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Abstract—The reverberation chamber time constant quantifies how fast a reverberation chamber loses its stored energy at different frequencies, which makes it a very important parameter in many power related tests, such as the measurement of antenna efficiency, the measurement of absorption cross section, and the electromagnetic immunity test of electronic devices. The chamber time constant is usually obtained by doing regressions of power delay profile and calculating its gradient. But the shape of power delay profile can sometimes be distorted by the band limited window function applied in the frequency domain. A non-linear curve fitting technique which can cancel the effect of window function was developed, aiming to give a robust determination of the chamber time constant. With the help of this technique, window functions with much smaller bandwidth can be applied without introducing error in the evaluation of chamber time constant. In this paper, a 1 MHz wide window function in which only 10 samples of $S_{21}$ are available was put under test and it was found a robust answer of chamber time constant can still be given by non-linear curve fitting techniques. Therefore the measurement time can be reduced and the frequency resolution of the chamber time constant can be increased at the same time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A reverberation chamber (RC) is a cavity loaded with a moving stirrer whose shape and size are carefully designed in order to create a stochastic field configuration. The applications of RC cover many areas ranging from communications to biomedical uses. Because it is a multipath environment in a RC, the RC can be used for simulating the indoor Rician channel with arbitrary K factor [1][2]. As a enclosed environment, it is relatively easy to build up a power balance model in an RC, thus making the RC very useful in a lot of power related tests such as the calibration of antenna efficiency [3], and determining the averaged absorption cross section(ACS) of a lossy object or human body [4][5].

The reverberation chamber time constant is a very important parameter, because it can give a lot of useful information about an operating RC, such as the total energy stored, rate of energy loss, total effective absorbing area [6] and so on. This information is very important to many electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) tests. For instance, the chamber time constant should be known before testing a pulse generator or a pulse radar, because a reverberation chamber with a high chamber time constant will change the pulse shape [7][8]. On the other hand, the total ACS of absorbers loaded in a reverberation chamber is found to be a function of chamber time constant, so the chamber time constant can tell the performance of absorbers [9].

The reverberation chamber time constant is usually obtained by doing linear regression of power delay profile (PDP) in the semi-logarithmic coordinate and calculating its gradient [11]. The PDP is the power response of a signal transmitted through a multipath channel as a function of time delay. A common way of getting PDP in a RC is to measure the frequency domain response and than calculate its inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) within a small band. However, the PDP obtained in this way would have a "tail-like" form, where power rises rapidly at the end of the response, as can be easily seen in Fig.4 of [10], and Fig. 1 in this paper. This "tail-like" form of PDP is caused by the ringing of band limited window function in time domain, which could not show the rate of power loss. Therefore the "tail" part should be gated away for doing linear regression when calculating the chamber time constant, but there are some problems with the gating techniques. Firstly, more data of PDP being gated away means less data remaining for doing linear curve fitting, thus lowering the accuracy of regression. Secondly, the position of gating is hard to choose because the boundary between the linear part and the non-linear "tail" is unclear. Including the "tail" into the linear regression would introduce error in the final results. Especially in broadband measurements, it is a great nuisance to check PDP frequency by frequency and choose a good linear curve fitting span.

This non-linear "tail-like" form is found to be caused by the band-limited window function applied in frequency domain (Details can be found in the followed Section II). So a non-linear curve fitting technique was developed which can fully cancel the effect coming from arbitrary window function. Because of the robustness of this new method, the window function with much smaller window width can be applied with no obvious change in the final output of chamber time constant. Thus the frequency resolution of chamber time constant can be increased at the same time.

II. THEORY

The mathematical definition of PDP is [3]

$$PDP(t_i) = < |h(t_i, n)|^2 >$$  \(1\)

where $h(t_i, n)$ is the impulse response of a reverberation chamber, and because here it is a discrete series, $t_i$ means
time with index \(i\). The angle bracket “\(<\cdot>\)” means ensemble average over “\(n\)” independent stirrer positions.

Usually the impulse response \(h(t_i, n)\) is obtained by doing IDFT of a frequency domain response (such as \(S_{21}(f_k, n)\)) filtered by a band-limited window function, as follows:

\[
h(t_i, n) = \text{IDFT}[S_{21}(f_k, n) \cdot \text{win}(f_k)]
\]

(2)

where \(\text{win}(f_k)\) is an arbitrary window function, \(f_k\) means frequency with index \(k\) in frequency domain. \(h(t_i, n)\) would be changed by the application of different types of window functions.

To study the effect of window function on the PDP, one can start by generating \(S_{21}(f_k, n)\) in an ideal reverberation chamber manually. The effect of the window function could then be predicted by filtering this \(S_{21}\) with specific window function.

The generation of \(S_{21}\) starts from the modeling of the ideal impulse response in time domain, and \(S_{21}\) can be obtained by just calculating the discrete Fourier transform (DFT). Three basic assumptions of an ideal reverberation chamber have been made and the ideas come from the ”reverberation model” and ”discrete multipath model” mentioned in Hill’s review on the modeling of PDP in diffuse environment [11]:

1) Every single path in a reverberation chamber is fully band-pass, which means the shape of signal transmitted through any single path would not be distorted by losing part of its frequency components.

2) Because the power of received signal decays exponentially with time delay, so the mathematical model of ideal \(S_{21}(f_k, n)\) should contain an exponential term like \(e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_i}}\), where \(\tau\) is the chamber time constant, \(\tau_i\) is the time delay.

3) The reverberation chamber creates a multipath environment, therefore the signal received at any moment is the sum of signals transmitted through many independent paths. According to the central limit theorem, the total response of received signal at any moment would follow a Gaussian distribution.

In terms of all the three assumptions above, the original form of \(S_{21}(f_k, n)\) is:

\[
S_{21}(f_k, n) = \text{DFT}[h_{\text{ideal}}(t_i, n)] = \text{DFT}[Ae^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_i}}X_{0,1}(t_i)]
\]

(3)

where \(h_{\text{ideal}}(t_i)\) is the impulse response of an ideal reverberation chamber, which is not distorted by any window function; \(A\) is a constant which controls the amplitude of the response; there is a constant 2 in front of the chamber time constant \(\tau\) because the loss of energy is two times slower than the drop of level of transmitted signal; \(X_{0,1}(t_i)\) is a Gaussian random process with zero mean and unit variance. Because the variance of \(h_{\text{ideal}}(t_i, n)\) at any moment can be controlled by \(A\) together with \(X_{0,1}(t_i)\), the variance of \(X_{0,1}(t_i)\) is set to be unit for easy application.

Eq.(3) can be substituted back to Eq.(2) to calculate the PDP. As an example, Fig 1 shows the generated PDP filtered by a 100 MHz rectangular window at 1 GHz.
the shape of PDP is purely linear, because the output of linear regression is just used as a starting value, and the rest of the job of evaluating the chamber time constant is done by the "Levenberg-Marquardt" algorithm.

Secondly, the starting value of $A$ is set to make the generated $S_{21}(f_k, n)$ have the same variance at a specific frequency as the measured $S_{21}(f_k, n)$ does, which is shown mathematically as follows:

$$\text{Var}[S_{21}(f_k = f_0, n)] = \text{Var}[S_{21,\text{meas}}(f_k = f_0, n)]$$ (6)

where $\text{Var}[-]$ means to calculate the variance of $S_{21}$ at a specific frequency over $n$ different stirrer positions. $f_0$ is constant which shows where the frequency is. The subscript 'meas' at the right side of the equation means 'the measured data'.

Research found the variance of generated $S_{21}$ in Eq.(6) has an analytic form. Here is a brief derivation. According to the convolution theorem, Eq.(3) changes into a form:

$$S_{21}(f_k, n) = \frac{1}{N} \text{DFT}(A e^{-\frac{f_k}{\tau}}) \bigotimes \text{DFT}[X_{0,1}(t_i)]$$ (7)

The DFT of a Gaussian random process with unit variance would still be a Gaussian random process but with variance $N$ which equals the number of responses. So Eq.(7) changes into:

$$S_{21}(f_k, n) = \frac{1}{N} \text{DFT}(A e^{-\frac{f_k}{\tau}}) \bigotimes X_{0,N}(f_k)$$ (8)

Therefore the independent characteristic of Gaussian process can be used again here in calculating the variance of $S_{21}(f_k, n)$, the result is as follows:

$$\text{Var}[S_{21}(f_k = f_0, n)] = \frac{A^2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\text{DFT}(e^{-\frac{f_k}{\tau}})|^2$$ (9)

Eq.(9) can be substituted back into Eq.(6) to calculate the starting value of $A$, which gives the form:

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} |\text{DFT}(e^{-\frac{f_k}{\tau}})|^2}{NS_{21,\text{meas}}(f_k = f_0, n)}}$$ (10)

Then the starting value of $\tau$ can be substituted into Eq.(10) to calculate the starting value of $A$.

### III. Measurement

To validate the effectiveness of Eq.(5) in curve fitting, an experiment was done in the University of York reverberation chamber whose size is 4.70 m × 3.00 m × 2.37 m. The chamber was loaded with two horn antennas (ETS 3117 as transmitting antenna and ETS 3117 as receiving antenna). Both antennas were placed with boresights pointed away from each other in order to avoid direct coupling. There is a moving stirrer between two antennas which provided continuously changing boundary conditions. Both antennas are connected to a vector network analyzer Agilent E5071B via bulkheads on the chamber wall. The diagram of experiment set up is shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

The measurement ranges from 1 GHz to 7 GHz and the frequency step was set to be 100 kHz. The stirrer in the chamber was driven by a stepper motor which will move 200 steps so that 200 sets of independent sets of $S_{21}$ can be measured. Firstly, a rectangular window whose width is 100 MHz was selected for validation, and the central frequency of the windows was randomly selected at 2 GHz, 4 GHz, 6 GHz. The PDP measured is as shown in Fig. 4.

Even though the chamber time constant can be found by doing linear regression of PDP in Fig. 4, a good curve fitting span should be selected beforehand. Otherwise the non-linearity of filtered PDP would introduce error in the evaluation of chamber time constant. Fig. 5 shows the chamber time constant as a function of the linear curve fitting span, with the centre of the span fixed at 5 µs. Due to the shortage of data for linear curve fitting, the chamber time constant calculated firstly shows a big variation when the curve fitting span is smaller than 3 µs. Then the chamber time constant reaches a region where the curve is relatively flat, which means the linear curve fit gives a stable answer. But the chamber time constant rises as the curve fitting span keeps expanding, because the span starts to include some of the non-linear "tail" of the PDP in the linear regression. Because chamber time constant is a frequency-
dependent value, careful selection of curve fitting span should be done for each single frequency in wide band measurement. This is a very time consuming job if it is being done manually, and especially when the window width is small, it is more difficult to find a curve fitting span around which the output of chamber time constant is stable.

However, the whole range of PDP can be included in the non-linear curve fitting without introducing error because the non-linear curve fitting can fully describe the form of PDP in time domain. As shown in Fig. 4, the fitted curve matches well with the measured data from 0 µs to 10 µs. The chamber time constant given by non-linear curve fitting is also plotted as triangle marks in Fig. 5 for comparison. The results always locate at the flat region where the output of linear curve fitting converges, which shows the reliability of the non-linear curve fitting.

The chamber time constants obtained by non-linear regression are shown in Table. I and the coherence bandwidth is calculated as follows [13]:

\[
BW = \frac{1}{\frac{\tau}{\pi}} \sqrt{3}
\]  

(11)

The coherence bandwidths are all smaller than 1 MHz, therefore the 100 MHz rectangular is too wide compared to the coherent bandwidth for doing inverse Fourier transform. A 1 MHz rectangular window was applied in obtaining the PDP, and the results are shown in Fig 6.

When the window width is reduced to 1 MHz, the shape
the chamber time constant obtained by linear curve fitting has relatively bigger variation compared to that obtained by non-linear curve fitting. Also, the calculated chamber time constant changes as the width of window function is narrowed down from 100 MHz to 1 MHz.

However, the non-linear curve fitting is robust. Although the different window functions were applied, the chamber time constants obtained are still quite close to each other. Especially, there are only 11 samples included for the calculation of PDP in a 1 MHz window, but the non-linear curve fitting could help giving a answer close to that when the 100 MHz window was applied. Therefore, with the help of non-linear curve fitting, only a few samples are needed around a fixed frequency point to get a reliable chamber time constant. This is very useful under the situations in which the measurement speed really matters, such as the measurement of absorption cross section of a human being who can not hold the same pose for a very long time.

IV. Conclusion

A mathematical model of power delay profile in a reverberation chamber was firstly developed, which uses a robust non-linear curve-fitting method to calculate RC time constants. The non-linear curve-fitting model is controlled by just two different parameters, and it also takes the effect of the window function into account. This non-linear curve fitting has many advantages over linear curve fitting, in particular: 1. It can greatly increase the frequency resolution of chamber time constant calculations. 2. Only a few samples close to the central frequency need to be collected for obtaining these chamber time constants, so considerable measurement time can be saved. 3. The non-linear curve fitting takes all the data of the PDP into account, so it has the more efficient data usage, while the non-linear part of PDP would needed to be gated away for linear curve fitting. With the help of this non-linear curve fitting technique, the chamber time constant can be got much faster and more accurately, which accelerates many EMC tests, such as the measurement of antenna efficiency, the measurement of ACS, etc. Especially in the measurement of human body ACS, in which the human subject is not expected to hold the same pose for very long in the reverberation chamber, only a few frequency samples are needed to be collected for non-linear curve fitting, therefore the measurement can be finished quickly while the uncertainty coming from random body movement can be reduced at the same time.
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