

This is a repository copy of Men Presenting With Sexual Thoughts of Children or Coercion: Flights of Fancy or Plans for Crime?.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/110156/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Turner-Moore, T and Waterman, M (2017) Men Presenting With Sexual Thoughts of Children or Coercion: Flights of Fancy or Plans for Crime? Journal of Sexual Medicine, 14 (1). pp. 113-124. ISSN 1743-6095

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.11.003

© 2016 International Society for Sexual Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



MEN PRESENTING WITH SEXUAL THOUGHTS OF CHILDREN OR COERCION: FLIGHTS OF FANCY OR PLANS FOR CRIME?

Abstract

Introduction. There is limited evaluation of clinical and theoretical claims that sexual thoughts of children and coercing others facilitate sexual offending. The nature of these thoughts (what they contain) is also unknown.

Aims. To examine the relationship between child/coercive sexual thoughts and sexual offending, and to determine the nature of these thoughts and any differences between sexual offending (SO), non-sexual offending (NSO) and non-offending (NO) men.

Methods. In a cross-sectional computerized survey, anonymous qualitative and quantitative self-reported sexual thought and experience data were collected from 279 adult volunteers, comprising equal numbers of SO, NSO and NO men recruited from a medium-security UK prison and a community sample of 6081 men.

Main Outcome Measures. Computerized Interview for Sexual Thoughts and Computerized Inventory of Sexual Experiences.

Results. Three analytical approaches found child sexual thoughts were related to sexual offending; sexual thoughts with coercive themes were not. Latent class analyses identified three types of child sexual thought (primarily differentiated by interpersonal context: the reporting of own emotions, emotions of others or both) and four types of sexual thoughts of coercing others (chiefly discriminated by the other person's response: no emotional states reported, consent, non-consent, mixed). Type of child sexual thought and participant group were not significantly related. Type of coercive sexual thought and group were marginally related; the consensual type was more common for the NO group, the non-consensual type more common for the SO group, than expected statistically.

SEXUAL THOUGHTS OF CHILDREN OR COERCION

3

Conclusions. Child sexual thoughts are a risk factor for sexual offending and should be assessed by clinicians. Generally, sexual thoughts with coercive themes are not a risk factor, though thought type may be important (i.e. thoughts where the other person expresses an enduring lack of consent). Exploring the dynamic risk factors associated with each type of child/coercive thought may lead to more targeted treatment.

Keywords. Fantasy; Sexual Child Abuse; Coercion; Rape; Sex Offenses; Risk Factors.

Introduction

Sexual fantasies are almost universally experienced [1] and related to greater sexual arousal [2], orgasm [3] and satisfaction [2,3]. They can be subject to clinical attention when particularly (in)frequent or comprising certain themes; 'unusual' fantasies causing distress or impairment might indicate a paraphilic disorder [4] and some sexual thoughts (e.g. of children or coercing others) may raise concerns about risk of harm to others [4,5]. Similarly, theories of sexual offending posit that 'deviant' fantasies facilitate and maintain sexual offending in men [e.g. 6-8] and sexual fantasies often identified, implied or operationalized as 'deviant' are those of children and coercing/forcing others into sex.

Whilst the premise, clinically and theoretically, is that sexual fantasies of children/coercing others facilitate action, empirical evaluation of this claim is limited and beset with definitional issues. Sexual fantasy is rarely defined [e.g. 9-12], and while there is often an implicit assumption that fantasies are pleasant and wanted, they are usually operationalized as nearly any sexual thought [e.g. 13-16; also see 2]. Sexual fantasies of children are also not defined, or operationalized differently (e.g. children might include pre-pubertal children or all people below the country's legal age of consent) [e.g. 11,15,17], and sexual fantasies of coercing others might be operationalized with items on 'rape' (without a definition), non-consensual sex, or ambiguous references to 'threats' and 'force' [e.g. 9,15,18,19].

One evaluative approach to examining whether sexual fantasies of children/coercing others are related to sexual offending would be to compare the prevalence of these fantasies in men convicted for sexual offenses (a sexual offending

group/'SO group') with men convicted for non-sexual offenses and screened for sexual offenses (a non-sexual offending group/'NSO group') and community men screened for previous offenses (a non-offending group/'NO group'); a greater prevalence of such fantasies in the SO, than comparison groups implicates the fantasies in sexual offending specifically, before and/or after the offense. Prior research, however, is problematic; inferential statistics are sometimes missing, fantasies of male children and adults are combined, fantasies of rape are combined with fantasies of pain or subsumed within broader 'deviant' categories, and comparison groups sometimes have a sexual offending history [9,20]. Other studies include only one comparison [10,13,14,21] or no comparison [e.g. 11] group, failing to confirm whether the prevalence is highest for SO men, comparable to men with similar criminal/legal/prison experiences or men in general.

Studies also rarely separate fantasies of rape and sadism [e.g. 10,21].

A second empirical approach would be to compare the prevalence of sexual fantasies of children/coercing others in NO subgroups with a lower and higher proclivity for sexual offending, and SO subgroups with a lower and higher risk of sexual reoffending; a greater prevalence of these fantasies in the 'higher' than 'lower' subgroups suggests the fantasies are a risk factor for first time (in NO subgroups) and repeat (in SO subgroups) sexual offending. To our knowledge, in NO groups, the relationship between sexual fantasies of children and sex offending proclivity has not been examined (though these variables have been examined separately in community samples [e.g. 22]), and while some studies have investigated the relationship between sexual fantasies of coercion and rape proclivity, fantasies of rape are combined with bondage or sadism, and men with a sexual offending history have not been excluded [18,19,23]. In convicted SO

groups, research has examined the relationship between broader sexual variables (e.g. 'sexual interests') and the risk of sexual recidivism [e.g. 24].

A final empirical approach to examining whether sexual fantasies of children/coercing others are related to sexual offending would be to establish whether these fantasies are related to the number of child/adult victims in the SO group. Sexual fantasies of children have correlated with the number of child victims/offenses, indicating a relationship between these fantasies and sexual recidivism [e.g. 12,25, but see 15]. Other conclusions are limited; studies examining sexual fantasies of coercing adults have predominantly/completely sampled men who sexually offended against children [15,25] or investigated broader 'deviant' or 'crime' fantasy categories [16,26,27].

Sexual fantasies of children/coercing others cannot be a sufficient factor for sexual offending as these fantasies are also reported in NO groups and the causes of sexual offending are widely recognized to be multi-factorial [28]. However, whilst particular psychological, social, situational and other factors likely moderate any fantasy-offending relationship, the characteristics of the fantasies themselves might also be moderating variables. Typically, prior research has used long fantasy checklists [e.g. 9,10,17,20], providing insights into the prevalence of fantasies but nothing about their nature. Each checklist item is presented as a separate, discrete fantasy (e.g. "sex with your ex-girlfriend"); however, fantasies likely include a combination of people, actions and settings (e.g. oral sex with their ex-girlfriend on a beach) [29]. Therefore, fantasies of "having sex with a child" or "forcing someone to have sex" are unlikely to be homogenous constructs. Some studies have examined descriptions of men's sexual fantasies, usually their favorite/most frequent [e.g. 30,31], but typically only selected

aspects are analysed and comparisons with SO groups are rare [21]. To our knowledge, descriptions of men's sexual fantasies of children or coercing others have not been examined specifically, raising questions about the nature of these fantasies (i.e. what they entail) and whether SO men have qualitatively different (i.e. different in kind) sexual fantasies of children/coercing others to NSO/NO men.

In the present study, a sexual thought was conceptualized as a thought with sexual content (i.e. content typically seen as sexual within a given culture, e.g. oral sex) and/or thoughts that are sexually arousing to the individual (e.g. a sexually arousing thought of an inanimate object). Within this, sexual fantasy was conceptualized as a sexual thought with particular experiential and functional properties; that is, a pleasant, engaging, elaborated mental picture that creates, maintains or intensifies sexual arousal. The broader construct of sexual thoughts was examined, rather than sexual fantasies, for a more complete understanding of the potential relationship with sexual offending, recognizing that sexual thoughts of children/coercing others are also experienced as unpleasant/unwanted, and that some sexual fantasies lead to negative feelings, having been arousing or enjoyable initially [e.g. 32]. Sexual thoughts of children were defined as all people under 16 years of age, commensurate with the age of consent in the UK. Sexual thoughts with coercive themes comprised forcing someone to have sex – whether simulated or not, while sexual thoughts of coercing others were defined as those involving forcing someone to have sex against their will.

The first aim of the present study was to examine the relationship between sexual thoughts of children/coercive themes and sexual offending using the three empirical approaches described above. Convergent findings would provide evidence for a sexual

thought-offending relationship overall, whilst divergence might suggest a more nuanced relationship or possibly a methodological artifact of a particular approach. The second aim was to examine descriptions of sexual thoughts of children and coercing others to determine their nature and a subsidiary aim was to explore whether these differed by group (i.e. SO/NSO/NO group). Sexual thoughts with coercive themes were examined for the first aim to explore whether sexual thoughts with any coercive theme might be related to sexual offending, while sexual thoughts of coercing others were explored for the second aim to gain greater insight into thoughts of forcing someone against their will specifically.

Methods

Participants

Participants comprised a subsample of 279 men from 6289 men aged 18-90 (including 208 inmates at a medium-security prison in England and 6081 community men) who participated in the Sexual Thoughts Project (STP) from 2005-2007. The STP employs a cross-sectional design and anonymous computerized administration to examine men's sexual thoughts and putative links with sexual offending.

The SO group (one or more sexual index offenses) was recruited by distributing information leaflets to inmates, holding a research information session (28/31 attendees participated) and approaching men on the 'Vulnerable Prisoner' wings (72/86 participated; 11 refused, 3 excluded due to learning disabilities/difficulty communicating in English); 7 datasets were incomplete and excluded, producing a final sample of 93 SO men. The NSO group (one or more non-sexual index offenses, no history of sexual

offending) was recruited by distributing information leaflets and approaching men on the main prison wings (108/114 participated; 4 refused, 2 excluded due to poor reading ability); 1 dataset was excluded for self-reported sexual convictions/experiences of sexual offending. For the present study, 93 NSO men were selected based on most complete datasets. Participation for both groups attracted the same pay as prison work or education sessions.

Community men were recruited via posters/advertisements in sports centers, businesses and libraries, articles in the British press, and registration of the study website with major search engines and a directory of World Wide Web links. Initial news articles lead to international press coverage, internet articles and posts on other websites and forums. There were 13,720 first-time 'hits' to the website; 6005 men participated (predominately from Britain, the Republic of Ireland, Italy, and the USA). Additionally, 130 postal alternatives were requested; 76 (58%) were returned. Participants were not paid. For the present study, 93 NO men from the UK (UK nationals with no self-reported convictions, except minor non-sexual summary offenses, e.g. speed limit offenses, and no experiences of sexual offending) were selected from a pool of 305 UK NO men (out of 1819 total datasets available at the point of selection) for comparison with the 93 SO men. Each NO and SO participant was matched on key demographic variables: 73 participants on age \pm 5 years, education \pm 2 years and gender of adult sexual partners (female/male/both), and where multiple matches were available, relationship status, ethnicity and religiosity; broader education and age criteria were required for the remaining 20 participants.

Measures

Computerized Interview for Sexual Thoughts (CIST)

The CIST comprised 247 open- and closed-ended questions, covering sociodemographics (including current/prior convictions for screening NO/NSO groups), favorite sexual thought, sexual thoughts of children, and sexual thoughts with coercive themes. Depending on participants' responses, and the corresponding question branching, participants were presented with a minimum of 48 of these questions (e.g. if a participant indicated no sexual thoughts involving children, they were not presented with any further questions about the nature of these particular thoughts). Participants were asked to describe their favorite sexual thought (or most frequent if no favorite) in detail (with a prompt if fewer than 20 words were provided) and then to provide the number of other people in the thought and details for up to four of them (gender, age, relationship to participant, temperament in the thought); participants without people in their thought described the objects/things instead. Sexual thoughts of children were determined by asking "Out of 10 occasions when you have sexual thoughts, on how many occasions do you find yourself thinking about children?", adapted from Beckett [33], with a response format of 0–10 and anchor points of Never (0), Sometimes (5) and Always (10). Sexual thoughts with coercive themes were determined by two questions: "Out of 10 occasions when you have sexual thoughts, on how many occasions do you find yourself thinking about pretending to force a person to have sex with you / forcing a person to have sex with you against their will?" In the study glossary children were defined as "people under 16 years of age", force as "making someone do something against their will". Participants with sexual thoughts of children or coercing others (a response of 1–10 for

the child or second coercive item) were asked if this had been reported earlier (e.g. as their favorite sexual thought); if not, to describe the thought in detail, with similar 'interviewer' prompts to favorite sexual thought.

Computerized Inventory of Sexual Experiences (CISE)

The CISE comprised 410 primarily closed-ended questions (i.e. questions with defined response options), covering consensual and non-consensual adult sexual activity, sexual activity with children, masturbation, use of sexually explicit/abusive media, and childhood victimization experiences. This included participants' sexual offending experiences (for screening NO/NSO groups) and proclivity for sexual offending. Depending on participants' responses, and the corresponding question branching, participants were presented with a minimum of 22 questions (though this would be the case only if they had had none of the experiences outlined above). The NO/NSO groups rated their likelihood of engaging in: non-consensual sexual activity with adults ("On a scale of 1 to 5, how likely is it that you would force an adult to have sex with you if you could be assured that you would not be caught or punished?", adapted from Malamuth's [34] likelihood-to-rape item); and sexual activity with children ("On a scale of 1 to 5, how likely is it that you would have sex with a child if you could be assured you would not be caught or punished?", previously adapted from the likelihood-to-rape item by Briere and Runtz [35]). Men's reported likelihood of engaging in sexually aggressive behavior has been shown to be significantly associated with subsequent perpetration of sexually aggressive behaviors during a 3- month [36] and 4-month [37] follow-up period. The SO group completed modified proclivity items, indicating their likelihood of forcing

an adult to have sex with them again / have sex with a child again, if assured they would not be caught or punished. The SO group's total proclivity score and risk assessment (Static-99) scores were significantly correlated (r = .43, P = .003, one-tailed), indicating convergent validity for the proclivity items.

The Balanced Inventory of Desirable Responding (BIDR-6)

The BIDR-6 [38] assesses a person's propensity to respond in a socially desirable manner. Participants indicate agreement to 40 statements on everyday events using a 7-point Likert scale. The two subscales, Self-deceptive Enhancement (SDE) and Impression Management (IM), each comprise 10 true-keyed and 10 false-keyed items. Pertinent to this study is IM (self-presentation tailored to an audience); item 34 ("I never read sexy books or magazines") was omitted from scoring to avoid conflation with sexual variables [39]. All responses on the scale were counted [40]. Adjusted scores were computed for up to 5/40 missing values; participants with >5 missing values were excluded from the analysis [38]. Cronbach's alphas for IM were high (.82, .78, .75 for SO/NSO/NO groups respectively; alphas computed prior to imputing missing values).

Procedure

Participants completed the CIST, a two-minute picture-matching distracter task and three counterbalanced measures: The Wilson Sex Fantasy Questionnaire (not reported here) [41], the CISE and BIDR. In prison, one or two men participated per session using secure laptops mounted with a custom computer program (2 used paper-based alternatives), positioned at either end of a private room; participation signified

consent. Community men participated using a custom web application; typing a generic password indicated consent. All participants were assured of anonymity and confidentiality, and that sexual thoughts are a common human activity that can be varied and diverse [42]. Participants in prison were additionally reassured that their decision to participate in the research or not, to respond to a particular question, or what response was given, would not affect their prison sentence, treatment or parole. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Leeds and Her Majesty's Prison Service in the UK.

Statistical analyses

Grouping variables

The sum of the two proclivity items (scores can range from 2-10) were used to classify NO/NSO men, commensurate with usual practice [34,35], into Lower likelihood (score of 2) and Higher likelihood (scores of 3-10) of sexual offending (NO men: 74 classified as Lower likelihood, 18 Higher likelihood, 1 missing. The NSO group was excluded from further proclivity analyses as only 5 men were classified as Higher likelihood). The Static-99 [43] was used to classify SO men into Lower likelihood (low/moderate-low risk: n = 54) and Higher likelihood (moderate-high/high risk: n = 14) of sexual reoffending using CIST and CISE data (25 unclassified). Three minor modifications were made to coding guidelines [43]: criminal history was coded using self-report data; prior convictions for any offense was used instead of prior sentencing dates; and having lived with an intimate partner for at least two years was determined by a relationship status of married/living with partner/separated/divorced or a mean relationship duration of 2+ years.

Qualitative analyses

Content analysis was used for the sexual thought descriptions. The coding framework comprised 20 variables that described the content of the sexual thoughts [44]. Theoretical and research literatures were used to identify some a priori variables and corresponding coding schemes (e.g. the variable 'Paraphilic' behaviors with codes of Voyeurism, Fetishism, etc.) [31,45-47]. These coding schemes were applied to a representative subset of sexual thought descriptions, and the codes were refined or discarded as needed. For example, the codes for 'Paraphilic' behaviors were refined to distinguish between 'paraphilic' [47] and 'quasi-paraphilic' behaviors (e.g. direct observation of unsuspecting others was Voyeurism; of knowledgeable others was Social voyeurism), though pathology was not assumed in either case. Largely however, the coding framework was developed by examining participants' descriptions of their favorite sexual thought and those of children and coercing others, and identifying additional variables and codes (e.g. the variable Sexual dynamics with codes of Active, Passive, Mixed, Mutual, etc.) – a process that continued until few new variables and codes were identified (saturation). The a priori and empirical variables and their coding schemes were then applied to a different representative subset of descriptions to assess coverage, refine the code definitions, and to source examples. The coding framework was formalized into a Coding Manual. The development of the coding framework (variables and coding schemes) and the coding of the descriptions were undertaken blind to group membership.

15

The coding framework comprised 20 variables, which were either single- or multiple-selection variables (i.e. the coder was required to select one code only for that variable or mark each code, within that variable, as Present/Absent) [44]; this resulted in 112 sub-variables being coded in total for each description. A stratified random sample of 15% (N = 42) of favorite sexual thought descriptions (chosen as nearly all participants provided these) was independently second coded; 45% of 112 variables showed excellent agreement (κ = .81-1.00), 17% good (κ = .61-.80), 12% moderate (κ = .41-.60), 3% fair (κ = .21-.40), 17% slight (κ = .00-.20), and 6% produced weak negative kappa values [48]. Perfect agreement was reached for 41% (κ = 46) of variables. Most disagreements reflected minor coding violations by the second coder so the first coder's codes were retained. After coding, composite variables were computed, including count variables (e.g. the number of different 'Paraphilic' behaviors coded as 'present' within each description) and higher-order variables (e.g. combining codes from *Respondent's states* and *Other actors' states* to produce a new variable, Interpersonal context).

Latent class analysis (a form of model-based clustering [49]) was performed for all sexual thoughts of children, and for all sexual thoughts of coercing others, (favorite/non-favorite combined) using Latent Gold 4.0. The variables for analysis (derived from the men's descriptions) were reduced by excluding those least likely to discriminate between latent classes (i.e. where $\geq 80\%$ of descriptions had been assigned the same code for a variable or where $\geq 80\%$ of descriptions were divided over one valid code and an Unable to determine code for a variable). For example, if 80% or more of the descriptions were assigned a code of Home setting (or a code of either Home setting or Unable to determine the location), then the Location variable would be excluded from the

latent class analysis. Low-frequency codes were combined into higher-order codes, and missing value codes (e.g. Not applicable/Unable to determine) were merged. Models were generated for 1 to 4 latent classes (i.e. types of sexual thought) and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), a likelihood-based measure of model fit recommended for non-nested models [50], determined the optimum number of latent classes (five- and six-class models were generated for confirmation, if needed). Where the number of parameters (related to the number of latent classes, variables and codes within variables) for the model with the lowest BIC exceeded the number of participants, the variables were reduced by excluding those with the least variance explained by the model (R²); the models were then re-computed and assessed. To produce the simplest and most discriminatory model, the variable with the least effect on each latent class (as indicated by R² for each variable within the lowest-BIC model) was excluded, and again, the models re-computed and assessed.

In SPSS v.15/20, each participant was assigned to the type of sexual thought that he was most likely to have, based on the latent class probabilities. To provide a more fine-grained analysis of the characteristics of each type of sexual thought, all variables from the final models were disaggregated and exact Pearson's chi-squares [51] were used to examine the disaggregated, and previously excluded (e.g. Location), variables by type of sexual thought. As some of the variables within the coding framework were multiple-selection variables, which violate the assumption of independence, separate chi-squares were calculated for each code within the multiple-selection variable and a Bonferroni correction applied [52]. When conducting Pearson's chi-squares for contingency tables

greater than 2x2, the adjusted standardized residuals (AR) were used to identify which cells were responsible for the significant chi-square value [51].

Results

Based on self-reported current and prior convictions and sexual experience data, 46 SO men had sexually offended against children, 26 against adults, 12 against children and adults, and 9 could not be classified. The group included men who had completed (34.4%), were currently (31.1%) or had never taken part in (34.4%) a sex offender treatment program. Based on self-reported current and prior convictions, 51 NSO men had committed violent offenses, 20 non-violent only, 20 committed offenses that could be either, and 2 could not be classified. Participant characteristics and significant differences between groups are displayed in Table 1.

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Sexual thoughts involving children

Significantly more SO men reported sexual thoughts of children than would be expected statistically (SO 28.4%, AR = 4.2; NSO 2.2%, AR = -4.1; NO 14.6%, AR = 0.0) ($\chi^2(2, 260) = 23.40$, P < .001, V = .30), and among SO men, the thoughts were reported only by those who sexually offended against children (35.0%), or children and adults (58.3%); those that had offended only against adults reported no sexual thoughts of children. These thoughts were also significantly more common than statistically expected in the higher likelihood subgroup for NO (Higher 43.8%; Lower 8.3%) ($\chi^2(1, 88) = 13.04$, P = .002, V = .39) and SO (Higher 69.2%; Lower 20.0%) men ($\chi^2(1, 63) = 11.87$,

P = .001, V = .43). Furthermore, SO men with sexual thoughts of children had a significantly greater number of child victims (Mdn = 2, Range = 1-12) than those without these thoughts (Mdn = 1, Range = 1-2) (U = 18.00, z = -3.15, P = .001, r = -.64). Three 2x2 between-subjects ANOVAs examining (1) reporting sexual thoughts of children (Yes/No) and (2) either group (SO/NO), proclivity for sexual offending (Lower/Higher) or risk of sexual recidivism (Lower/Higher) on Impression Management (IM) scores found no significant main or interaction effects, indicating that men who did, and did not, report sexual thoughts of children did not differ significantly on IM scores, though cell sizes were small for the ANOVAs by level of proclivity/risk (Table 2).

INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

The latent class analysis for sexual thoughts of children was undertaken with 16 variables, producing a three-class model of four variables [44] (Table 3). Follow-up analyses examining disaggregated and excluded variables by thought type elaborated their nature. The most common type (44.1% of those with sexual thoughts of children) described sexual acts, such as oral sex and vaginal penetration, with an unknown, attractive, 13- to 15-year-old female child or 'schoolgirl'. Sometimes, there was a fetishistic element (e.g. school uniform, underwear). There was a sole focus on the men's desire and enjoyment; the child's emotions were not described, even when prompted for them (labeled 'Self-oriented'). The second most common type (38.3%) depicted a man, and a known child of 12 years, usually female, taking turns to initiate and lead a range of sexual acts (e.g. kissing/hugging/clothed contact, genital contact, vaginal/anal penetration) in a home setting. There was a strong emphasis on mutual desire and enjoyment ('Mutual'). Accounts of personal experiences, rather than 'idealized fantasies',

could additionally include negative emotions for the man/child. The final type (17.6%) comprised sexual acts with a known female child/children. The descriptions omitted any emotions, but a prompt for them provided a range of emotions for the child, most often sexual desire ('Prompted other-oriented'). Table 4 provides illustrative extracts for each thought type.

INSERT TABLES 3 AND 4 ABOUT HERE

Cross-tabulation of thought type by group (SO/NO; only two NSO men reported sexual thoughts of children) showed that both groups reported all three types, with the Mutual type most common for SO men, and the Self type for NO men (Table 5); the association was not significant ($\chi^2(2, 34) = 1.01$, P = .71, V = .17).

INSERT TABLE 5 ABOUT HERE

Sexual thoughts with coercive themes and sexual thoughts of coercing others

Significantly more NO men reported sexual thoughts with any coercive theme than would be expected statistically (NO 51.1%, AR = 4.4; NSO 29.8%, AR = -0.9; SO 18.1%, AR = -3.6) ($\chi^2(2, 257) = 21.94$, P < .001, V = .29). Among SO men, the thoughts were predominantly reported by those who sexually offended against adults (20.0%) or adults and children (41.7%; children only = 9.3%). The thoughts were not significantly more common in the higher likelihood subgroup for NO (Higher 68.8%; Lower 47.9%) ($\chi^2(1, 89) = 2.28$, P = .17, V = .16) or SO (Higher 30.8%; Lower 15.7%) men ($\chi^2(1, 64) = 1.55$, P = .24, V = .16). Moreover, SO men with these thoughts did not have a significantly greater number of adult victims (Mdn = 1, Range = 1-3) than those without these thoughts (Mdn = 1, Range = 1-2) (U = 36.50, z = -1.74, P = .12, r = -.36). Three

factorial between-subjects ANOVAs examining (1) reporting sexual thoughts with coercive themes (Yes/No) and (2) either group (SO/NSO/NO), proclivity for sexual offending (Lower/Higher) or risk of sexual recidivism (Lower/Higher) on Impression Management (IM) scores (Table 2) showed that NSO men had lower IM scores than SO/NO men but there were no significant differences in IM between those who did and did not report sexual thoughts with coercive themes (cell sizes were small for the ANOVAS by level of proclivity/risk).

The latent class analysis of sexual thoughts of coercing others (i.e. "forcing a person to have sex against their will") was undertaken with 17 variables, producing a four-class model of four variables [44] (Table 6). Follow-up analyses examining disaggregated and excluded variables by thought type provided further insight. The most common type (45.2% of those with sexual thoughts of coercing others) depicted instigating sexual acts with a person(s), often a known woman, sometimes using suffering/pain to gain her co-operation. Typically, the men described their desire/enjoyment, but omitted the woman's emotions, even after prompting for them (labeled 'No States'). The second type (20.1%) entailed physically forcing a female stranger(s) – who sometimes wore a uniform (e.g. French maid) - to engage in sexual acts, such as non-genital touching, oral sex and vaginal penetration. The woman's desire and man's enjoyment were described; thus, at least on one level, this type was experienced as consensual ('Consensual'). The third type (20.1%) depicted physically forcing a known woman who, usually following a prompt for further information, expressed only a lack of sexual desire/enjoyment, fear, or anger ('Non-consensual'). For some, suffering/pain was instrumental to the act; for others, it was sadistic and inflicted

solely for the man's enjoyment. The final type (14.6%) described restraining and physically forcing a known person(s) to engage in sexual acts, often vaginal penetration, in a variety of settings (e.g. home, office). The men described their desire, and typically a woman initially expressing a lack of desire, but this later giving way to her desire/enjoyment ('Mixed'). Table 7 provides illustrative extracts for each thought type.

INSERT TABLE 6 AND 7 ABOUT HERE

Cross-tabulation of thought type by group showed that the Consensual type was more common for NO, than offending groups; the Non-consensual type more common for SO, than comparison groups (Table 5). The association approached significance and was a moderate-large effect ($\chi^2(6, 35) = 12.19$, P = .053, V = .42).

Discussion

This research aimed to examine the relationship between sexual thoughts of children/coercion and sexual offending, explore what these thoughts entail, and determine any differences by group. Findings from three different analytical approaches supported a relationship between sexual thoughts of children and sexual offending. This relationship might involve thoughts contributing to offending, offending contributing to thoughts, or both. The findings suggested a bidirectional relationship as sexual thoughts of children were associated with a higher proclivity for first-time sexual offending (in NO men) and a higher risk of repeat sexual offending (in SO men), consistent with prior findings that a minority of SO men recall experiencing sexual fantasies of children before their first sexual offense (suggesting a relationship with first-time offending), while a greater number recall experiencing them before their second offense (suggesting a [potentially

stronger] relationship with sexual re-offending) [11,29]. However, whilst sexual thoughts of children are a risk factor for sexual (re)offending, the relationship might not be causal; for example, for some men, an underlying sexual interest in children might mediate the relationship between sexual thoughts of children and offending. Further research should identify any mediating variables, and if the association remains, identify the variables moderating whether such behavior is expressed and the mechanisms involved.

Moderating variables might be other thought characteristics (how they are experienced, e.g. vivid/frequent; their function, e.g. offence planning) and/or other vulnerability factors (e.g. anti-sociality). Sexual thoughts of children also may not be a risk factor in all cases; not all SO men experienced these thoughts, and even among SO/NO men who did, the thoughts were not always related to a likelihood of sexual (re)offending. Whilst socially desirable responding may partly explain these findings, they are also consistent with theorizing of multiple pathways to sexual offending [8].

We identified three types of sexual thoughts involving children, primarily delineated by the interpersonal context (Self-oriented, Mutual, Prompted Other-oriented). Gee et al. [29] also found a relational component to fantasy. Whilst novel to the academic literature, we might identify parallels to these types in popular culture; for example, the attractive, post-pubescent girl/schoolgirl and fetishized uniform in the Self-oriented type with Britney Spears's music video Baby One More Time, and the sexually aware, prepubescent child who's known to the man and instigates sexual activity in the Mutual type with Nabokov's novel Lolita and film adaptations. Trends suggested the Self-oriented type was common for NO men, the Mutual type for SO men; further research should examine whether, typically, NO men imagine scenarios with girls close to the age of

consent and SO men who have offended against children recall distorted/idealized memories of offending against pre-pubescent girls they knew.

Findings from three analytical approaches did not support a relationship between sexual thoughts with coercive themes and sexual offending. We identified four types of sexual thoughts of coercing others, principally differentiated by the other person's response. The association between thought type and group was a moderate-large effect (marginal significance), indicating that the SO group experienced the Non-consensual type and the NO group experienced the Consensual type more than would be expected statistically. These findings suggest that overall, sexual thoughts with coercive themes are not related to sexual offending, but that there may be a relationship at the level of thought type; in particular, thoughts where the other person only indicates a lack of consent. This is consistent with phallometric research showing that SO men with adult victims had relatively greater erectile responses to non-consensual audio sex stories than community NO men, while the reverse was found for mutually consenting stories [53].

The types of sexual thought of coercing others were consistent with research employing other approaches. The Consensual dominance/submission scenes and fetishized uniforms echo factor analyses of college/community samples' fantasies [1], while the omission of the other person's emotions in the No States type and their lack of desire in the Non-consensual type reflects a psychodynamic study of young SO men's masturbatory fantasies, showing that thoughts/emotions were rarely attributed to the other person, but where given, most commonly entailed unwanted sexual contact [54]. The Mixed states, often with the woman's lack of desire giving way to desire/enjoyment, reflects *women's* sexual fantasies of coercion [55] and may again be exemplified in

popular culture (e.g. early James Bond films). Furthermore, the low prevalence of sadism overall (11.4%), and its specific association with the Non-consensual type, is consistent with the phallometric study above, showing greater erectile responses overall for non-violent, than violent, audio sex stories in SO/NO men, but a correlation between non-consent and violent indices for SO men [53].

Regarding limitations, despite efforts to minimise socially desirable responding, the prevalence rates for men with sexual thoughts of children/coercing others in this study are likely to be underestimates, particularly for SO/NSO groups, who may have lower perceived anonymity and greater concerns about making disclosures. Including SO men from a high-security prison may also produce higher prevalence rates and replication in more diverse samples (e.g. nationality, ethnicity, sexuality) is needed. Adding an additional comparison group of men who have committed undetected sexual offenses might also be illuminating [56]. Shared method variance may partly explain the relationship between sexual thoughts of children and sexual offending, where men reporting these thoughts are also willing to report a proclivity for sexual offending or prior offending; triangulation via other means might be useful, though arguably, exploring the nature of thoughts necessitates self-report, and while case files can provide offending history, these data may be incomplete and compromise anonymity, potentially increasing socially desirable responding on other questions [57]. Whilst the findings suggest a bi-directional relationship between sexual thoughts of children and sexual offending, longitudinal studies are needed of NO/SO men with these thoughts. Finally, although the thought types are consistent with academic literature and wider cultural

influences, the sample size for each type is small and thus types and associations with groups are preliminary.

Conclusions

This research supports reports of sexual fantasies of children and coercing others in community men [e.g. 17, 22]; however, the findings also indicate that sexual thoughts of children can be related to sexual offending. Whilst this suggests that sexual thoughts of children should be a 'flag' for clinicians, indicating an increased risk for sexual (re)offending, offending is not inevitable and the thoughts might not be causal; thus, these thoughts should be considered alongside other personal and social factors. Sexual thoughts with coercive themes were generally not related to sexual offending. Women with sexual fantasies of being coerced do not wish to be a victim of rape [55] and the vast majority of men with sexual thoughts of coercing others will not wish to be a perpetrator. The research also identified data-driven typologies of men's sexual thoughts of children and coercing others for the first time, illustrating the variability of these thoughts among men and patterns by group. The findings indicated that sexual thoughts of coercing others might indicate cause for concern when the other person expresses an enduring lack of consent and further research is needed here. Researchers using fantasy checklists should also be cautious; qualitatively exploring ostensibly non-consensual thoughts ("forcing someone to have sex against their will") has revealed the many meanings of this item for men. Subsequent research should explore the constellation of risk factors associated with each type of sexual thought of children/coercing others and the related implications for theories of sexual offending and more targeted treatment approaches.

Funding

This research was supported by a doctoral scholarship from the University of Leeds.

References

- 1. Leitenberg H, Henning, K. Sexual fantasy. Psychol Bull 1995;117:469-96.
- 2. Renaud, C, Byers E. Positive and negative sexual cognitions: Subjective experience and relationships to sexual adjustment. J Sex Res 2001;38: 252-62.
- 3. Arndt W, Foehl J, Good F. Specific sexual fantasy themes: A multidimensional study. J Pers Soc Psychol 1985;48:472-80.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th edition. Washington: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- Veale D, Freeston M, Krebs G, Heyman I, Salkovskis P. Risk assessment and management in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Adv Psychiatr Treat 2009;15:332-43.
- Laws D, Marshall W. A conditioning theory of the etiology and maintenance of deviant sexual preference and behavior. In: Marshall W, Laws DR, Barbaree H, eds. Handbook of sexual assault: Issues, theories, and treatment of the offender. New York: Plenum Press; 1990:209-29.
- 7. McGuire R, Carlisle J, Young B. Sexual deviations as conditioned behavior: A hypothesis. Behav Res Ther 1965;2:185-90.
- 8. Ward T, Siegert R. Toward a comprehensive theory of child sexual abuse: A theory knitting perspective. Psychol Crime Law 2002;8:319-51.
- 9. Daleiden E, Kaufman AK, Hilliker D, O'Neil J. The sexual histories and fantasies of youthful males: A comparison of sexual offending, nonsexual offending, and nonoffending groups. Sex Abuse 1998;10:195-209.

- 10. Baumgartner J, Scalora M, Huss M. Assessment of the Wilson Sex Fantasy Questionnaire among child molesters and non-sexual forensic offenders. Sex Abuse 2002;14:19-30.
- 11. Marshall W, Barbaree H, Eccles, A. Early onset and deviant sexuality in child molesters. J Interpers Violence 1991;6:323-35.
- 12. Looman J. Mood, conflict and deviant sexual fantasies. In: Schwartz B, ed. The sex offender: Theoretical advances, treating special populations and legal developments. Kingston: Civic Research Institute; 1999:1-11.
- 13. Looman J. Sexual fantasies of child molesters. Can J Behav Sci 1995;27:321-32.
- 14. O'Donohue W, Letourneau E, Dowling, H. Development and preliminary validation of a paraphilic sexual fantasy questionnaire. Sex Abuse 1997;9:167-78.
- 15. Sheldon K, Howitt D. Sexual fantasy in paedophile offenders: Can any model explain satisfactorily new findings from a study of Internet and contact sexual offenders? Legal Criminol Psychol 2008;13:137-58.
- 16. Prentky R, Burgess A, Rokous F, Lee A, Hartman C, Ressler R, Douglas J. The presumptive role of fantasy in serial sexual homicide. Am J Psychiatry 1989;146:887-91.
- 17. Joyal C, Cossette A, Lapierre V. What exactly is an unusual sexual fantasy? J Sex Med 2015;12:328-40.
- 18. Greendlinger V, Byrne D. Coercive sexual fantasies of college men as predictors of self-reported likelihood to rape and overt sexual aggression. J Sex Res 1987;23:1-11.

- 19. Dean K, Malamuth N. Characteristics of men who aggress sexually and of men who imagine aggressing: Risk and moderating variables. J Pers Soc Psychol 1997;72:449-55.
- 20. Langevin R, Lang R. Curnoe S. The prevalence of sex offenders with deviant fantasies. J Interpers Violence 1998;13:315-27.
- 21. Rokach A, Nutbrown V, Nexhipi G. (1988). Content analysis of erotic imagery: Sex offenders and non-sex offenders. Int J Offender Ther Comp Criminol 1988;32:107-22.
- 22. Wurtele S, Simons D, Moreno T. Sexual interest in children among an online sample of men and women: Prevalence and correlates. Sex Abuse 2014;26:546-68.
- 23. Smeaton G, Byrne D. The effects of R-rated violence and erotica, individual differences and victim characteristics on acquaintance rape proclivity. J Res Pers 1987;21:171-84.
- 24. Craig L, Thornton D, Beech A, Browne K. The relationship of statistical and psychological risk markers to sexual reconviction in child molesters. Crim Justice Behav 2007;34:314-29.
- 25. Stinson J, Becker J. Assessing sexual deviance: A comparison of physiological, historical and self-report measures. J Psychiatr Pract 2008;14:379-88.
- DiGiorgio-Miller J. Emotional variables and deviant sexual fantasies in adolescent sex offenders. J Psychiatry Law 2007;35:109-24.
- 27. Kenny D, Keogh T, Seidler K. Predictors of recidivism in Australian juvenile sex offenders: Implications for treatment. Sex Abuse 2001;13:131-48.

- 28. Ward T, Polaschek D, Beech A. Theories of sexual offending. Chichester: Wiley; 2006.
- 29. Gee D, Devilly G, Ward T. The content of sexual fantasies for sexual offenders. Sex Abuse 2004;16:315-31.
- 30. Wilson G. Male-female differences in sexual activity, enjoyment and fantasies.

 Pers Individ Dif 1987;8:125-27.
- 31. Zurbriggen E, Yost, M. Power, desire and pleasure in sexual fantasies. J Sex Res 2004;41:288-300.
- 32. Renaud C, Byers S. Exploring the frequency, diversity and content of university students' positive and negative sexual cognitions. Can J Hum Sex 1999;8:17-30.
- 33. Beckett R. Assessment of sex offenders. In: Morrison T, Erooga M, Beckett R, eds. Sexual offending against children: Assessment and treatment of male abusers. London: Routledge; 1994:55-79.
- 34. Malamuth N. Rape proclivity among males. J Soc Issues 1981;37:138-57.
- 35. Briere J, Runtz M. University males' sexual interest in children: Predicting potential indices of "pedophilia" in a nonforensic sample. Child Abuse Negl 1989;13:65-75.
- 36. Gidycz C, Warkentin J, Orchowski L, Ewards K. College men's perceived likelihood to perpetrate sexual aggression. J Aggress Maltreat Trauma 2011;20:260-279.
- 37. Hermann C. Evaluations of rape: Investigations using implicit and explicit measures, online research methodology, and samples of community men (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Ottawa: Carleton University; 2015.

- Paulhus D. Balanced Inventory of Desirable Responding. Toronto: Multi-Health Systems; 1998.
- 39. Meston C, Heiman J, Trapnell P, Paulhus D. Socially desirable responding and sexuality self-reports. J Sex Res 1998;35:148-57.
- 40. Stöber J, Dette D, Musch J. Comparing continuous and dichotomous scoring of the Balanced Inventory of Desirable Responding. J Pers Assess 2002;78:370-89.
- 41. Wilson G. Secrets of sexual fantasy. London: Dent; 1978.
- 42. Tourangeau R, Yan T. Sensitive questions in surveys. Psychol Bull 2007;133:859-83.
- 43. Harris A, Phenix R, Hanson K, Thornton D. Static-99 coding rules. Ottawa: Department of Justice; 2003.
- 44. Supporting information is available on Journal of Sexual Medicine online.
- 45. Hall C, van de Castle R. The content analysis of dreams. Connecticut: Appleton-Century-Crofts; 1966.
- 46. Barnett D, Manly J, Cicchetti D. Defining child maltreatment: The interface between policy and research. In: Cicchetti D, Toth S, eds. Child abuse, child development and social policy: Advances in applied development. Volume 8. Norwood: Ablex; 1993:7-73.
- 47. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th edition Text revision (DSM-IV-TR). Washington: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- 48. Landis J, Koch G. The measure of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33:159-74.

- 49. Fraley C, Raftery A. How many clusters? Which clustering methods? Answers via model-based cluster analysis. Comput J 1998;41:578-88.
- 50. Kass RE, Raftery, AE. Bayes Factors. J Am Stat Assoc 1995;90:773-95.
- 51. Everitt B. The analysis of contingency tables. 2nd edition. Amsterdam: Chapman and Hall/CRC; 1992.
- 52. Agresti A, Liu I. Modeling a categorical variable allowing arbitrarily many category choices. Biometrics 1999;55:936-43.
- 53. Harris G, Lalumière M, Seto M, Rice M, Chaplin T. Explaining the erectile responses of rapists to rape stories: The contributions of sexual activity, non-consent and violence with injury. Arch Sex Behav 2012;41:221-29.
- 54. Dutton W, Newlon B. Early recollections and sexual fantasies of adolescent sex offenders. J Individ Psychol 1988;44:85-94.
- 55. Bivona J, Critelli J. The nature of women's rape fantasies: An analysis of prevalence, frequency and contents. J Sex Res 2009;46:33-45.
- 56. Dombert B, Schmidt A, Banse R, Briken P, Hoyer J, Neutze J, Osterheider M. How common is men's self-reported sexual interest in prepubescent children? J Sex Res 2016;53:214-23.
- 57. Joinson A. Social desirability, anonymity, and Internet-based questionnaires.

 Behav Res Methods Instrum Comput 1999;31:433-38.

Tables

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Sample

	SO group		N	NSO group			NO group		
Characteristic	M	(SD)		M	(SD)		M	(SD)	
Age ^a ***	42.80 _a	(14.12)		27.81 _b	(6.73)		42.41 _a	(13.70)	
Education ^b (years)***	12.51 _a	(3.49)		10.58 _b	(3.33)		13.80 _c	(2.84)	
Religiosity ^c (1 - 5)***	2.67 _a	(1.32)		1.83 _b	(0.93)		2.10_{b}	(1.17)	
Months in prison ^d ***	17.51	(13.25)		8.47	(12.23)				
	%	n	(AR)	%	n	(AR)	%	n	(AR)
Relationship status ^a ***									
Single	36.6	34	(0.7)	41.9	39	(2.1)	22.6	21	(-2.8)
Dating	6.5	6	(-0.6)	10.8	10	(1.3)	6.5	6	(-0.6)
Living tog./Married	25.8	24	(-3.9)	41.9	39	(0.0)	58.1	54	(3.9)
Separated/Divorced	26.9	25	(3.9)	5.4	5	(-3.2)	12.9	12	(-0.7)
Widowed	2.8	4	(2.8)	0.0	0	(-1.4)	0.0	0	(-1.4)
Gender of partners ^e									
Female	89.0	73		96.5	82		87.6	78	
Male	4.9	4		1.2	1		5.6	5	
Females and males	6.1	5		2.4	2		6.7	6	
Ethnicity ^f *									
White background	92.3	84	(-0.6)	92.4	85	(-0.5)	95.7	89	(1.1)
Black background	5.5	5	(2.7)	1.1	1	(-0.9)	0.0	0	(-1.8)

Asian background	1.1	1	(0.0)	0.0	0	(-1.2)	2.2	2	(1.2)
Mixed background	1.1	1	(-1.4)	6.5	6	(2.2)	2.2	2	(-0.7)
Other background	0.0	0		0.0	0		0.0	0	

Note. Means in the same row that do not share subscripts differed at p < .05 at post-hoc testing. SO = Sexual offending; NSO = Non-sexual offending; NO = Non-offending; NO = Non-offending;

Table 2. Reporting Sexual Thoughts of Children/with Coercive Themes and Impression Management Scores

	Sexu	al though	hts of chil	dren	Sexual thoughts with				
					coercive themes				
_	Y	es		No		Yes	No		
Group/subgroup	M	(SD)	M	(SD)	M	(SD)	M (SD)		
Group ^a									
SO	72.09	(18.96)	71.18	(18.56)	70.87	(14.07)	71.28 (19.67)		
NSO					56.36	(13.46)	56.97 (17.63)		
NO	68.54	(13.31)	67.15	(16.68)	68.91	(15.24)	65.81 (16.94)		
Proclivity ^b									
Lower	72.33	(15.74)	67.36	(17.33)	70.71	(15.94)	65.24 (17.83)		
Higher	65.29	(11.01)	64.57	(12.07)	63.64	(12.12)	69.67 (4.93)		
Risk of sexual rec	eidivism ^c								
Lower	75.78	(23.07)	68.62	(19.00)	71.25	(16.78)	69.72 (20.69)		
Higher	68.56	(15.29)	67.25	(24.84)	78.50	(5.32)	63.56 (19.44)		
N . CO C 1	CC 1'	NIGO	N T	1 00 1	NO	NT CC	1' TT' 1		

Note. SO = Sexual offending; NSO = Non-sexual offending; NO = Non-offending. Higher scores indicate greater impression management. a N = 154 for sexual thoughts of children, N = 236 for sexual thoughts with coercive themes. b N = 78 for sexual thoughts of children, N = 79 for sexual thoughts with coercive themes. c N = 59 for sexual thoughts of children, N = 61 for sexual thoughts with coercive themes. The NSO group was excluded from the group (SO/NO) x sexual thoughts of children (Yes/No) ANOVA as only two NSO men reported sexual thoughts of children; the NSO group could be included in the group (SO/NSO/NO) x sexual thoughts with coercive themes (Yes/No) ANOVA and this indicated that the NSO group (M = 56.80, SD = 16.48) had significantly lower IM scores than the SO (M = 71.20, SD = 18.64) and NO (M =

67.47, SD = 16.02) groups. No other main effects and no interactions were significant for any ANOVA. Cell sizes were smaller for the ANOVAs by level of proclivity/risk, ranging from 4-58, and therefore inferential statistics should be interpreted cautiously.

Table 3. Conditional and Latent Class Probabilities for Sexual Thoughts of Children

		Cluster	
	1	2	3
Identity of other actors			
Known person ^a	0.131	0.640	0.648
Stranger ^b	0.683	0.287	0.346
Missing	0.186	0.073	0.006
Interpersonal context ^c			
No emotional states	0.309	0.003	0.007
Self-oriented	0.556	0.006	0.013
Other-oriented	0.004	0.005	0.931
Mutual	0.007	0.914	0.045
Missing	0.124	0.072	0.004
Other actors' desire			
Desire	0.010	0.988	0.511
Absent ^d	0.867	0.011	0.486
Missing	0.123	0.002	0.003
Provision of other actors' emotional state	es ^e		
Unprompted	0.007	0.854	0.018
Prompted	0.004	0.135	0.959
Missing	0.989	0.011	0.023

Prevalence of each cluster

0.441

0.383

0.176

Note. N = 36; 2 participants in the SO group who reported sexual thoughts of children did not provide a description. ^a Known person includes Partner, Family member, Known person, Famous person. ^b Stranger includes Occupational identification; Ethnic, national or regional identification; Stranger. ^c Composite variable based on whether any emotional states were reported for the participant only (Self-oriented), the other actor/s only (Other-oriented), or both (Mutual). ^d i.e. Not included within the written description or prompts. ^e Provided in the open-ended description (unprompted) or following 'interviewer prompts' for further information (prompted) where a description of fewer than 20 words was supplied and/or for the other person's temperament in the thought. Missing for Identity of other actors and *Provision of other actors' emotional states* includes Not given and Unable to determine (ambiguous); Missing for Interpersonal context and *Other actors' desire* includes Unable to determine (ambiguous).

 ${\bf Table~4.~Illustrative~Extracts~for~Sexual~Thoughts~of~Children}$

Type	Extract							
Self-oriented	"It would be of girls only school girls aged 13-16. They would							
	have to be in school uniform short skirt no stockings maybe doing							
	hanstand on a wall. They could be fighting. Exposing their							
	underwear. G strings. I would love to see them. Maybe kiss them							
	using my toung."							
	"I like to think about lesbian school girls Well I like to think							
	about having sex with teenagers and their friends!"							
Mutual	"My only real sexual thought is about being in an intermate							
	relationship with a boy of around 12 years old and as the relationship							
	developes it becomes sexual. The relationship is an important pre-							
	cursor to the sex and the boy has to want sexual contact and it is							
	always in a very loving way. In many ways the sexual content is less							
	important than the love although thinking of this loving relationship							
	can be sexualy stimulating."							
	"() my daughter had her friend (both 12 yrs old) stay							
	overnight at our home and in the dark room I meant to touch her							
	friend, but they must have changed positions and I did it to my							
	daughterbut I had thought I had probably made that mistake all							
	along. Then approximately 16/17 years ago my daughter left me in							
	charge of her children (1 boy 1 girl) and a boy and girl aged 11 yrs of							

age came to play with them. and over a period of probably 3-4

months, in playing I touched girl 4-5 times and because she didn't object, I convinced myself this was acceptable, then one day she asked me to do it to a friend she had brought with her. I said no I daren't (...)"

Prompted

"I am touching them all over".

other-oriented

Following prompt for other actor's temperament: "Happy".

"I think about having sex with a little girl".

Following prompt for other actor's temperament: "Gentle but a little scared".

Note. All extracts are provided verbatim, including errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation. Participants provided additional information via the 'interviewer' prompts (only prompts for temperament for the Prompted Other-oriented type are shown).

Table 5. Cross-tabulation of Type of Sexual Thought of Children / Coercing Others by Group

	S	O gr	oup	NSO g	group	NO g	group
Type of sexual thought	%	n	(AR)	% n	(AR)	% n	(AR)
Child ^a							
Self-oriented	38.1	8				53.8 7	
Mutual	47.6	10				30.8 4	
Prompted other-oriented	14.3	3				15.4 2	
Coercing others ^b							
No states	44.4	4	(-0.1)	66.7 6	(1.5)	35.3 6	(-1.2)
Consensual	0.0	0	(-1.7)	11.1 1	(-0.8)	35.3 6	(2.2)
Non-consensual	44.4	4	(2.1)	22.2 2	(0.2)	5.9 1	(-2.0)
Mixed	11.1	1	(-0.3)	0.0 0	(-1.4)	23.5 4	(1.5)

Note. SO = Sexual offending; NSO = Non-sexual offending; NO = Non-offending; AR = Adjusted residual. a N = 34 men in the SO/NO groups who reported sexual thoughts of children and provided a written description; the NSO group was excluded from the chi-square analysis as only two men reported sexual thoughts of children. b N = 35 men from all groups who reported sexual thoughts of coercing others and provided a written description. Since the chi-square for coercing others approached significance (p = .053) and was a moderate-large effect, ARs are included for this type of thought.

Table 6. Conditional and Latent Class Probabilities for Sexual Thoughts of Coercing Others

		Clu	ster			
	1	2	3	4		
Valence of other actors' emotiona	l states					
Positive only ^a	0.003	0.972	0.007	0.010		
Negative only ^b	0.003	0.007	0.972	0.010		
Positive and negative ^c	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.959		
Missing	0.992	0.016	0.016	0.021		
Other actors' desire and/or enjoyr	nent					
Desire/enjoyment	0.005	0.977	0.012	0.968		
Absent ^d	0.995	0.023	0.988	0.032		
Other actors' lack of desire and/or	r enjoyment					
Lack of desire/enjoyment	0.005	0.011	0.838	0.967		
Absent ^d	0.995	0.989	0.162	0.033		
Provision of other actors' emotional states ^e						
Unprompted	0.005	0.562	0.286	0.967		
Prompted	0.003	0.422	0.698	0.011		
Missing	0.992	0.016	0.016	0.022		
Prevalence of each cluster	0.452	0.201	0.201	0.146		

Note. N = 35; 1 participant in each of the SO, NSO and NO groups who reported sexual thoughts of coercing others did not provide a description. ^a Positive only includes

Attraction, Love, Desire, Enjoyment, Other positive. ^b Negative only includes Anger, Fear, No desire, No enjoyment, Other negative. ^c Positive and negative includes Transition from positive to negative, Transition from negative to positive, Concurrent positive and negative states, Complex sequence of positive and negative states. ^d i.e. Not included within the written description or prompts. ^e Provided in the open-ended description (unprompted) or following 'interviewer prompts' for further information (prompted) where a description of fewer than 20 words was supplied and/or for the other person's temperament in the thought. Missing for *Valence of other actors' emotional* states and *Provision of other actors' emotional states* includes Not given and Unable to determine (ambiguous); there were no ambiguous data for Other actors' *desire and/or* enjoyment.

Table 7. Illustrative Extracts for Sexual Thoughts of Coercing Others

Type	Extract
No states	"I like to tie a girl up & blind fold her - then i leave the room &
	return with another girl & we both take her."
	"Having anal intercourse with a teenager who is wearing high
	heel boots and red leather mini dress."
Consensual	"Having sex with a stranger - or more than one anonymous sex
	walking in to an unlocked room, the person is waiting, perhaps
	blindfolded or semi restrained. but willing and compliant."
	"My favorite sexual thought is to have a young lady in schoolgirl
	uniform, but not under-aged, and to spank her while having sex from
	behind. This only works, however, if the girl in question gets equal
	pleasure from being spanked, and my pleasure is only gratified if she
	is also able to reach climax."
Non-	"I have the person in question tied up and force myself on them,
consensual	they would never agree to this, which is why it is exciting; i do not
	hurt them. this is pure fantasy, an impossible dream. the victim is
	another male."
	"To rape women . to have sex with more than one woman at the
	same time - to force a woman to do sexual acts on me and on her self.
	to have sex with a woman at knife point . to frighten her , make her

plead and beg for it to stop .with two women it would be twice as fun."

Mixed

"Sometimes dream about tying up a virgin and initiating her to sex against her will. (She eventually likes it!)."

"After my divorce which was triggered by my wifes infidelity I run into her and her lover at the station in [name of place]. This severely upset me as intially he had rejected her but evidently they were at it again. He almost started a fight. Anyway several days latter I had a wet dream that involved first knocking seven bells out the lover then roughly taking the ex-wife who although pasively resisting physically was obviously enjoying it."

Note. All extracts are provided verbatim, including errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation. Participants provided additional information via the 'interviewer' prompts (not shown).