



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Paediatric extracranial germ-cell tumours*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/107206/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Shaikh, F, Murray, MJ, Amatruda, JF et al. (11 more authors) (2016) Paediatric extracranial germ-cell tumours. *Lancet Oncology*, 17 (4). e149-e162. ISSN 1470-2045

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(15\)00545-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00545-8)

---

(c) 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Lancet Oncology*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

**Reuse**

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

## Paediatric Extracranial Germ Cell Tumours

Corresponding Author: Dr. Furqan Shaikh, M.D., M.Sc.

Corresponding Author's Institution: The Hospital for Sick Children

First Author: Furqan Shaikh, M.D., M.Sc.

Order of Authors: Furqan Shaikh, M.D., M.Sc.; Matthew J Murray, MB, BChir, PhD ; James F Amatruda, MD, PhD; Nicholas Coleman, PhD; James C Nicholson; Juliet P Hale, MD; Farzana Pashankar, MD, MBBS, MRCP(UK); Sara J Stoneham, MD; Jenny N Poynter, PhD; Thomas A Olson, MD; Deborah F Billmore; Daniel Stark; Carlos Rodriguez-Galindo, MD; A. Lindsay Frazier, MD

**Abstract:** The management of paediatric extracranial germ cell tumours (GCTs) carries a unique set of challenges. GCTs are a heterogeneous group of neoplasms that present across a wide range of age, site, histology, and clinical behaviour. They are managed by a diverse variety of specialists. Correspondingly, their staging, risk-stratification, and treatment approaches have evolved disparately along multiple trajectories. Paediatric GCTs differ from the adolescent and adult disease in many ways, leading to complexities in applying age-appropriate evidence-based care. Suboptimal outcomes remain for several patient groups, and among survivors there are significant long-term toxicities. The challenge moving forward will be to translate new insights from molecular studies and collaborative clinical data into better patient outcomes. Future trials will be characterised by improved riskstratification systems, biomarkers for response and toxicity, rational reduction of therapy for low-risk patients and novel approaches for highrisk patients, and improved international collaboration across paediatric and adult cooperative research groups.

Panel 1. Search strategy and selection criteria:

References for this Review were identified through searches of Medline with the search term “germ cell tumour” from 1990 until 2015. We included older, seminal publications that underpin understanding of the topic. Only papers published in English were reviewed. The final reference list was generated on the basis of relevance, historical impact, and opportunities for further reading.

Panel 2. Chemotherapy regimen abbreviations:

BEP: bleomycin (weekly dosing), etoposide, cisplatin

Accelerated BEP: BEP with the cisplatin/etoposide component administered every 2 weeks

CA/PVB: cyclophosphamide, actinomycin-D, cisplatin, vinblastine, bleomycin

CEB or BEC: carboplatin, etoposide, bleomycin (weekly dosing)

CEb: carboplatin, etoposide, bleomycin (paediatric trials, once per cycle bleomycin)

C-PEb: cyclophosphamide, cisplatin, etoposide, bleomycin

CBOP-BEP: carboplatin, bleomycin, vincristine, cisplatin + BEP

EP: etoposide, cisplatin

GETUG-13: BEP x1, paclitaxel/oxaliplatin/BEP x2, cisplatin/ifosfamide/bleomycin x2

HD-PE: high-dose cisplatin (150 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/cycle), etoposide

HD-PEb: high-dose cisplatin (200 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/cycle), etoposide, bleomycin

JEb: carboplatin, etoposide, bleomycin (paediatric trials; once per cycle bleomycin)

PEb: cisplatin, etoposide, bleomycin (paediatric trials; once per cycle bleomycin)

PEI: cisplatin, etoposide, ifosfamide

PVB: cisplatin, vinblastine, bleomycin

TI-CE: paclitaxel and ifosfamide followed by high-dose carboplatin and etoposide

TIP: paclitaxel, ifosfamide, cisplatin

VIP (or VeIP): vinblastine, ifosfamide, cisplatin

## SUMMARY

The management of paediatric extracranial germ cell tumours (GCTs) carries a unique set of challenges. GCTs are a heterogeneous group of neoplasms that present across a wide range of age, site, histology, and clinical behaviour. They are managed by a diverse variety of specialists. Correspondingly, their staging, risk-stratification, and treatment approaches have evolved disparately along multiple trajectories. Paediatric GCTs differ from the adolescent and adult disease in many ways, leading to complexities in applying age-appropriate evidence-based care. Suboptimal outcomes remain for several patient groups, and among survivors there are significant long-term toxicities. The challenge moving forward will be to translate new insights from molecular studies and collaborative clinical data into better patient outcomes. Future trials will be characterised by improved risk-stratification systems, biomarkers for response and toxicity, rational reduction of therapy for low-risk patients and novel approaches for high-risk patients, and improved international collaboration across paediatric and adult cooperative research groups.

## INTRODUCTION

Although often referred to as a rare paediatric cancer, malignant GCTs (MGCTs) represent 3.5% of all childhood cancers that occur before 15 years (y) of age, making them approximately as common as childhood rhabdomyosarcomas, osteosarcomas, or retinoblastomas.<sup>1</sup> In adolescents aged 15-19y, however, MGCTs represent 13.9% of neoplasms, becoming the most common solid tumour and the second most common malignancy, after Hodgkin lymphoma, in this agegroup. Based on data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database, the United States (US) age-adjusted incidence of extracranial GCTs is 11.7 per million in boys and 6.7 per million in girls. There are about 900 new cases of MGCT diagnosed in the US each year in patients <20y. There are two distinct peaks in incidence, one in young children (0-4y) and another from the onset of puberty through young adulthood.<sup>2</sup>

## BIOLOGY

A better understanding of the molecular basis of GCTs may allow improved risk-stratification and identification of targets for the development of novel therapies, with the aim of improving overall survival for high-risk groups and rationalising therapy reductions in low-risk groups. Aetiology. GCTs are hypothesised to occur as a result of events in utero, although the aetiology remains largely unknown. Strong heritability estimates suggest a genetic susceptibility.<sup>3</sup> Potential

risk factors include parental demographic characteristics, in utero chemical or hormone exposures, parental lifestyle factors, and congenital abnormalities.<sup>4</sup> Of these, cryptorchidism and Klinefelter syndrome are associated with an increased risk of testicular and mediastinal tumours in boys, and Turner syndrome with an increased risk of ovarian tumours in girls. Disorders of sexual differentiation such as Frasier syndrome, Swyer syndrome, and other androgen insensitivity syndromes are associated with an increased risk of GCTs in the streak gonads, principally gonadoblastoma.<sup>4</sup>

**Development.** GCTs arise from early germline progenitors known as primordial germ cells (PGCs). The totipotent nature of PGCs explains the wide variety of possible GCT histologies observed (Figure 1). A widely held hypothetical model of tumourigenesis proposed by Teilum<sup>5</sup> (Figure 2) views germinomas (seminomas and dysgerminomas in testicular and ovarian sites, respectively) as arising directly from undifferentiated PGCs and therefore retaining pluripotency. Embryonal carcinomas (EC) display early embryonic differentiation. These may further differentiate into tumours containing all three germ layers (endoderm, ectoderm and mesoderm), termed teratomas. In contrast, those that follow an extra-embryonic differentiation pathway result in either yolk sac tumours (YST; formerly endodermal sinus tumours), or choriocarcinomas (CC; tumours resembling the trophoblast).<sup>6</sup> Tumours that contain multiple malignant histologies are termed mixed MGCTs.

PGCs migrate from the yolk sac to the gonadal ridge during early gestation through the midline of the developing embryo. Several factors are required for the survival and migration of PGCs, including the chemokine receptor CXCR4, and the KIT ligand KITLG,<sup>3, 7</sup> which is expressed in an increasing gradient to the gonadal ridge. Disruption of this migration process may explain the occurrence of extragonadal GCTs and their midline propensity. Recent genome wide association studies (GWAS) have implicated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the KITLG gene in the development of GCTs in adults<sup>7, 8</sup> and adolescents.<sup>3</sup> More than 25 SNPs in genes at 19 independent loci have been identified.<sup>9</sup> These genes are implicated through five main mechanisms, including KIT/KITLG signalling, male germ cell development, telomerase, microtubule and DNA damage repair pathways. Observed odds ratios are the highest reported for any cancer type. In the future, it may be possible to derive a polygenic risk-score to inform potential screening strategies. It remains to be determined exactly how many of these SNPs are relevant to paediatric tumours.

Epigenetics. Epigenetic mechanisms may also contribute to GCT development.<sup>10</sup> Migrating PGCs undergo erasure of methylation at so-called imprinted genes, followed by gender-specific re-imprinting during gametogenesis.<sup>11</sup> The imprinting patterns of loci such as IGF2/H19 differ in paediatric GCTs, suggesting that tumours arise from earlier stages of PGC development in children. In paediatric MGCTs, YSTs have increased methylation at many gene regulatory loci compared with germinomas, including silencing of genes associated with apoptosis and suppression of WNT signaling.<sup>12</sup>

Genomics. Gain of chromosome 12p is a universal feature of adult testicular MGCTs, regardless of histological subtype, usually due to isochromosome 12p formation.<sup>13</sup> Seminomas and EC express key stem cell genes in this 12p region including NANOG and STELLA/DPPA3, which may block differentiation and favour cell proliferation.<sup>14</sup> 12p gain is present but less common in MGCTs of young children, and the frequency increases over childhood with increasing age.<sup>15</sup> Additionally, gains of chromosomes 1q, 11q, 20q, 22q, and loss of 1p, 6q and 16q have been described in paediatric GCTs.<sup>16</sup> However, the clinical relevance of 12p gain and other genomic abnormalities in MGCTs has not yet been established. High-resolution genomic studies will likely identify copy number variations (CNVs) that are associated with clinical outcome and may be incorporated into future risk-stratifications.

Gene expression. The two most common histological subtypes of MGCTs, YST and germinoma, exhibit distinct messenger RNA (mRNA) gene expression patterns. As in adult disease, paediatric germinomas express pluripotency genes [NANOG, POU5F1 (OCT3/4), TFAP2C, and UTF], whereas paediatric YSTs express genes relevant to differentiation (KRT8, KRT19), lipid metabolism (APOA1, APOA2), and proliferation pathways.<sup>17</sup> However, these profiles segregate paediatric GCTs from adult testicular GCTs of the same histological subtype (Figure 3A), suggesting that different gene expression programmes may be driven at least in part by the alterations in hormonal status that accompany puberty. At present, the differential age-related mRNA profiles in the GCTs described have not been shown to be prognostic.<sup>17</sup> However, a prognostic mRNA gene expression signature predictive of overall survival has been identified and validated in adult males with MGCTs and further validation is underway.<sup>18</sup> A central goal of upcoming studies is to determine whether such signatures are prognostic in children.

Non-protein-coding RNAs represent another promising area of investigation in GCTs. The pluripotency gene LIN28 is expressed in all malignant GCTs across age-groups and histologies.<sup>19</sup>

The best understood function of LIN28 is to prevent biogenesis of the let-7 tumour suppressor family of microRNAs (miRNAs). MiRNAs are short 18-23 nucleotide RNAs that regulate the expression of target mRNAs. Indeed, mRNA targets of let-7 are upregulated in MGCT cells, including known oncogenes such as MYCN, making the LIN28/let-7 pathway a promising target for therapeutic intervention. The oncogenic miR-371~373 and miR-302/367 clusters<sup>20</sup> (see Figure 3B) are over-expressed in all MGCTs, regardless of age, site, or histologic subtype.<sup>21</sup> Importantly, elevated levels of these miRNAs can be detected in the serum at the time of MGCT diagnosis as well as at relapse, and decline in response to treatment.<sup>22</sup> Measuring circulating miRNA levels provides greater sensitivity and specificity for detecting MGCTs than the conventional protein biomarkers alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and/or human chorionic gonadotrophin (HCG) and thus may represent a universal blood-based biomarker.<sup>23, 24</sup>

Biochemical signaling pathways. As embryonal tumours, GCTs are frequently enriched for the expression of genes associated with normal embryonic development. A recent integrated analysis of methylation, miRNA, and protein-coding gene data confirmed differences by GCT histology.<sup>25</sup> In addition, YSTs exhibit gene expression and biochemical evidence of WNT pathway signaling, in contrast to germinomas where this rarely occurs.<sup>26</sup> Similarly, differential protein-coding gene expression leads to activation of the TGF-beta/BMP pathway in YSTs, whereas BMP pathway activity is absent in germinomas.<sup>27</sup> However, WNT and BMP pathways currently offer few possibilities for targeted therapies. In contrast, the prominent role of the KIT tyrosine kinase in germ cell biology suggests that this kinase or its downstream targets, the PI3K/AKT/mTOR and RAS/RAF pathways, may offer a more immediate target.<sup>28</sup> For example, KIT gain-of-function mutations (D816V, D816H) activate the PI3K pathway in seminomas, even in the absence of KITLG. Unfortunately, responses to the KIT tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib in clinical studies have been disappointing, with no complete or even partial remissions reported. Other recent research advances have focused on identifying mechanisms of cisplatin resistance.<sup>29</sup> A limited study, interrogating only seven genes, found somatic mutations in PIK3CA, AKT, KRAS and NRAS may contribute to cisplatin resistance in adult testicular GCTs.<sup>30</sup> A recent whole exome sequencing (WES) study in adult testicular GCTs revealed a low mutation rate (43%) compared with other human cancers.<sup>31</sup> Two treatment-refractory patients were shown to harbour XRCC2 mutations, which may therefore be implicated in cisplatin resistance.<sup>31</sup> Recent WES studies in intracranial GCTs have also identified known (KIT, RAS) and novel (JMJD1C)

mutations that may improve our understanding of extracranial GCT development, given their presumed common origin.<sup>32</sup>

Further research in MGCTs is required to fully understand the clinical impact of these biological insights, to incorporate molecular findings into risk-stratifications, and to prioritise new therapeutic approaches. As one example, the molecular epidemiology of paediatric GCTs is planned for further study in a large case-parent triad study within the Children's Oncology Group (COG) (NCI-R01-CA151284).

#### CLINICAL PRESENTATION

The diagnosis of a GCT should be considered for any midline tumour. The most common sites of extracranial presentation include the gonads (testes/ovaries), sacrococcyx, retroperitoneum, and mediastinum (Figure 4). Metastatic disease occurs in 20% of cases at diagnosis and most commonly involves the lungs, but can involve bone, bone marrow, liver, or brain.<sup>33</sup>

Sacrococcygeal GCTs can present around birth as a large exophytic mass.<sup>34</sup> The differential diagnosis in this age-group is limited, although large haemangiomas or neuroblastic tumours may occasionally lead to diagnostic uncertainty. These sacrococcygeal GCTs are frequently detected antenatally on routine imaging and, if very large, can result in hydrops fetalis or obstruction of labour. These tumours are three times more common in girls than boys, and are usually teratomas with or without components of YST. Sacrococcygeal GCTs can also present after the neonatal period, usually <3y. In the absence of an external palpable mass, they are more likely to present as pain on sitting, buttock asymmetry, or bladder, bowel, or lower limb dysfunction. These later-diagnosed tumours are more likely to have undergone malignant transformation to include YST components.<sup>35</sup>

Testicular GCTs usually present as a painless swelling of one testis. They occur either before 4y (predominantly as pure YSTs or teratomas) or after puberty (predominantly as mixed MGCTs or seminomas).<sup>2</sup> The differential diagnosis includes hydrocoele, infection, torsion, sex cord stromal tumour, leukaemia, or paratesticular rhabdomyosarcoma.

Ovarian GCTs typically present with the gradual onset of abdominal distension and discomfort and a pelvic mass.<sup>36</sup> Severe acute pain often indicates torsion, rupture or haemorrhage within the tumour. The peak incidence begins with the onset of thelarche around age 8y. Their histology can include mature (MT) or immature (IT) teratoma, dysgerminoma, YST, or mixed MGCT. A particular feature of ovarian IT is the propensity for peritoneal seeding as nodules of mature glial

tissue, known as peritoneal gliomatosis. The differential diagnosis of an ovarian tumour in children can include benign ovarian cysts, sex cord stromal tumours, or rarely an adult-type ovarian epithelial carcinoma.

Mediastinal GCTs present with symptoms caused by airway compression, superior vena cava obstruction, or heart failure.<sup>37</sup> In prepubertal children, these tumours are usually teratomas. In adolescents, most commonly males, mediastinal GCTs typically contain a mixture of malignant components (YST, EC, CC) and teratoma, as well as possible non-germ cell components such as primitive neuroectodermal tumour. The main differential diagnosis is lymphoma, which is usually the first consideration, until the results of tumour markers become available.

#### DIAGNOSTIC INVESTIGATIONS

Elevated serum levels of the conventional protein tumour markers AFP and HCG assist in the diagnosis of YSTs and CC, respectively.<sup>38</sup> Some elevation of AFP can be seen in EC or in teratomas that recapitulate endodermal elements or liver tissue, and some elevation of HCG can be seen in germinomas that contain syncytiotrophoblast (Figure 2). Consequently, AFP and HCG are not completely sensitive, as tumours without these histologies do not secrete tumour markers. It is estimated that 70% of non-germinomatous GCTs and 20% of germinomas are secreting. Other tumour markers, such as inhibin or sex hormones, can be helpful to evaluate the possibility of testicular or ovarian sex cord-stromal tumours.

Conversely, elevated tumour markers are also not specific for GCTs. The differential diagnosis of elevated AFP includes hepatoblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, liver surgery or inflammation, hemangioendothelioma of the liver, pancreatoblastoma, ataxia telangiectasia, and hereditary persistence of AFP.<sup>39</sup> Additionally, physiological elevation of AFP is seen during infancy. Therefore, an elevated AFP in an infant must be interpreted in the context of age-adjusted values and serial measurements. Two studies<sup>40, 41</sup> have catalogued the normal range of AFP in infants, and their results (Supplemental Table 1) provide a useful reference for clinicians. The differential diagnosis of an elevated HCG includes pregnancy, gestational trophoblastic disease, and rarely other hepatic or neuroendocrine tumours.<sup>38</sup>

The level of tumour markers at diagnosis has been shown to be predictive of prognosis in adult testicular GCTs and forms part of the IGCCCG criteria.<sup>42</sup> However, studies of paediatric GCTs have not consistently observed the same association.<sup>43</sup> The rate of decline of AFP has also been shown to be prognostic in adult GCTs,<sup>44</sup> but has not been formally evaluated in paediatric

disease.

Other diagnostic investigations should include imaging of the primary tumour (ultrasound for testicular disease, cross-sectional imaging with MRI or CT scan for other sites) and potential metastatic sites (CT chest scan, bone scan, MRI head in stage IV CC).

Definitive diagnosis is based on histology. Most gonadal tumours are surgically resected upfront and therefore do not usually require pre-operative biopsy. Unresectable tumours requiring neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be biopsied in most cases. When it is unsafe to biopsy, the combination of typical radiological appearance and elevated conventional tumour markers may be sufficient to make a diagnosis and start neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

#### STAGING AND RISK GROUPS

Adult and paediatric cooperative groups have historically used different systems for staging and risk-stratification.

Clinicians treating adult patients with testicular tumours use the AJCC/TNM system for staging<sup>45</sup> and the IGCCCG<sup>42</sup> for risk-stratification in metastatic disease (Supplemental Tables 2 and 3).

Those treating adult patients with ovarian tumours utilise the FIGO system (Supplemental Table 4).<sup>46</sup> Paediatric groups utilise various post-surgical staging systems, but generally use stage I to refer to completely resected tumours, stage II for microscopic residual disease or persistently elevated tumour markers after resection, stage III for gross disease or nodal involvement, and stage IV for distant metastases (Supplemental Table 5 and 6).<sup>47, 48</sup> There are confusing inconsistencies resulting from the multiple staging systems. For example, an ovarian tumour with positive peritoneal cytology would be stage IC by FIGO but stage III by COG staging. A metastatic testicular tumour would be stage III by AJCC but stage IV by COG staging. These differences have made conversations and collaborations between different treating groups challenging.

The combination of site and stage assigns patients to risk-groups. While risk strata have also varied across cooperative groups and over time, nearly all risk-stratification systems are based upon the concept of a trichotomous 'functional' classification. In this scheme, a low-risk group is defined as one where patients can be managed with resection alone, followed by active surveillance. The research priority for this group is determining whether patients other than those with testicular stage I disease can be safely managed with this approach. An intermediate-risk group includes those patients who do require chemotherapy but who have excellent outcomes

with current regimens. The research priority for these patients is maintaining the high cure-rates while reducing late-effects. Lastly, a high-risk group represents patients who have unsatisfactory outcomes with current regimens and for whom further improvements in cure-rates are still needed.

Recently, investigators from COG and the Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group (CCLG) assembled a large pooled database of over 1100 children with extracranial MGCTs treated across seven clinical trials, termed the Malignant Germ Cell Tumours International Collaborative (MaGIC). The database was used to develop an updated paediatric MGCT risk-stratification system (Table 1).<sup>43</sup> Age  $\geq 11$ y and tumour site and stage were significant predictors of worse long-term disease-free survival. For example, those  $\geq 11$ y and either stage III/IV extragonadal or stage IV ovarian tumours had predicted survival of  $<70\%$ . These results will form the basis of new paediatric risk-groups for future trials.

#### SURGERY

While MGCTs have historically been treated with upfront resection and adjuvant chemotherapy, there is no clear difference in cure-rates between upfront or post-chemotherapy resection.<sup>35</sup> Aggressive resections at initial presentation are not necessary if neoadjuvant chemotherapy can help reduce surgical morbidity.

**Testicular.** An inguinal approach with early vascular control of the spermatic cord is indicated in all cases of testicular tumours.<sup>49</sup> A trans-scrotal approach should never be used as this disrupts lymphatic channels and upstages the patient. Pre-pubertal boys with a normal AFP can have testis-sparing surgery with enucleation of the intact tumour if possible, because the diagnosis is likely to be either a teratoma or testicular stromal tumour. Pre-pubertal boys with an elevated AFP should have radical orchiectomy without violation of the tumour capsule in the surgical field. There is no role for retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (RPLND) in pre-pubertal boys. After puberty, all testicular GCTs, including teratomas, are associated with precursor lesions within the adjacent testicular tissue known as intratubular germ cell neoplasia (ITGCN). Hence, radical inguinal orchiectomy is required. The role of RPLND in adolescents with enlarged lymph nodes is unclear, and treating clinicians should follow adult guidelines here.<sup>50</sup> Commonly, chemotherapy is utilised after orchiectomy, and RPLND is used selectively for those with residual nodal enlargement or elevated AFP/HCG at the end of chemotherapy.

**Ovarian.** The majority of ovarian masses in children are benign cysts. However, if tumours are

large, solid, or associated with elevated AFP/HCG, they should be approached as a suspected GCT. The involved ovary and tumour should be resected intact, with no morcellation and no deliberate interruption of the capsule, as these will affect histopathological staging.<sup>51</sup> Given the importance of stage in determining adjuvant chemotherapy use, complete surgical staging is mandatory. The recommended components of surgical staging are collection of peritoneal fluid or washings for cytology, inspection and palpation of peritoneal surfaces, omentum, retroperitoneal lymph nodes, and the opposite ovary, with biopsies of any areas of abnormality.<sup>52</sup> Peritoneal fluid or washings can have positive cytology even when inspection of all tissues is normal. If the contralateral ovary was not clearly seen on pre-operative imaging, a pre-operative karyotype and intra-operative search for a streak gonad should be pursued. In bilateral ovarian involvement, neoadjuvant chemotherapy and delayed resection may allow the possibility of fertility-sparing surgery.

Extragenital. Most neonatal sacrococcygeal tumours are benign teratomas, and complete surgical resection including the coccyx is necessary to reduce the recurrence risk.<sup>35</sup> Continued follow-up with serial AFP levels, rectal exams and/or ultrasound imaging is required, due to a YST recurrence rate of up to 14%.<sup>53</sup> For sacrococcygeal tumours diagnosed beyond the neonatal period, data from the German MAKEI trials demonstrated improved outcome with neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by delayed tumour resection.<sup>35</sup>

The surgical approach to a mediastinal primary tumour may be through a thoracotomy or sternotomy. Retroperitoneal primary tumours require generous trans-abdominal exposure. Complete resection of these tumours is challenging but is generally required for cure, despite associated morbidities.<sup>54</sup>

## CHEMOTHERAPY

### ADULT TRIALS

Due to the epidemiology of GCTs, much of our understanding of the role of chemotherapy is based on investigations in the population of adult men with testicular cancer. Prior to the 1970s, testicular cancers were treated with sarcoma regimens, with poor responses. The major breakthrough came in 1977, when Einhorn and Donahue used the cisplatin, vinblastine, and bleomycin (PVB) regimen, obtaining 100% response and 64% survival in men with disseminated testicular GCTs.<sup>55</sup> This discovery was recently named as one of the top five advances in 50 years of modern oncology by the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO).<sup>56</sup> Etoposide was

introduced in the 1980s, and a subsequent randomised trial showed the superiority of bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin (BEP) over PVB.<sup>57</sup>

In the 1990s, the IGCCCG developed a risk-stratification system for metastatic GCTs (Supplemental Table 3), categorising them as good-, intermediate- or poor-risk based on the combination of disease sites and AFP, HCG and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels.<sup>42</sup> In the good-risk group, clinical trials attempted to reduce the late-effects of BEP while maintaining excellent cure-rates through various strategies. Five randomised controlled trials (RCTs) investigated substituting carboplatin for cisplatin,<sup>58, 59</sup> and another five RCTs investigated reducing or eliminating bleomycin,<sup>60</sup> but BEP produced superior outcomes and remained the standard regimen.<sup>61</sup> Two RCTs found that, in men with good-prognosis GCTs, three cycles of BEP were non-inferior to four.<sup>62, 63</sup> In the intermediate- and high-risk groups, multiple intensification strategies were tested against four cycles of BEP, but none have shown improved survival. The GETUG-13 trial recently showed a small improvement in EFS after intensification for men with poor tumour marker decline, but utilising a complex regimen whose generalisability and adoption remain to be established.<sup>64</sup>

#### PAEDIATRIC TRIALS

While building on the results of studies in adult MGCTs, paediatric oncology collaborative groups have modified and tested these approaches. The results of major clinical trials for paediatric MGCTs from US, UK, Germany, France, and Brazil are summarised in Table 2. In the 1990s, the North American Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) and the Children's Cancer Group (CCG) conducted two intergroup studies to determine the optimal management of children with MGCTs.<sup>65, 66</sup> These studies incorporated the cisplatin, etoposide and bleomycin combination as developed in adult studies. However, due to the fear of excessive pulmonary toxicity in the developing lungs of children, the frequency of bleomycin was reduced from once every week to once every three weeks per cycle. Of note, the reduced frequency of bleomycin was not studied in a comparative manner against the weekly administration. This modified regimen is often referred to as PEb, to distinguish it from the adult regimen BEP. In contrast, the German MAKEI 96 study eliminated bleomycin entirely and substituted ifosfamide for advanced tumours.

The POG9048/CCG8882 study (INT-0106)<sup>66, 67</sup> successfully treated pre-pubertal boys with stage I testicular MGCTs with surgical resection and surveillance alone, as had been done for adult

patients.<sup>68 67</sup> Secondly, it showed excellent outcomes in children with intermediate-risk MGCTs treated with PEb.<sup>66</sup> The second intergroup study, POG9049/CCG9981 (INT-0097) investigated whether a two-fold cisplatin dose escalation could improve survival in high-risk patients.<sup>47</sup> While EFS improved, the utility of the high-dose strategy was limited by its significant ototoxicity. In the high-dose arm, 67% of children required hearing aids, compared to 10% in the standard-dose arm.

The intergroup studies were followed by the next generation of studies by COG, AGCT0132 and AGCT01P1. For low-risk patients, AGCT0132 attempted to extend the strategy of surgery and active surveillance<sup>49</sup> to stage I ovarian tumours, with PEb chemotherapy reserved for recurrences.<sup>52</sup> The 4-year EFS was 52% and OS was 96%. Thus, half of all patients could be spared the morbidity of chemotherapy, and almost all patients with recurrence could be rescued. The single patient who died in this study had chemo-refractory disease from the outset of therapy.

For intermediate-risk patients, AGCT0132 investigated whether a reduction in therapy to three cycles of PEb could achieve equivalent outcomes to a matched historical cohort that received four cycles. In the overall analysis, EFS was significantly lower with three cycles. Post-hoc analyses showed that three cycles could be associated with excellent outcomes in lower-stage patients, but the study was not specifically powered for subgroup analyses. (Shaikh et al., unpublished). Therefore, four cycles of PEB remain the current standard. For high-risk patients, AGCT01P1 investigated combining cyclophosphamide with PEb,<sup>69</sup> but no clear improvement in EFS was evident.

In the United Kingdom (UK), a series of single-arm trials (GCI to GCIII) conducted by the CCLG substituted carboplatin for cisplatin with the goal of reducing the rate of long-term toxicities while maintaining high cure-rates.<sup>48, 70</sup> While adult trials had shown carboplatin to be inferior to cisplatin, the adult trials had generally used carboplatin at a lower dose, intensity, or frequency than the CCLG.<sup>59</sup> The GCII trial used carboplatin 600mg/m<sup>2</sup> every three weeks, corresponding to a median area-under-the-curve (AUC) of 7.9 mg/ml/min. For 137 children treated with JEB in this trial, the 5-year EFS was 88% and OS was 91%, which was comparable to the outcomes using PEb, but with no reports of sensorineural hearing loss.<sup>48</sup> These results suggested that carboplatin could be a potential alternative to cisplatin in children when used in sufficient doses. This hypothesis forms the basis of an upcoming collaborative trial.

Several important clinical trials of paediatric GCTs have been conducted by cooperative groups in Germany,<sup>71-73</sup> France,<sup>74</sup> Brazil,<sup>75</sup> and other centres. Their characteristics and results are summarised in Table 2, and readers are referred to the relevant references for further reading.

#### SPECIAL SCENARIOS

**Teratomas.** Teratomas are classified as MT (containing only well-differentiated tissues) or IT (containing less differentiated tissues including neuroectoderm). IT is graded from 0 to 3 based on the amount of immature neuroectodermal elements seen per microscopy field. MTs are managed by surgical resection alone. Teratomas with a malignant GCT component are given treatment directed to the malignancy. However, the management of ITs, particularly the need for adjuvant chemotherapy, has been controversial. Based on a historic study, which observed a 70% relapse rate in adult women with grade 3 ovarian IT, adjuvant chemotherapy has commonly been used by gynaecological oncologists<sup>76</sup>. However, paediatric trials did not confirm this observation.<sup>53 77</sup> In a recent pooled analysis of patients with ovarian IT,<sup>78</sup> similar outcomes were observed between 98 paediatric patients (treated mostly with surgery alone) and 81 adult patients (treated with surgery and chemotherapy). The risk of recurrence was seen primarily in patients with grade 3 stage III/IV tumours, but the data could not answer whether chemotherapy affected the risk of recurrence in this subgroup. The authors were in favour of a prospective trial of surgery and observation for all patients with IT. The MaGIC group is working on next steps for such a joint paediatric-adult clinical trial.

**Adolescent and young adults (AYA).** As paediatric oncologists have historically managed most patients <18y in North America or <16y in the UK, some adolescents have been treated with approaches developed for young children. Therefore, they may not be risk-stratified using the IGCCCG criteria, receive the added intensity of weekly bleomycin, or have the opportunity to receive a lower cumulative dose of cisplatin in the IGCCCG good-risk group. However, adolescent MGCTs more closely resemble the epidemiological and clinical characteristics of adults. Recently, the outcome for adolescents was shown to be worse than for young children and adults with testicular tumours.<sup>79</sup> We have also validated this observation within the MaGIC database (Frazier et al., unpublished). Compounding the poorer AYA outcomes is the observation that adolescents with MGCTs are under-represented in clinical trials, frequently missing the age inclusion criteria of both paediatric and adult studies. This represents a potent example of the 'AYA gap' in cancer care and research.<sup>80</sup>

It is generally accepted that age-appropriate therapy is best delivered in an age-appropriate environment. The approach to AYA cancer care has started to change with the recognition of the specific medical and psychological needs of AYA patients, e.g. national UK referral pathways have been developed to ensure access to cancer care in specialist AYA treatment centres.<sup>81</sup>

#### FUTURE TRIALS AND COLLABORATIONS

There are several planned and upcoming clinical trials for paediatric GCTs. A hallmark of each of these trials is international and transdisciplinary collaboration.

The AGCT1531 trial will be a collaborative effort involving the COG and national paediatric oncology centres in UK, Brazil, India, and Japan. As well, it will be co-sponsored by the National Research Group (NRG) Oncology and will enroll adult patients through the National Clinical Trials Network (NCTN) mechanism in the US.

The trial will include a low-risk and a standard-risk arm. For the low-risk group of patients, the trial will evaluate whether a strategy of complete surgical resection followed by surveillance can maintain an OS rate of  $\geq 95\%$  for paediatric, adolescent and adult patients with stage I MGCT at any site (testicular, ovarian, or extragonadal). The low-risk group will thus be expanded to include stage I extragonadal disease and will include patients up to age 50.

For the standard-risk group, the trial will compare the EFS of a carboplatin- versus a cisplatin-based regimen for paediatric, adolescent and young adult patients with MGCTs of all primary sites. Patients  $< 11$ y will be randomised to CEb or PEb, while patients 11-25y will be randomised to BEC or BEP.

For the high-risk group, current plans are for paediatric groups to join ongoing exploratory trials of promising regimens, such as the Australian and New Zealand Urogenital and Prostate (ANZUP) group trial of compressed BEP, where the cisplatin and etoposide components are administered every two weeks instead of three. Thereafter, the combined adult gynaecological oncology, adult testicular and paediatric groups plan to launch an international multi-arm randomised trial comparing among the most promising regimens for high-risk MGCTs.

For relapsed patients, the Alliance-sponsored study AO31102, referred to as the TIGER trial, will compare survival of male patients randomised to conventional chemotherapy with paclitaxel, ifosfamide and cisplatin (TIP) versus a regimen consisting of two cycles of paclitaxel and ifosfamide followed by three cycles of high-dose carboplatin and etoposide with stem-cell rescue (TI-CE).<sup>82</sup> It will also prospectively evaluate the properties of the International Prognostic

Factor Scoring Group (IPFSG) system<sup>83</sup> as a predictor of outcome after relapse. The trial will be made available to adolescent patients >14y through a co-sponsorship with the COG.

Management options for children with relapsed MGCTs not eligible for this trial commonly include second-line chemotherapy. The MAKEI study group has described good outcomes for paediatric patients with refractory or recurrent non-testicular MGCTs using a multimodal strategy including cisplatin-based chemotherapy, regional deep hyperthermia, and tumour resection with or without radiation.<sup>73</sup> This approach merits further investigation.

Biological aims of these upcoming trials include defining robust biomarkers and molecular signatures that predict risk of disease progression or chemoresistance, evaluating the potential for serum miRNAs as sensitive and specific tumour markers for malignant disease response and recurrence, investigating the pharmacogenomics of chemotherapy and late-effects, and identifying targets for novel therapeutic agents. It is likely that further progress for the high-risk group of patients will be achieved primarily through novel approaches, including the identification of molecular targets.

#### LATE-EFFECTS

Because most children with GCTs are cured, treating clinicians need to be aware of, and try to mitigate, late-effects of treatment. However, GCTs were not included in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study, and hence knowledge of late-effects is largely extrapolated from the experience of adult patients treated for testicular cancer.

The most common toxicities of cisplatin are ototoxicity, nephrotoxicity, and neurotoxicity. Cisplatin ototoxicity is caused by damage to the hair cells in the cochlea resulting first in high frequency hearing loss, although loss in lower frequencies are also observed in children with either prolonged exposure or an inherent susceptibility to cisplatin ototoxicity.<sup>84</sup> High frequency tones are important for language development in young children, and cisplatin ototoxicity is more severe at younger ages of treatment. Several studies demonstrate that cisplatin ototoxicity is not static but worsens over time, and hearing loss may first be diagnosed as late as two years after therapy completion. Moreover, even children without overt ototoxicity have an advanced ear age and may be prone to early onset age-related hearing loss.

Men with testicular cancer treated with cisplatin have a 15% decrease in glomerular function that is immediate and irreversible.<sup>85</sup> Although this decrease is initially subclinical, similar decrements in renal function have been associated with increased cardiovascular and all-cause mortality.

Neurotoxicity such as paraesthesia are also caused by exposure to cisplatin, although these are more commonly seen in adults than in children.

Cisplatin is a heavy metal and circulating levels of cisplatin adducts can be detected in the serum of patients more than ten years after treatment.<sup>86</sup> The degree of circulating platinum has been shown to correlate with the severity of neurotoxicity in adults.

Up to half of patients develop evidence of pulmonary toxicity upon exposure to bleomycin.

Although this is reversible in most patients, recent studies in testicular cancer survivors have found an 8% prevalence of restrictive lung disease,<sup>87</sup> and a 2.5-fold elevated risk of death from pulmonary disease compared to the general population.<sup>88</sup>

A two-fold increased risk of cardiovascular disease<sup>89</sup> and second malignancy<sup>90</sup> exists in men treated for testicular cancer. Of note, the increased second cancer risk occurs at a rate of approximately 1% per year, with no plateau. By 75y, a seminoma patient would have a cumulative risk of 28% if treated at 50y, 36% if treated at 35y, and 47% if treated at 20y.

Although the relative risk for younger age at diagnosis is not known, this trend is concerning if extrapolated to paediatric patients, who may be treated with the same regimen as early as infancy.

## CONCLUSIONS

We allude to the challenges in the management of paediatric GCTs and highlight ways in which these have been, or can be, overcome. Although significant challenges remain, the way forward has been charted. A new era of collaboration is underway, building bridges between paediatric and adult cooperative groups as well as across international borders. These collaborative efforts will allow for the development of a standardised vocabulary for staging, risk-stratification, and treatment approaches and for new clinical and biological insights. Options for reduction of therapy for those with excellent probability of cure and intensification or novel approaches for those with poor-risk disease will be explored. Together, these advances will allow us to approach the goal of curing all patients with MGCTs, and to do so with the least possible late-effects.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Dr. Stark received grants from Teenage Cancer Trust during the conduct of the study. Dr. Frazier has served on the Germ Cell Tumor Advisory Board for Seattle Genetics and has provided consulting to Decibel Therapeutics. All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

## AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the literature search, figures, writing, and critical review of this manuscript.

#### ROLE OF THE FUNDING SOURCE

This article was not funded.

#### ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

Not applicable.

#### STATEMENTS

This paper has not been submitted to another journal, and has not been published in whole or in part elsewhere previously.

This study was not fully or in part funded by the NIH, and no authors are employed by NIH. Dr. Amatruda and Dr. Poynter have received NIH grants, not related to this study.

#### REFERENCES

1. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Garshell J, Miller D, Altekruse SF, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2012, National Cancer Institute. Based on November 2014 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2015. [cited 2015; Available from: [http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975\\_2012/](http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2012/)
2. Poynter JN AJ, Ross JA. . Trends in incidence and survival of pediatric and adolescent patients with germ cell tumors in the United States, 1975 to 2006. *Cancer*. 2010; 116(20): 4882-91.
3. Poynter JN, Hooten AJ, Frazier AL, Ross JA. Associations between variants in KITLG, SPRY4, BAK1, and DMRT1 and pediatric germ cell tumors. *Genes, chromosomes & cancer*. 2012; 51(3): 266-71.
4. Poynter JN. Epidemiology of germ cell tumors. In: Frazier AL, Amatruda J, editors. *Pediatric Germ Cell Tumors: Biology Treatment Survivorship*: Springer; 2014.
5. Teilum G. Tumours of germinal origin. *Ovarian Cancer*: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1968. p. 58-73.
6. Murray MJ, Nicholson JC. Germ cell tumours in children and adolescents. *Paediatrics & child health*. 2010; 20: 109-16.
7. Rapley EA, Turnbull C, Al Olama AA, Dermitzakis ET, Linger R, Huddart RA, et al. A genome-wide association study of testicular germ cell tumor. *Nature genetics*. 2009; 41(7): 807-10.

8. Kanetsky PA, Mitra N, Vardhanabhuti S, Li M, Vaughn DJ, Letrero R, et al. Common variation in KITLG and at 5q31.3 predisposes to testicular germ cell cancer. *Nature genetics*. 2009; 41(7): 811-5.
9. Litchfield K, Shipley J, Turnbull C. Common variants identified in genome-wide association studies of testicular germ cell tumour: an update, biological insights and clinical application. *Andrology*. 2015; 3(1): 34-46.
10. Amatruda JF, Ross JA, Christensen B, Fustino NJ, Chen KS, Hooten AJ, et al. DNA methylation analysis reveals distinct methylation signatures in pediatric germ cell tumors. *BMC cancer*. 2013; 13: 313.
11. Schneider DT, Schuster AE, Fritsch MK, Hu J, Olson T, Lauer S, et al. Multipoint imprinting analysis indicates a common precursor cell for gonadal and nongonadal pediatric germ cell tumors. *Cancer Res*. 2001; 61(19): 7268-76.
12. Jeyapalan JN, Noor DA, Lee SH, Tan CL, Appleby VA, Kilday JP, et al. Methylator phenotype of malignant germ cell tumours in children identifies strong candidates for chemotherapy resistance. *Br J Cancer*. 2011; 105(4): 575-85.
13. Atkin NB, Baker MC. Specific chromosome change, i(12p), in testicular tumours? *Lancet*. 1982; 2(8311): 1349.
14. Korkola JE, Houldsworth J, Chadalavada RS, Olshen AB, Dobrzynski D, Reuter VE, et al. Down-regulation of stem cell genes, including those in a 200-kb gene cluster at 12p13.31, is associated with in vivo differentiation of human male germ cell tumors. *Cancer research*. 2006; 66(2): 820-7.
15. Palmer RD, Foster NA, Vowler SL, Roberts I, Thornton CM, Hale JP, et al. Malignant germ cell tumours of childhood: new associations of genomic imbalance. *Br J Cancer*. 2007; 96(4): 667-76.
16. Perlman EJ, Hu J, Ho D, Cushing B, Lauer S, Castleberry RP. Genetic analysis of childhood endodermal sinus tumors by comparative genomic hybridization. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2000; 22(2): 100-5.
17. Palmer RD, Foster NA, Vowler SL, al. E. Pediatric malignant germ cell tumors show characteristic transcriptome profiles. *Cancer Research*. 2008; 68(11): 4239-47.
18. Korkola JE, Houldsworth J, Feldman DR, Olshen AB, Qin L-X, Patil S, et al. Identification and validation of a gene expression signature that predicts outcome in adult men

- with germ cell tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27(19770384): 5240-7.
19. Murray MJ, Saini HK, Siegler CA, Hanning JE, Barker EM, van Dongen S, et al. LIN28 Expression in Malignant Germ Cell Tumors Downregulates let-7 and Increases Oncogene Levels. *Cancer research*. 2013; 73(15): 4872-84.
20. Voorhoeve PM, le Sage C, Schrier M, Gillis AJ, Stoop H, Nagel R, et al. A genetic screen implicates miRNA-372 and miRNA-373 as oncogenes in testicular germ cell tumors. *Cell*. 2006; 124(6): 1169-81.
21. Palmer RD, Murray MJ, Saini HK, van Dongen S, Abreu-Goodger C, Muralidhar B, et al. Malignant germ cell tumors display common microRNA profiles resulting in global changes in expression of messenger RNA targets. *Cancer Res*. 2010; 70(7): 2911-23.
22. Murray MJ, Halsall DJ, Hook CE, Williams DM, Nicholson JC, Coleman N. Identification of microRNAs From the miR-371~373 and miR-302 clusters as potential serum biomarkers of malignant germ cell tumors. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2011; 135(1): 119-25.
23. Murray MJ, Coleman N. Testicular cancer: a new generation of biomarkers for malignant germ cell tumours. *Nature reviews Urology*. 2012; 9(6): 298-300.
24. Murray MJ, Raby KL, Saini HK, Bailey S, Wool SV, Tunnacliffe JM, et al. Solid tumors of childhood display specific serum microRNA profiles. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2015; 24(2): 350-60.
25. Poynter JN, Bestrashniy JR, Silverstein KA, Hooten AJ, Lees C, Ross JA, et al. Cross platform analysis of methylation, miRNA and stem cell gene expression data in germ cell tumors highlights characteristic differences by tumor histology. *BMC cancer*. 2015; 15(769).
26. Fritsch MK, Schneider DT, Schuster AE, Murdoch FE, Perlman EJ. Activation of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in distinct histologic subtypes of human germ cell tumors. *Pediatr Dev Pathol*. 2006; 9(2): 115-31.
27. Fustino N, Rakheja D, Ateek CS, Neumann JC, Amatruda JF. Bone morphogenetic protein signalling activity distinguishes histological subsets of paediatric germ cell tumours. *Int J Androl*. 2011; 34(4 Pt 2): e218-33.
28. Looijenga LH, de Leeuw H, van Oorschot M, van Gurp RJ, Stoop H, Gillis AJ, et al. Stem cell factor receptor (c-KIT) codon 816 mutations predict development of bilateral testicular germ-cell tumors. *Cancer Res*. 2003; 63(22): 7674-8.
29. Feldman DR. Update in germ cell tumours. *Curr Opin Oncol*. 2015; 27(3): 177-84.

30. Feldman DR, Iyer G, Van Alstine L, Patil S, Al-Ahmadie H, Reuter VE, et al. Presence of somatic mutations within PIK3CA, AKT, RAS, and FGFR3 but not BRAF in cisplatin-resistant tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014; 20(14): 3712-20.
31. Litchfield K, Summersgill B, Yost S, Sultana R, Labreche K, Dudakia D, et al. Wholeexome sequencing reveals the mutational spectrum of testicular germ cell tumours. *Nat Commun.* 2015; 6: 5963.
32. Wang L, Yamaguchi S, Burstein MD, Terashima K, Chang K, Ng HK, et al. Novel somatic and germline mutations in intracranial germ cell tumours. *Nature.* 2014; 511(7508): 241-5.
33. Gobel U, Schneider DT, Teske C, Schonberger S, Calaminus G. Brain metastases in children and adolescents with extracranial germ cell tumor - data of the MAHO/MAKEI registry. *Klinische Padiatrie.* 2010; 222(3): 140-4.
34. Altman RP, Randolph JG, Lilly JR. Sacrococcygeal teratoma: American Academy of Pediatrics Surgical Section Survey-1973. *Journal of pediatric surgery.* 1974; 9(3): 389-98.
35. Gobel U, Schneider DT, Calaminus G, Jurgens H, Spaar HJ, Sternschulte W, et al. Multimodal treatment of malignant sacrococcygeal germ cell tumors: a prospective analysis of 66 patients of the German cooperative protocols MAKEI 83/86 and 89. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2001; 19(7): 1943-50.
36. Gershenson DM. Management of ovarian germ cell tumors. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007; 25(20): 2938-43.
37. Billmire D, Vinocur C, Rescorla F, Colombani P, Cushing B, Hawkins E, et al. Malignant mediastinal germ cell tumors: An Intergroup Study. *Journal of pediatric surgery.* 2001; 36(1): 18-24.
38. Schneider DT, Calaminus G, Gobel U. Diagnostic value of alpha 1-fetoprotein and beta human chorionic gonadotropin in infancy and childhood. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2001; 18(1): 11-26.
39. Murray MJ, Nicholson JC. alpha-Fetoprotein. *Archives of disease in childhood Education and practice edition.* 2011; 96(4): 141-7.
40. Wu JT, Book L, Sudar K. Serum alpha fetoprotein (AFP) levels in normal infants. *Pediatric research.* 1981; 15(1): 50-2.
41. Blohm ME, Vesterling-Horner D, Calaminus G, Gobel U. Alpha 1-fetoprotein (AFP)

- reference values in infants up to 2 years of age. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1998; 15(2): 135-42.
42. International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group. International Germ Cell Consensus Classification: a prognostic factor-based staging system for metastatic germ cell cancers. International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 1997; 15(2): 594-603.
43. Frazier AL, Hale JP, Rodriguez-Galindo C, Dang H, Olson T, Murray M, et al. Revised risk classification for pediatric extracranial germ cell tumors based on 25 years of clinical trial data from the United Kingdom and United States. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015; 33(2): 195-201.
44. Mazumdar M, Bajorin DF, Bacik J, Higgins G, Motzer RJ, Bosl GJ. Predicting outcome to chemotherapy in patients with germ cell tumors: the value of the rate of decline of human chorionic gonadotrophin and alpha-fetoprotein during therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001; 19(9): 2534-41.
45. American Joint Committee on Cancer. The AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. New York: Springer; 2010.
46. Prat J for the FIGO Committee on Gynecologic Oncology. Staging classification for cancer of the ovary, fallopian tube, and peritoneum. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2014; 124(1): 1-5.
47. Cushing B, Giller R, Cullen JW, Marina NM, Lauer SJ, Olson TA, et al. Randomized comparison of combination chemotherapy with etoposide, bleomycin, and either high-dose or standard-dose cisplatin in children and adolescents with high-risk malignant germ cell tumors: a pediatric intergroup study--Pediatric Oncology Group 9049 and Children's Cancer Group 8882. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004; 22(13): 2691-700.
48. Mann JR, Raafat F, Robinson K, Imeson J, Gornall P, Sokal M, et al. The United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group's Second Germ Cell Tumor Study: Carboplatin, etoposide, and bleomycin are effective treatment for children with malignant extracranial germ cell tumors, with acceptable toxicity. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000; 18(22): 3809-18.
49. Rescorla F, Ross JH, Billmire D, Dicken BJ, Villaluna D, Davis MM, et al. Surveillance after initial surgery for Stage I pediatric and adolescent boys with malignant testicular germ cell tumors: Report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 2015; 50(6): 1000-3.
50. Wood L, Kollmannsberger C, Jewett MA, et al. Canadian consensus guidelines for the management of testicular germ cell cancer. *Can Urol Assoc J*. 2010; 4(2): E19-E38.
51. Billmire DF, Vinocur C, Rescorla F, Cushing B, London W, Schlatter M, et al. Outcome

- and Staging Evaluation in Malignant Germ Cell Tumors of the Ovary in Children and Adolescents: An Intergroup Study. *J Peds Surg.* 2004; 39 (3): 424-9.
52. Billmire DF, Cullen JW, Rescorla FJ, Davis M, Schlatter MG, Olson TA, et al. Surveillance after initial surgery for pediatric and adolescent girls with stage I ovarian germ cell tumors: report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014; 32(5): 465-70.
53. Mann JR, Gray ES, Thornton C, Raafat F, Robinson K, Collins GS, et al. Mature and immature extracranial teratomas in children: The UK Children's Cancer Study Group experience. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2008; 26(21): 3590-7.
54. Bokemeyer C, Nichols CR, Droz J-P, Schmoll H-J, Horwich A, Gerl A, et al. Extragonadal germ cell tumors of the mediastinum and retroperitoneum: Results from an international analysis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002; 20: 1864-73.
55. Einhorn L, Donohue J. Cis-diamminedichloroplatinum, vinblastine, and bleomycin in combination chemotherapy in disseminated testicular cancer. *Annals of internal medicine.* 1977; 87(3): 293-8.
56. The American Society of Clinical Oncology. The top 5 advances in modern oncology. 2014 [cited July 2015]; Available from: <http://cancerprogress.net/top-5-advances-modernoncology>
57. Williams SD, Birch R, Einhorn LH. Treatment of disseminated germ-cell tumors with cisplatin, bleomycin, and either vinblastine or etoposide. *New Engl J Med.* 1987; 316 (23): 1435-40.
58. Horwich A, Sleijfer DT, Fossa SD, Kaye SB, Oliver RT, Cullen MH, et al. Randomized trial of bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin compared with bleomycin, etoposide, and carboplatin in good-prognosis metastatic nonseminomatous germ cell cancer: a Multiinstitutional Medical Research Council/European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997; 15(5): 1844-52.
59. Shaikh F, Nathan PC, Hale J, Uleryk E, Frazier L. Is there a role for carboplatin in the treatment of malignant germ cell tumors? A systematic review of adult and pediatric trials. *Pediatric blood & cancer.* 2013; 60(4): 587-92.
60. Grimison PS, Stockler MR, Thomson DB, Olver IN, Harvey VJ, Gebiski VJ, et al. Comparison of two standard chemotherapy regimens for good-prognosis germ cell tumors: Updated analysis of a randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2010; 102 (16): 1253-62.
61. Feldman DR, Bosl GJ, Sheinfeld J, Motzer RJ. Medical treatment of advanced testicular

- cancer. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2008; 299 (6): 672-84.
62. de Wit R, Roberts JT, Wilkinson PM, de Mulder PH, Mead GM, Fossa SD, et al. Equivalence of three or four cycles of bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin chemotherapy and of a 3- or 5-day schedule in good-prognosis germ cell cancer: a randomized study of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Genitourinary Tract Cancer Cooperative Group and the Medical Research Council. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001; 19(6): 1629-40.
63. Saxman SB, Finch D, Gonin R, Einhorn LH. Long-term follow-up of a phase III study of three versus four cycles of bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin in favorable-prognosis germ-cell tumors: The Indiana University experience. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 1998; 16 (2): 702-6.
64. Fizazi K, Pagliaro L, Laplanche A, Flechon A, Mardiak J, Geoffrois L, et al. Personalised chemotherapy based on tumour marker decline in poor prognosis germ-cell tumours (GETUG 13): a phase 3, multicentre, randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014; 15(13): 1442-50.
65. Cushing B, Giller R, Cullen J, Marina N, Lauer SJ, Olson TA, et al. Randomized comparison of combination chemotherapy with etoposide, bleomycin, and either high-dose or standard-dose cisplatin in children and adolescents with high-risk malignant germ cell tumors: A Pediatric Intergroup Study--Pediatric Oncology Group 9049 and Children's Cancer Group 8882. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2004; 22(13): 2691-700.
66. Rogers PC, Olson TA, Cullen JW, Billmire DF, Marina N, Rescorla F, et al. Treatment of children and adolescents with stage II testicular and stages I and II ovarian malignant germ cell tumors: A Pediatric Intergroup Study--Pediatric Oncology Group 9048 and Children's Cancer Group 8891. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004; 22(17): 3563-9.
67. Schlatter M, Rescorla F, Giller R, Cushing B, Vinocur C, Colombani P, et al. Excellent outcome in patients with stage I germ cell tumors of the testes: a study of the Children's Cancer Group/Pediatric Oncology Group. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 2003; 38(3): 319-24; discussion -24.
68. Nichols CR, Roth B, Albers P, Einhorn LH, Foster R, Daneshmand S, et al. Active surveillance is the preferred approach to clinical stage I testicular cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31(28): 3490-3.
69. Malogolowkin MH, Krailo M, Marina N, Olson T, Frazier AL. Pilot study of cisplatin, etoposide, bleomycin, and escalating dose cyclophosphamide therapy for children with high risk germ cell tumors: a report of the children's oncology group (COG). *Pediatric blood & cancer*.

2013; 60(10): 1602-5.

70. Mann JR, Raafat F, Robinson K, Imeson J, Gornall P, Phillips M, et al. UKCCSG's germ cell tumour (GCT) studies: improving outcome for children with malignant extracranial nongonadal tumours--carboplatin, etoposide, and bleomycin are effective and less toxic than previous regimens. United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group. *Medical & Pediatric Oncology*. 1998; 30(4): 217-27.

71. Gobel U, Schneider DT, Calaminus G, Haas RJ, Schmidt P, Harms D. Germ-cell tumors in childhood and adolescence. GPOH MAKEI and the MAHO study groups. *Annals of Oncology*. 2000; 11(3): 263-71.

72. Schmidt P, Haas RJ, Gobel U, Calaminus G. [Results of the German studies (MAHO) for treatment of testicular germ cell tumors in children--an update]. *Klinische Padiatrie*. 2002; 214(4): 167-72.

73. Wessalowski R, Schneider DT, Mils O, Friemann V, Kyrillopoulou O, Schaper J, et al. Regional deep hyperthermia for salvage treatment of children and adolescents with refractory or recurrent non-testicular malignant germ cell tumours: an open-label, non-randomised, single institution, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2013; 14: 843-52.

74. Baranzelli MC, Kramar A, Bouffet E, Quintana E, Rubie H, Edan C, et al. Prognostic factors in children with localized malignant nonseminomatous germ cell tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999; 17 (4): 1212-8.

75. Lopes LF, Macedo CRP, Pontes EM, dos Santos Aguiar S, Mastellaro MJ, Melaragno R, et al. Cisplatin and Etoposide in Childhood Germ Cell Tumor: Brazilian Pediatric Oncology Society Protocol GCT-91. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27(8): 1297-303.

76. Norris HJ, Zirkin HJ, Benson WL. Immature (malignant) teratoma of the ovary: A clinical and pathologic study of 58 cases. *Cancer*. 1976; 37(2359-2372).

77. Marina N, Cushing B, Giller R, Cohen L, et al. Complete surgical excision is effective treatment for children with immature teratomas with or without malignant elements: a Pediatric Oncology Group/Children's Cancer Group Intergroup Study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 1999; 17: 2137-43.

78. Pashankar F, Hale J, Dang H, Krailo M, Brady WE, Rodriguez-Galindo C, et al. Is adjuvant chemotherapy indicated in ovarian immature teratomas? A combined data analysis from

the Malignant Germ Cell Tumor International Collaborative. [Epub ahead of print]. *Cancer*. 2015.

79. Cost NG, Lubahn JD, Adibi M, Romman A, Wickiser JE, Raj GV, et al. A comparison of pediatric, adolescent, and adult testicular germ cell malignancy. *Pediatric blood & cancer*. 2014; 61(3): 446-51.

80. Bleyer A. The adolescent and young adult gap in cancer care and outcome. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*. 2005; 35(5): 182-217.

81. Stoneham SJ, Hale JP, Rodriguez-Galindo C, Dang H, Olson T, Murray M, et al. Adolescents and young adults with a "rare" cancer: getting past semantics to optimal care for patients with germ cell tumors. *Oncologist*. 2014; 19(7): 689-92.

82. Feldman DR, Sheinfeld J, Bajorin DF, Fischer P, Turkula S, Ishill N, et al. TI-CE highdose chemotherapy for patients with previously treated germ cell tumors: results and prognostic factor analysis. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2010; 28(10): 1706-13.

83. International Prognostic Factors Study Group. Prognostic factors in patients with metastatic germ cell tumors who experienced treatment failure with cisplatin-based first-line chemotherapy. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2010; 28(33): 4906-11.

84. Brock PR, Knight KR, Freyer DR, Campbell KC, Steyger PS, Blakley BW, et al. Platinum-induced ototoxicity in children: a consensus review on mechanisms, predisposition, and protection, including a new International Society of Pediatric Oncology Boston ototoxicity scale. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30(19): 2408-17.

85. Fossa SD, Aass N, Winderen M, Bormer OP, Olsen DR. Long-term renal function after treatment for malignant germ-cell tumours. *Ann Oncol*. 2002; 13(2): 222-8.

86. Gietema JA, Meinardi MT, Messerschmidt J, Gelever T, Alt F, Uges DR, et al. Circulating plasma platinum more than 10 years after cisplatin treatment for testicular cancer. *Lancet*. 2000; 355(9209): 1975-076.

87. Haugnes HS, Aass N, Fossa SD, Dahl O, Brydoy M, Aasebo U, et al. Pulmonary function in long-term survivors of testicular cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27(17): 2779-86.

88. Fossa SD, Gilbert E, Dores GM, Chen J, McGlynn KA, Schonfeld S, et al. Noncancer causes of death in survivors of testicular cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2007; 99(7): 533-44.

89. van den Belt-Dusebout AW, de Wit R, Gietema JA, Horenblas S, Louwman MW, Ribot JG, et al. Treatment-specific risks of second malignancies and cardiovascular disease in 5-year

survivors of testicular cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007; 25(28): 4370-8.

90. Travis LB, Fossa SD, Schonfeld SJ, McMaster ML, Lynch CF, Storm H, et al. Second cancers among 40,576 testicular cancer patients: focus on long-term survivors. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2005; 97(18): 1354-65.