], but patients often report their eyesight is not quite as good as before [8]; colours often appear washed out and residual visual problems may still impact on quality of life [9]. Uhthoff's and Pulfrich's phenomena may be noted around the time of visual recovery (respectively, transient worsening of vision with elevation of body temperature, for example, after a hot bath or exercise [10], and

difficulty judging the trajectory of a moving object, for example, a tennis ball, despite good visual acuity [11]).

## **Clinical features of A-ON**

Many of the initial features of A-ON are the same as T-ON but painless or very painful onset is more common (absence of pain was only seen in 8% of people with T-ON in the pivotal Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial [3]). Severe visual loss with haemorrhages and exudates on fundoscopy are red flags for A-ON, especially in non-Caucasian patients, in whom alternative inflammatory disorders such as sarcoidosis and lupus erythematosis (SLE) have a higher prevalence. Progression of visual loss beyond three weeks of onset, or failure of significant recovery at six weeks, suggest A-ON. The clinical features that help identify patients with A-ON are summarised in Table 1, together with some of the more common alternative causes to consider. Atypical inflammatory causes include neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder with anti-aquaporin-4 (AQ4) or anti-myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) antibodies, sarcoidosis, SLE, Behcet's disease or Wegener's granulomatosis, and are important to identify because early and aggressive immunosuppression, initially with steroids, can prevent permanent visual loss. Optic atrophy evident before six weeks can suggest optic nerve compression, metabolic or hereditary disorders (Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON) or autosomal dominant optic atrophy). There are a number of infective causes that also require targeted treatment (for example, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), tuberculosis (TB), syphilis and Bartonella henselae "cat scratch disease"; look for a macular star of associated

neuroretinitis). Nutritional causes of optic neuropathy include tobacco-alcohol amblyopia, but the history is often slower than T-ON and painless. Ischaemic optic neuropathy tends to occur in patients with hypermetropic discs; this is usually painless (unless there is associated temporal arteritis), optic nerve swelling is universal during the acute phase and an altitudinal visual field defect is typical (but can also occur in T-ON).

#### Investigations

T-ON is a clinical diagnosis and ancillary investigations are not mandatory if there are no red flags to suggest A-ON. OCT is emerging as a useful ancillary investigation and assessment of retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) thickness, with a peripapillary ring scan, and macular volume, were advocated in a recent expert consensus review [12]. OCT has identified additional pathological features, such as macular cystic changes in some patients [13]; the significance and nature of their pathophysiology is debated and a topic of further research. Cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is helpful to stratify risk of subsequent MS in clinically isolated T-ON- if the patient wishes to know- and to confirm the diagnosis of MS in people with a history of previous neurological episodes. High signal and contrast enhancement in the optic nerves are commonly seen on these scans in acute T-ON [14, 15]. In patients with isolated T-ON, subsequent risk of MS is dependent on length of follow-up and is approximately 25% at 15 years with a normal baseline cranial MRI and 72% if even a single demyelinating brain lesion was present [16]; risk rises with number of intracranial lesions. Risk rises with presence of oligoclonal bands in the cerebrospinal fluid too [17], but this is not routinely performed in T-ON.

The situation is different in A-ON. If any red flags are present to indicate A-ON, patients require early and more extensive investigation, described in Table 2.

# Management

In many patients with T-ON, no treatment is required. Early follow-up is important to ensure visual recovery. Methylprednisolone reduces the duration of an attack of T-ON but does not appear to improve visual outcome [18]. Prednisone was ineffective and, surprisingly, increased recurrence risk in the Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial (ONTT), the pivotal study that also yielded much of the natural history data reported above [18]. The benefits and risks of steroids versus no treatment may be discussed with patients with T-ON; patients with severe pain or visual loss, or coexistent fellow eye pathology, may have most to gain. Short-term side effects of steroids include insomnia, mood disturbance and, rarely, aseptic necrosis of the femoral head. If a patient opts for steroids, clinical practice is extrapolated from MS studies showing equivalence of using intravenous or oral steroids in MS relapses [19]; either oral methylprednisolone 500mg for 5 days or intravenous methylprednisolone 1g for 3 days may be used depending on local preference [20]. There is at present no available treatment for patients with T-ON who fail to recover. Biomarkers to identify these patients early are required; cerebrospinal fluid neurofilament light chains have been proposed [21], and research in this area is ongoing.

Patients with A-ON require targeted treatment to prevent visual loss. In particular, people with an inflammatory cause of A-ON require prolonged courses of steroids; initial intravenous methylprednisolone induction is followed by oral prednisolone tapered over several months. The duration of therapy and use of alternative steroid-sparing agents depends on subsequent clinical course and the underlying cause. Plasma exchange has been used in cases with severe visual loss unresponsive to steroids of varying aetiologies [22].

# Recent developments and future directions: the eye as a window to the brain

The optic nerve represents the most accessible part of the CNS, may be viewed directly using the ophthalmoscope and, now, the RNFL axons can be measured accurately using OCT. This accessibility and the fact that visual function can be measured objectively make ON an important model for research into CNS inflammatory disease. Study of patients with ON has led to advances in understanding of the pathophysiology of CNS inflammatory disease, for example, blood-brain barrier changes in association with conversion from ON to MS [23], trans-synaptic degeneration [24], relationships between demyelination and deficits in specific visual modalities [25] and the role of cortical plasticity in recovery [26, 27]. OCT measures such as RNFL thickness are now recommended as a surrogate marker for axonal loss in clinical trials in MS [28, 29] and appear to correlate with brain atrophy, especially within grey matter [30]. A recent multi-centre study showed that RNFL thinning correlated with disability in MS

[31], implying that the axonal loss measurable with OCT is clinically relevant, and validating its role as a surrogate marker of the pathology underpinning MS progression. Interestingly, RNFL thinning appears to progress in MS but not NMO [32], another fascinating difference between these contrasting neuroinflammatory diseases. The importance of OCT is likely to continue to grow because, despite rapid advances in relapsing-remitting MS therapeutics, treatment for the progressive phase remains the greatest challenge in the field. ON research therefore remains at the front line in the battle against neurodegenerative disease.

Blockade of sodium channels has been proposed as a novel therapeutic strategy to address axonal loss in secondary progressive MS. A hypothesis of energy failure was developed from animal models of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis [33, 34]; subsequent intra-axonal sodium accumulation is thought to lead to reversal of the sodium-calcium exchange pump and result in lethal accumulation of intra-axonal calcium [35, 36]. Phenytoin, a sodium channel blocker, reduced RNFL loss by 30% in a recent trial in ON patients [37], supporting proof of principle, although no effect on visual acuity was detectable. Similar results were obtained from a previous trial of memantine [38]. Alternative strategies of ion channel blockade using amiloride are also under investigation [39]. A differing approach is based upon a proposed neurotrophic role for erythropoietin; one phase II trial was encouraging [40] but another was negative [41]. A further trial of erythropoietin is underway [42]; The role of vitamin D in neuroinflammatory disease is debated; dynamic associations with RNFL thickness have been observed [43] but a recent study showed no association with disease severity [44].

Another key development has been the widening spectrum of antibody-mediated neuroinflammatory disease affecting the optic nerve, starting with the discovery of AQ4 antibodies [45, 46] in neuromyelitis optica (NMO). NMO restricted to the optic nerve is now a well established disease phenotype [47-49] and requires early and aggressive immunosuppression. The discovery of the AQ4 antibody was followed by the identification of antibodies to myelin oligodendrocyte protein (MOG) [50], found in 25-33% of AQ4 negative patients. These patients have a similar NMO phenotype [51, 52] but also some important differences to AQ4 patients, such as a higher frequency in children [53], a male preponderance, a monophasic course with fewer relapses [52] and more frequent involvement of the anterior, rather than posterior, visual pathways [54]. It appears likely that discovery of other antibodies will follow and the spectrum of A-ON will continue to expand, with important consequences for our understanding of CNS inflammatory disease.

# **Conclusion**

In summary, accurate diagnosis of ON is important, especially the recognition of any atypical features, in order to guide management and optimise visual prognosis. Moreover, ON offers a window into CNS pathophysiology that is starting to be exploited to address key therapeutic challenges in areas such as progressive MS.

# Key points

- ON is a clinical diagnosis; in typical cases, no investigations are mandated and spontaneous visual recovery generally occurs.
- The most important aspect of assessment is to identify any atypical features; these patients require further investigation and targeted management.
- ON offers a window into the pathophysiology of neuroinflammatory disease.

Acknowledgements, financial support and conflicts of interest

None.

# Table 1 Red flags that can indicate a case of A-ON and possible alternative

# diagnoses

Clinical feature	Comments	Possible alternative diagnoses
History:		
Lack of pain	Seen in 8% of	Optic nerve compression,
	patients with	hereditary (e.g. LHON), nutritional,
	T-ON	maculopathies
Severe or prolonged pain	Wakes the	Inflammatory or granulomatous
	patient up at	causes e.g. AQ4, sarcoid
	night	
Severe visual loss in non-	<6/60	SLE, sarcoid, Behcet's disease,
Caucasian		
Prolonged deterioration		Infectious (e.g. HIV, syphilis, Lyme,
>2-3 weeks		Bartonella, TB), nutritional,
		compression, alternative
		inflammatory (AQ4, MOG, SLE,
		sarcoid, Behcet's, Wegener's
		granulomatosis), CRION
Bilateral simultaneous		AQ4, MOG, infectious
ON		
History of cancer		Compression, paraneoplastic
		retinopathy

Examination:		
Visual acuity	<6/60	Alternative inflammatory,
		infectious
Fundoscopy: optic		Compression, LHON, metabolic
atrophy at presentation		
Fundoscopy: retinal	Macular star	Neuroretinitis (Bartonella, Lyme,
abnormalities	and papillitis	syphilis)
Follow-up:		
Lack of recovery at 6	Beware ON in	Compression, LHON, nutritional,
weeks	<u>an amblyopic</u>	atypical inflammatory (AQ4, MOG,
	<u>eye as an</u>	sarcoid, SLE, Behcet's, Wegener's)
	<u>alternative</u>	
	<u>cause of</u>	
	apparent poor	
	<u>recovery</u>	
Relapse on steroid		CRION, atypical inflammatory
withdrawal		

A-ON- atypical optic neuritis; AQ4- aquaporin-4 antibody related optic neuritis; CRIONchronic relapsing inflammatory optic neuritis; HIV- human immunodeficiency virus; LHON- Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy, MOG- myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-related optic neuritis; SLE- systemic lupus erythematosis; T-ON- typical optic neuritis

# Table 2 Investigations to consider in cases of A-ON

Investigation	Looking for	Comments
Cranial MRI	Intracranial	Also useful in T-ON to
	inflammation in sarcoid,	diagnose or stratify risk
	SLE, AQ4, MOG, etc	of MS
Orbital MRI	Optic nerve	Optic nerve sheath
	compression- mostly	meningioma, optic nerve
	painless (except	glioma, metastases,
	aneurysm and	lymphoma, mucocoele,
	mucocoele)	aneurysms
Cerebrospinal fluid	Elevated cell count and	A low grade
	protein in AQ4,	inflammatory response
	sarcoidosis, SLE, MOG,	(CSF WCC<50 may be
	Behcet's, Wegener's,	seen in MS)
	infections	
AQ4 antibodies	NMO spectrum disorder	Guarded visual prognosis
Anti-MOG antibodies	NMO spectrum disorder	Perform if AQ-4 negative;
		may be milder phenotype
		than AQ4
Other blood tests: serum	Sarcoid, SLE, Wegener's	Especially severe visual
ACE, ANA, ANCA		loss in non-Caucasian
		patients
Chest radiograph	Sarcoid, TB	

Infectious serology: HIV,	Bilateral disease, other	
VDRL, Lyme, Bartonella,	neurological signs,	
ТВ	neuroretinitis	
Genetics	LHON, autosomal	
	dominant optic atrophy	
	mutations	

ACE- angiotensin converting enzyme; A-ON- atypical optic neuritis; ANCA- antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; AQ4- aquaporin-4 antibody related optic neuritis; CSFcerebrospinal fluid; LHON- Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy; MOG- myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-related optic neuritis; MRI- magnetic resonance imaging; MS- multiple sclerosis; NMO- neuromyelitis optica; OPA- opSLE- systemic lupus erythematosis; T-ON- typical optic neuritis; VDRL- venereal disease research laboratory test for syphilis; WCC- white cell count

## References

1. MacDonald BK, Cockerell OC, Sander JW, *et al.* The incidence and lifetime prevalence of neurological disorders in a prospective community-based study in the UK. Brain 2000; 123 (Pt 4): 665-676.

2. Rodriguez M, Siva A, Cross SA, *et al.* Optic neuritis: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Neurology 1995; 45: 244-250.

3. Optic Neuritis Study G The clinical profile of optic neuritis. Experience of the Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial. Optic Neuritis Study Group. Arch Ophthalmol 1991; 109: 1673-1678.

4. Sorensen TL, Frederiksen JL, Bronnum-Hansen H, *et al.* Optic neuritis as onset manifestation of multiple sclerosis: a nationwide, long-term survey. Neurology 1999; 53: 473-478.

5. Polman CH, Reingold SC, Banwell B, *et al.* Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald criteria. Ann Neurol 2011; 69: 292-302.

6. Beck RW and Cleary PA Optic neuritis treatment trial. One-year follow-up results. Arch Ophthalmol 1993; 111: 773-775.

 Beck RW, Cleary PA and Backlund JC The course of visual recovery after optic neuritis. Experience of the Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial. Ophthalmology 1994; 101: 1771-1778.

8. Cleary PA, Beck RW, Bourque LB, *et al.* Visual symptoms after optic neuritis. Results from the Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial. J Neuroophthalmol 1997; 17: 18-23; quiz 24-18.

\*9. Sabadia SB, Nolan RC, Galetta KM, *et al.* 20/40 or Better Visual Acuity After Optic Neuritis: Not as Good as We Once Thought? J Neuroophthalmol 2016; Good recovery of measured visual acuity is usual following T-ON but this study suggests that residual deficits, considered minor by clinicians, may actually negatively impact patient's quality of life.

10. Selhorst JB and Saul RF Uhthoff and his symptom. J Neuroophthalmol 1995;15: 63-69.

11. Lanska DJ, Lanska JM and Remler BF Description and clinical application of the Pulfrich effect. Neurology 2015; 84: 2274-2278.

12. Petzold A, Wattjes MP, Costello F, *et al.* The investigation of acute optic neuritis: a review and proposed protocol. Nat Rev Neurol 2014; 10: 447-458.

13. Tawse KL, Hedges TR, 3rd, Gobuty M, *et al.* Optical coherence tomography shows retinal abnormalities associated with optic nerve disease. Br J Ophthalmol 2014; 98 Suppl 2: ii30-33.

14. Kupersmith MJ, Alban T, Zeiffer B, *et al.* Contrast-enhanced MRI in acute optic neuritis: relationship to visual performance. Brain 2002; 125: 812-822.

15. Hickman SJ, Toosy AT, Miszkiel KA, *et al.* Visual recovery following acute optic neuritis--a clinical, electrophysiological and magnetic resonance imaging study. J Neurol 2004; 251: 996-1005.

16. Optic Neuritis Study G Multiple sclerosis risk after optic neuritis: final optic neuritis treatment trial follow-up. Arch Neurol 2008; 65: 727-732.

17. Cole SR, Beck RW, Moke PS, *et al.* The predictive value of CSF oligoclonal banding for MS 5 years after optic neuritis. Optic Neuritis Study Group. Neurology 1998; 51: 885-887.

18. Beck RW, Cleary PA, Anderson MM, Jr., *et al.* A randomized, controlled trial of corticosteroids in the treatment of acute optic neuritis. The Optic Neuritis Study Group. N Engl J Med 1992; 326: 581-588.

\*\*19. Le Page E, Veillard D, Laplaud DA, *et al.* Oral versus intravenous high-dose methylprednisolone for treatment of relapses in patients with multiple sclerosis (COPOUSEP): a randomised, controlled, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2015; 386: 974-981.

A study of practical clinical importance, supporting non-inferiority of oral versus intravenous steroids in MS relapses, including ON, with important implications for patient comfort and service provision.

20. Toosy AT, Mason DF and Miller DH Optic neuritis. Lancet Neurol 2014; 13: 83-99.

\*21. Modvig S, Degn M, Sander B, *et al.* Cerebrospinal fluid neurofilament light chain levels predict visual outcome after optic neuritis. Mult Scler 2016; 22: 590-598.

An important area of need is identification of patients with T-ON who will fail to recover, who may be targeted for future neuroprotective trials. This paper reports results of assessment of a promising, although somewhat invasive, biomarker in cerebrospinal fluid.

\*22. Deschamps R, Gueguen A, Parquet N, *et al.* Plasma exchange response in 34 patients with severe optic neuritis. J Neurol 2016; 263: 883-887.

A report on the use of plasma exchange in a small heterogeneous group of ON patients with severe visual loss, who did not respond to steroids.

\*23. Cramer SP, Modvig S, Simonsen HJ*, et al.* Permeability of the blood-brain barrier predicts conversion from optic neuritis to multiple sclerosis. Brain 2015; 138: 2571-2583.

An interesting study reporting that white matter permeability, measured using MRI, is associated subsequent conversion to MS. Although not as strong a predictor as T2 lesion load, there are implications of potential future therapeutic importance.

\*24. Tur C, Goodkin O, Altmann DR, *et al.* Longitudinal evidence for anterograde trans-synaptic degeneration after optic neuritis. Brain 2016; 139: 816-828.

MRI evidence for trans-synaptic degeneration, an important pathophysiological concept, with optic radiation abnormalities developing after acute optic neuritis in a longitudinal cohort.

25. Raz N, Dotan S, Chokron S, *et al.* Demyelination affects temporal aspects of perception: an optic neuritis study. Ann Neurol 2012; 71: 531-538.

26. Toosy AT, Hickman SJ, Miszkiel KA, *et al.* Adaptive cortical plasticity in higher visual areas after acute optic neuritis. Ann Neurol 2005; 57: 622-633.

27. Jenkins TM, Toosy AT, Ciccarelli O, *et al.* Neuroplasticity predicts outcome of optic neuritis independent of tissue damage. Ann Neurol 2010; 67: 99-113.

28. Cohen JA, Reingold SC, Polman CH, *et al.* Disability outcome measures in multiple sclerosis clinical trials: current status and future prospects. Lancet Neurol 2012; 11: 467-476.

29. Balcer LJ, Miller DH, Reingold SC, *et al.* Vision and vision-related outcome measures in multiple sclerosis. Brain 2015; 138: 11-27.

\*\*30. Saidha S, Al-Louzi O, Ratchford JN, *et al.* Optical coherence tomography reflects brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis: A four-year study. Ann Neurol 2015; 78: 801-813.

A longitudinal study demonstrating that progression of OCT measures was associated with brain atrophy, particularly in grey matter, supporting a role for OCT as a surrogate marker of intracranial axonal loss in MS trials.

\*\*31. Martinez-Lapiscina EH, Arnow S, Wilson JA, *et al.* Retinal thickness measured with optical coherence tomography and risk of disability worsening in multiple sclerosis: a cohort study. Lancet Neurol 2016; 15: 574-584.

A large, multi-centre cohort study showing that thinner baseline RNFL in patients with MS (without ON) was associated with worsening disability over the next 5 years of follow-up, supporting the role of OCT as a clinically relevant biomarker of axonal loss in MS.

32. Manogaran P, Traboulsee AL and Lange AP Longitudinal Study of Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness and Macular Volume in Patients With Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorder. J Neuroophthalmol 2016; 33. Craner MJ, Damarjian TG, Liu S, *et al.* Sodium channels contribute to microglia/macrophage activation and function in EAE and MS. Glia 2005; 49: 220-229.

34. Lo AC, Saab CY, Black JA, *et al.* Phenytoin protects spinal cord axons and preserves axonal conduction and neurological function in a model of neuroinflammation in vivo. J Neurophysiol 2003; 90: 3566-3571.

35. Smith KJ Sodium channels and multiple sclerosis: roles in symptom production, damage and therapy. Brain Pathol 2007; 17: 230-242.

36. Trapp BD and Stys PK Virtual hypoxia and chronic necrosis of demyelinated axons in multiple sclerosis. Lancet Neurol 2009; 8: 280-291.

\*\*37. Raftopoulos R, Hickman SJ, Toosy A, *et al.* Phenytoin for neuroprotection in patients with acute optic neuritis: a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Neurol 2016; 15: 259-269.

A landmark randomized controlled trial of phenytoin in acute ON demonstrating a 30% reduction in RNFL thickness but no improvement in visual acuity measures. Importantly, provides some proof of principle for the sodium channel blockade hypothesis.

38. Esfahani MR, Harandi ZA, Movasat M, *et al.* Memantine for axonal loss of optic neuritis. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2012; 250: 863-869.

39. McKee JB, Elston J, Evangelou N, *et al.* Amiloride Clinical Trial In Optic Neuritis (ACTION) protocol: a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial. BMJ Open 2015; 5: e009200.

40. Suhs KW, Hein K, Sattler MB, *et al.* A randomized, double-blind, phase 2 study of erythropoietin in optic neuritis. Ann Neurol 2012; 72: 199-210.

41. Shayegannejad V, Shahzamani S, Dehghani A, *et al.* A double-blind, placebocontrolled trial of adding erythropoietin to intravenous methylprednisolone for the treatment of unilateral acute optic neuritis of unknown or demyelinative origin. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2015; 253: 797-801.

42. Diem R, Molnar F, Beisse F, *et al.* Treatment of optic neuritis with erythropoietin (TONE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial-study protocol. BMJ Open 2016; 6: e010956.

43. Burton JM, Eliasziw M, Trufyn J, *et al.* A prospective cohort study of vitamin D in optic neuritis recovery. Mult Scler 2016;

44. Pihl-Jensen G and Frederiksen JL 25-Hydroxyvitamin D levels in acute monosymptomatic optic neuritis: relation to clinical severity, paraclinical findings and risk of multiple sclerosis. J Neurol 2015; 262: 1646-1654.

45. Lennon VA, Wingerchuk DM, Kryzer TJ*, et al.* A serum autoantibody marker of neuromyelitis optica: distinction from multiple sclerosis. Lancet 2004; 364: 2106-2112.

46. Lennon VA, Kryzer TJ, Pittock SJ, *et al.* IgG marker of optic-spinal multiple sclerosis binds to the aquaporin-4 water channel. J Exp Med 2005; 202: 473-477.
47. Wingerchuk DM, Lennon VA, Pittock SJ, *et al.* Revised diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica. Neurology 2006; 66: 1485-1489.

48. Wingerchuk DM, Banwell B, Bennett JL, *et al.* International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. Neurology 2015; 85: 177-189.

49. Matiello M, Lennon VA, Jacob A, *et al.* NMO-IgG predicts the outcome of recurrent optic neuritis. Neurology 2008; 70: 2197-2200.

50. Kitley J, Woodhall M, Waters P, *et al.* Myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies in adults with a neuromyelitis optica phenotype. Neurology 2012; 79: 1273-1277.

51. Piccolo L, Woodhall M, Tackley G*, et al.* Isolated new onset 'atypical' optic neuritis in the NMO clinic: serum antibodies, prognoses and diagnoses at follow-up. J Neurol 2016; 263: 370-379.

\*52. van Pelt ED, Wong YY, Ketelslegers IA, *et al.* Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: comparison of clinical and magnetic resonance imaging characteristics of AQP4-IgG versus MOG-IgG seropositive cases in the Netherlands. Eur J Neurol 2016; 23: 580-587.

Anti-MOG antibodies were identified in a third of AQ4 negative cases with an NMO spectrum disorder in this study. A more favourable prognosis than AQ4 disease was observed.

53. Probstel AK, Rudolf G, Dornmair K, *et al.* Anti-MOG antibodies are present in a subgroup of patients with a neuromyelitis optica phenotype. J Neuroinflammation 2015; 12: 46.

\*54. Ramanathan S, Prelog K, Barnes EH*, et al.* Radiological differentiation of optic neuritis with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies, aquaporin-4 antibodies, and multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2016; 22: 470-482.

This study provides useful information on radiological differences in patterns of optic nerve damage in anti-MOG disease compared to other ON phenotypes.