



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Inhibitory effect of chlorogenic acid on digestion of potato starch*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/104393/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Karim, Z, Holmes, M and Orfila, C (2017) Inhibitory effect of chlorogenic acid on digestion of potato starch. *Food Chemistry*, 217. pp. 498-504. ISSN 0308-8146

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.08.058>

© 2016, Elsevier Ltd. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Inhibitory effect of chlorogenic acid on digestion of potato**
2 **starch**

3

4

5 **Zida Karim ¹, Melvin Holmes ² and Caroline Orfila ^{1*}**

6

7

8 ¹ Nutrition and Public Health Group, School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of
9 Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

10 ² Food Colloids and Processing Group, School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of
11 Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

12

13

14 *Corresponding author:

15 Caroline Orfila

16 School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK.

17 Fax number: 0044113 3432982

18 E-mail address: c.orfila@leeds.ac.uk

19

20 Melvin Holmes; prcmjh@leeds.ac.uk

21 Zida Karim; fszmk@leeds.ac.uk

22 **Highlights:**

- 23 • Chlorogenic acid inhibits the digestion of isolated potato starch both competitively and
24 non-competitively.
- 25 • Five commercial potato varieties were analysed for phenolic content and starch
26 digestibility.
- 27 • Digestibility is affected by multiple factors including phenolic, dry matter and starch
28 content.

29 **Abstract:**

30 The effect of the chlorogenic acid isomer 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (5-CQA) on digestion of
31 potato starch by porcine pancreatic alpha amylase (PPAA) was investigated using isolated
32 starch and cooked potato tuber as substrates. In vitro digestion was performed on five varieties
33 of potato with varying phenolic content. Co- and pre-incubation of PPAA with 5-CQA
34 significantly reduced PPAA activity in a dose dependent manner with an IC₅₀ value of about
35 2 mg mL⁻¹. Lineweaver-Burk plots indicated that 5-CQA exerts a mixed type inhibition as k_m
36 increased and V_{max} decreased. The total polyphenol content (TPC) of peeled tuber tissue ranged
37 from 320.59 to 528.94 mg 100g⁻¹ dry weight (DW) in raw tubers and 282.03 to 543.96 mg
38 100g⁻¹ DW in cooked tubers. With the exception of Désirée, TPC and 5-CQA levels decreased
39 after cooking. Principle component analysis indicated that digestibility is affected by multiple
40 factors including phenolic, dry matter and starch content.

41

42 **Keywords**

43 Alpha amylase; starch; chlorogenic acid; enzyme kinetics; polyphenol; digestion; potato;
44 *Solanum tuberosum*.

45

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Potato is the third most consumed food crop providing around 5% to 15% of dietary energy,
48 primarily from starch, to various populations around the world. Potato has been labelled with
49 a high glycaemic index (GI) because consumption of some potato products can cause a sharp
50 increase of postprandial blood glucose concentration. However there is significant variation in
51 the GI of various potato products (Weichselbaum, 2010). Many investigators have reported the
52 effect of food processing (industrial or domestic) on the GI of potato (Ek, Brand-Miller and
53 Copeland, 2012). For example, it has been reported that freshly boiled potato (GI 78) and
54 instant mashed potato (GI 87) have a higher GI than French fries (GI 63) (Atkinson, Foster-
55 Powell and Brand-Miller, 2008). Furthermore, the incremental area under curve (AUC) of
56 freshly cooked potato decreased by 18% and 30% upon storage in the refrigerator for 1 and 5
57 days respectively. This observation is attributed to the retrogradation of amylose which reduces
58 digestibility (Fernandes, Velangi, and Wolever, 2005). Henry, Lightowler, Strik and Storey
59 (2005) determined the GI of commercially available potatoes in Great Britain and demonstrated
60 that varieties prepared by boiling for 15 min showed a wide variation in GI values, ranging
61 from 56 for Marfona to 94 for Maris Peer. Henry postulated that the difference was related to
62 their texture, with waxy potatoes having a medium GI and floury potatoes having a high GI.
63 We postulate that some of these differences may be attributed to the presence of endogenous
64 polyphenolic substances acting as α -amylase inhibitors in the digestive tract.

65 Pancreatic alpha amylase is an endoglycosidase enzyme that has a significant role in
66 carbohydrate digestion. It has been shown that inhibitors of α -amylase reduce bioavailability
67 of glucose (Bozzetto et al., 2015). Controlling blood glucose level by α -amylase inhibitors
68 may play a role in preventing hyperglycaemia in patients with diabetes mellitus. Some α -
69 amylase inhibitors are naturally present in foods. Potato tubers contain many plant secondary
70 metabolites including phenolics, carotenoids and polyamines. Their content and composition

71 vary according to the variety of potato, conditions of cultivation, cooking and processing
72 methods (Ezekiel, Singh, Sharma and Kaur, 2013, Ryes and Cisneros-Zevallos, 2003).
73 Phenolics present in potatoes include phenolic acids, tannins, lignin, flavonoids, coumarins and
74 anthocyanins (Ryes and Cisneros-Zevallos, 2003). 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (5-CQA) is an
75 isomer of chlorogenic acid (CGA) which makes up 90% of the phenolic content of potato
76 (Malenberg and Theander, 1985). The concentration of 5-CQA is higher in the skin than in the
77 medulla. Analysis has shown that cooked unpeeled potato contains between 9.1 to 12 mg 5-
78 CQA per 100 g fresh weight compared to 0.86 to 6.6 mg for equivalent peeled samples (Mattila
79 and Hellstrom, 2007). The variation in 5-CQA content suggests that different potatoes may
80 inhibit pancreatic amylase to different extents.

81 Evidence from a number of in vitro and in vivo studies indicates inhibitory effects of
82 polyphenols on enzymes involved in carbohydrate digestion. It was reported that 5-CQA,
83 quinic acid (QA) and caffeic acid (CA) have mixed-type inhibitory effect against pure porcine
84 pancreatic alpha amylase (PPAA) isomers I and II using p-nitrophenyl- α -D-maltoside as a
85 substrate (Narita and Inouye, 2009). The most potent inhibitor was 5-CQA followed by CA
86 and QA. In an in vivo animal study, oral intake of a 5-CQA solution (3.5 mg kg⁻¹ body weight)
87 during a glucose tolerance test lowered the height of glycaemia peaks at 10 and 15 min by 22
88 and 17% respectively compared to control (only glucose) (Bassoli et al., 2008). Rohn, Rawel
89 and Kroll (2002) derivatized PPAA with a number of phenolic compounds and showed that 5-
90 CQA reacted covalently with the enzyme and decreased its activity by about 50%. However,
91 the mode of inhibition and potential effect on digestion of native potato starch has not been
92 shown. The aim of the present study was to characterise the effect of 5-CQA on PPAA activity
93 in vitro using potato starch as a substrate and to determine the in vitro digestibility of steam
94 cooked potatoes from varieties which vary in their phenolic content.

95 **2. Materials and methods:**

96 **2.1 Potato samples**

97 Tubers from five commercial varieties that differ in skin colour were purchased from food
98 markets in Leeds, UK. Maris piper has creamy flesh and golden yellow skin; Maris peer has
99 creamy flesh and skin; Désirée, Rooster and Mozart have reddish pink skin and light yellow
100 flesh. Three potatoes from each variety were rinsed with water and dried with a paper towel.
101 Then the potatoes were peeled to remove skin and cortex, cut into cubes (1 cm³) and separated
102 into 100 g batches. Potatoes cubes were placed into a steam pan and cooked for 30 min at
103 boiling temperature. Cooked potatoes were mashed by using a fork and used for enzymatic
104 digestion. The remaining raw and steam cooked mashed potato was immediately frozen at -80
105 °C, freeze dried and stored at -80°C for analysis of TPC and phenolic acid composition.
106 Analyses were repeated at least three times with three batches of potato.

107 **2.2 In vitro digestion of potato starch in presence of chlorogenic acid**

108 The activity of PPAA (16 U/mg, Sigma Aldrich) enzyme on hydrolysis potato starch in the
109 presence and absence of 5-CQA (Sigma Aldrich; PubChem CID 12310830) was examined by
110 the method of Brenfeld (1955) and Kazeem, Adamson and Ogunwande (2013) with some
111 modifications. One percent (w/v) soluble potato starch (Sigma Aldrich) was suspended in
112 20mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.9 buffer containing 6.7mM NaCl and gelatinized for 15
113 min at 90 °C then allowed to cool to 37°C before addition of PPAA at a concentration of 0.33
114 U ml⁻¹. The reaction was followed at 37°C for up to 20 minutes. 5-CQA (final concentration
115 1.5 mg mL⁻¹) was either added to the enzyme-substrate mixture at the start of the reaction or
116 was pre-incubated for 10 minutes with the enzyme prior to addition of the substrate. All
117 reactions were carried out in four replicates. Reducing sugar released was measured at two
118 reaction times (5 and 20 min) using the 3, 5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS; Sigma Aldrich)

119 colorimetric assay (Miller, 1959, Fei et al., 2014). An enzymatic kit was not used due the
120 inhibition of enzymes in the kit by chlorogenic acid. Brayer has shown that maltose is the
121 preferred leaving group for PPAA (Brayer et al., 2000), and therefore maltose was used for
122 generating standard curve to quantify the reducing sugar released. The enzymatic activity of
123 PPAA was determined in the presence of various concentrations of 5-CQA (0.08 - 2 mg mL⁻¹
124 ¹). IC₅₀ was calculated as the concentration of 5-CQA required to inhibit 50% of enzyme
125 activity.

126 **2.3 Enzymatic kinetics and mode of inhibition**

127 Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters and mode of inhibition of PPAA by 5-CQA was
128 determined from a Lineweaver-Burk plot. One mL of 5-CQA at concentrations ranging from
129 0 to 2 mg mL⁻¹ was added to a mixture containing 1 mL of starch solution at concentrations
130 from 0 to 6.6 mg mL⁻¹ in the same buffer solution as described in the previous section. The
131 reaction was initiated by addition of a fixed concentration of PPAA (0.33 unit mL⁻¹). The
132 solution mixture was incubated for 5 min at 37 °C. The reducing sugar produced was
133 determined by the DNS colorimetric method as described previously.

134 **2.4 Determination of total starch content**

135 Total starch (TS) was determined enzymatically according to the method of Goñi, Garcia-
136 Alonso and Saura –Calixto (1997) with some modifications. Raw potato (50 mg) was
137 homogenized in 6 mL of 2M KOH and then agitated using a shaking vortex at room
138 temperature for 30 min. The agitation step was very important to ensure complete solubility
139 of the starch. 3 mL of 0.4M sodium acetate buffer (pH=4.75) was added to the suspension and
140 pH was adjusted to 4.75 by using 3M acetic acid. 60 µl of amyloglucosidase (AMG) from
141 *Aspergillus niger* (70 U/mg, Sigma Aldrich) was added to the solubilized starch and hydrolysed
142 for 45 min at 60 °C in a shaking water bath. The digestion mixture was centrifuged for 5 min

143 and pH was neutralised with 0.2M NaOH. Glucose in the supernatant was measured using the
144 DNS method. Glucose amount was converted to starch by multiplying by a factor 0.9.

145 **2.5 Determination of free sugar content**

146 Free sugar content was determined in order to correct the TS value in potato samples. Potato
147 samples, 200 mg of raw or cooked tuber, were homogenized in 6 mL sodium acetate buffer
148 (pH=4.75) and then centrifuged for 10 min. Soluble sugars were determined using the DNS
149 method and high performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric
150 detection.

151 **2.6 High performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection** 152 **(HPAEC-PAD)**

153 Sugar solutions (glucose, fructose, sucrose, maltose and maltotriose, all from Sigma Aldrich)
154 were used as standards at concentrations of 0-0.2 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Samples and standards were spiked
155 with internal standard (fucose, final concentration of 0.05 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Samples were filtered
156 through PTFE membrane filters (0.2 μm pore size, Chromacol Ltd) and analyzed by HPAEC-
157 PAD (Thermo Fisher DX500 instrument equipped with a GP40 gradient pump, ED40
158 electrochemical detector including gold working and silver reference electrodes and a LC20
159 column oven set at 30°C). The analytical column used was CarboPac PA20 (3 \times 150mm) with
160 guard (3 \times 30mm) with anion exchange capacities of 65 $\mu\text{eq/column}$. The mobile phase was 200
161 mM NaOH and the flow rate was 0.4 mL/min. Injections (10 μL) were made by an AS500
162 autosampler. The elution programme was as follows: isocratic elution with 60mM NaOH from
163 0 to 8 min, followed by increasing gradient up to 140 mM NaOH to 17 min. The concentration
164 was reduced back to 60mM and equilibration was carried out for 6 min.

165 **2.7 Determination of moisture content**

166 Potato samples fresh and cooked (in triplicate) were weighed and frozen at -80°C then freeze
167 dried for 48 hours. The moisture content was calculated as percentage of weight loss. Dry
168 matter (DM) was calculated from the remaining dry yield.

169 **2.8 In vitro starch hydrolysis of steam cooked potato**

170 In order to test the hypothesis that the TPC affects the digestibility of starch in potato varieties,
171 in vitro digestibility of different varieties of potato were carried out. Steam cooked tubers from
172 potato varieties (10 mg total starch mL⁻¹) with different TPC levels were digested using PPAA
173 (0.66 unit mL⁻¹) for up to 180 min at 37°C in a shaking water bath (75 rpm).

174 In addition, we also performed sequential digestion with AMG (60 µl of AMG (184 U) from
175 *Aspergillus niger*, Sigma Aldrich) following PPAA digestion to degrade all digestion products
176 to glucose. Before AMG digestion, the pH was adjusted to 4.75 and digestion performed for
177 30 min at 37°C in a shaking water bath (75 rpm). Digestion products were detected using the
178 DNS method and HPAEC-PAD.

179 **2.9 Determination of total polyphenol content (TPC)**

180 The method of extraction was adapted from Shakya and Navarre (2006). Phenolic compounds
181 were extracted in four replicates taken from freeze dried raw and cooked potatoes. Freeze-dried
182 powder (200 mg) was mixed with 1.5 mL of extraction buffer (50% MeOH, 2.5%
183 metaphosphoric acid, 1 mM EDTA, chilled to 4°C) and 500 mg of glass beads (1.0 mm in
184 diameter; Fisher Scientific). Tubes were shaken with a vortex for 10 min at room temperature
185 and then sonicated at 10 °C for 10 min. After sonication, tubes were shaken again with a vortex
186 for 10 min. Tubes were centrifuged at 2500 g at 4°C for 10 minutes and the supernatant was
187 transferred to a clean tube. Extractions were repeated three times and supernatants combined.
188 Samples were kept chilled at all times and not exposed to light. TPC of potato was measured

189 by Folin-Ciocalteu method (Singleton and Rossi, 1965). Potato extract (50 μ L) was mixed
190 with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (50 μ L, 1 N), sodium carbonate (150 μ L, 20% w/v) and distilled
191 water (750 μ L). After shaking by vortex, the mixture was incubated in the dark at room
192 temperature for 45 min. Absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a spectrophotometer (Cecil,
193 CE 7200 Double Beam UV/VIS Spectrophotometer). Different concentrations of 5-CQA (10-
194 300 μ g mL⁻¹) were used to generate a standard curve.

195 **2.10 Separation of phenolic acids using high performance liquid chromatography with** 196 **diode array detector – mass spectrometry (HPLC-DAD-MS).**

197 The HPLC-DAD-MS system consisted of a micro vacuum degasser (Prominence Degasser LC-
198 20 A5, Shimadzu), a liquid chromatograph (Prominence Liquid Chromatograph LC-30 AD,
199 Shimadzu), an auto sampler (Prominence Auto Sampler SIL-30 AC, Shimadzu), a diode array
200 detector (Prominence Diode Array Detector system SPD-M20A, Shimadzu), a column oven
201 (Prominence Column Oven CTO-20 AC, Shimadzu), a controller (Prominence Controller
202 CBM-20 A, Shimadzu), and an MS detector with electrospray ion source and quadrupole
203 analyser (Liquid Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer LCMS-2020, Shimadzu). The Labs
204 solutions (Shimadzu) software was used to control the LC-MS system and for data processing.
205 The column used for chromatographic separation was an Agilent Zorbax Eclipse plus C18
206 column 4.6 mm \times 150 mm, 5 μ m internal diameter. A gradient elution program of solvent A
207 (0.1 % formic acid, 5% acetonitrile and 94% water) and solvent B (0.1 % formic acid, 5% water
208 and 94% acetonitrile) was set as follows: 61-min; linear gradient from 0-51 min from 0% to
209 100% solvent B, isocratic elution from 51.1-56 min with 100% solvent B, linear gradient from
210 56-56.1 min to 0% solvent B and isocratic elution from 56.1-61 min with 0% solvent B. The
211 column temperature was 35°C and flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹ and injection volume 10 μ L. The
212 diode array detection spectra was recorded at wavelengths of 280, 290, 315, 320 and 330 nm.

213 **2.11 Statistical analysis**

214 Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS statistics version 22 software program for
215 window. An independent sample t-test was used to compare amylase activity in presence or
216 absence of chlorogenic acid. One way ANOVA was used to analyse the differences between
217 varieties in terms of composition and digestibility, and also to test the effect of cooking on
218 phenolic content. Differences were considered to be statistically significant when $p \leq 0.05$.
219 Pearson correlations were performed between composition factors and AUC values.
220 Principle component analysis (PCA) was conducted to analyse the relationship between starch
221 hydrolyzed and TS, DM, TPC and 5-CQA content of potatoes. PCA was performed using
222 MATLAB software R2015a (MathWorks, Inc.).

223

224 **3. Results and discussion**

225 **3.1 In vitro hydrolysis of potato starch in presence of chlorogenic acid**

226 We evaluated the inhibitory effect of the 5-CQA on PPAA activity using potato starch as
227 substrate at two incubation time periods of 5 and 20 min (figure 1A). The results indicate that
228 5-CQA significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) inhibited PPAA at both incubation times, the inhibition was
229 more pronounced at 5 min (25.5% inhibition) compared to 20 min (1.5% inhibition). The
230 difference in inhibition at the two reaction times indicates that 5-CQA is most efficient during
231 early stages of hydrolysis, most probably in a competitive way. Pre-incubation of enzyme with
232 5-CQA for 10 min inhibited the enzyme by 53.8 and 28.3 % at 5 and 20 min respectively. In
233 order to calculate the IC_{50} , PPAA activity was measured in the presence of increasing
234 concentrations of 5-CQA (figure 1B). The IC_{50} was found to be around 2 mg mL^{-1} 5-CQA and
235 this in agreement with results of Sun et al. (2016) who reported IC_{50} of 1.96 mg mL^{-1} for
236 chlorogenic acid against PPAA hydrolysis of maize starch. The enzymatic kinetic properties

237 were examined by applying Michaelis-Menten assumptions. The initial velocity of the reaction
238 (V) was determined at various concentrations [S] of gelatinized potato starch in the absence
239 and presence of various concentrations of the 5-CQA and a fixed concentration of PPAA. A
240 Lineweaver-Burk plot produced linear relationships for 1/V against 1/[S] at various 5-CQA
241 various concentrations (figure 1C). Increasing inhibitor concentrations led to an increase in
242 slope and y-intercept on the vertical axis. The intersection of lines in the second quadrant
243 suggests a mixed type of inhibition of 5-CQA against PPAA. Kinetic parameter V_{max} and k_m
244 were obtained from Lineweaver-Burk plots (table 1); k_m values increased from 1.66 to 2.08 mg
245 mL^{-1} starch with increasing inhibitor concentrations while maximum velocity (V_{max}) values
246 decreased from 0.35 to 0.29 $mg\ mL^{-1}\ min^{-1}$ maltose. These results also suggest that 5-CQA has
247 a mixed-type inhibition (competitive and non-competitive) against PPAA when potato starch
248 is used as a substrate. This mixed type inhibition behaviour was previously reported for 5-CQA
249 using p-nitrophenyl- α -D-maltoside as a substrate (Narita and Inouye, 2009). Recently, Sun et
250 al (2016) reported that chlorogenic and caffeic acid have a mixed type inhibitory effect on
251 PPAA hydrolysis of maize starch. These two inhibitors decrease the V_{max} , by interacting more
252 strongly with the enzyme-substrate complex than with the free enzyme (Sun et al 2016, Narita
253 and Inouye, 2011). Rohn, Rawel and Kroll (2002) reported that the incubation of digestive
254 enzymes (PPAA, trypsin, lysozyme) with simple phenolic compounds (CQA, caffeic acid,
255 gallic acid and ferulic acid) for 24 hours resulted in covalent attachment of the phenolic
256 compounds to the free amino groups of the enzymes and in consequence, decreased their
257 activity irreversibly and non-competitively. The non-competitive inhibition of PPAA was
258 reported for millet seed coat extract using potato starch as substrate (Shobana, Sreerama and
259 Malleshi, 2009).

260 The inhibitory activity of phenolic acids is enhanced with increasing the number of phenolic
261 sub-structures. The inhibitory effect of caffeic acid was enhanced 5-fold by combining with

262 quinic acid to form chlorogenic acids (Narita & Inouye, 2011). We show that 5-CQA is able
263 to inhibit PPAA activity on potato starch in both competitive and non-competitive manners.

264 **3.2 Total phenolic content**

265 The TPC of raw and cooked peeled potato samples is shown in table 2. TPC in fresh peeled
266 potatoes varied from 320.59 mg 100 g⁻¹ dry weight (DW; 5-CQA equivalent) in Rooster to
267 528.94 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW in Maris Peer. TPC content was in the order Maris Peer > Mozart >
268 Désirée > Maris Piper > Rooster from highest to lowest content. Variety affected TPC content
269 significantly ($p \leq 0.05$). We did not measure TPC content in the skin of the potatoes, but observe
270 that skin colour is not a good indicator of TPC content in the flesh.

271 In general, steam cooking affected the TPC in all potato samples. Cooking reduced the TPC
272 in Maris Peer (-35%) and Mozart (-19%) significantly ($p \leq 0.05$). Smaller non-significant
273 decreases were observed in Maris Piper (-7%) and Rooster (-12%) ($p = 0.26$ and 0.20
274 respectively). However, the TPC content increased in Désirée potatoes by 22% ($p = 0.04$). This
275 variation in the response to cooking has been reported in the literature (Tian, Chen, Ye, Chen,
276 2016).

277 There are many reports of TPC in different genotypes of potato in peeled, unpeeled, fresh and
278 cooked forms. The TPC varies according to genotype, agricultural practices and method of
279 extraction. Ah-Hen, Fuenzalida, Contreras, Vega-Galvez and Lemus-Mondaca (2012)
280 examined the TPC in some native coloured potatoes from Chiloe Island (Southern Chile) and
281 found that TPC in peeled potato samples varied from 192 to 1864 mg 100g⁻¹ DW (ferulic acid
282 equivalent). Lachman, Hamouz, Sulc, Orsak and Dvorak (2008) reported TPC in red and
283 yellow-fleshed potato to range from 296 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW in yellow-fleshed potato to 468 mg
284 100 g⁻¹ DW (gallic acid equivalent) in purple-fleshed potato. These levels are consistent with
285 the results obtained in this study. Recently, Tierno, Hornero-Mendez, Gallardo-Guerrero,
286 Lopez-Pardo and Ruiz de Galarreta (2015) reported that boiling peeled potato for 30 min

287 reduced the TPC of tubers by about 50% and similar results also were obtained by Lemos,
288 Aliyu and Hungerford (2015). Meanwhile, Blessington, Nzaramba, Scheuring, Hale, Reddivari
289 and Miller (2010) reported an increase in TPC after baking, frying and microwaving. Bembem
290 and Sadan (2013) and Burgos et al. (2013) observed an increase in TPC after boiling, steam
291 cooking, microwaving and pressure cooking. Therefore, an increase in level of TPC of Désirée
292 potato in our study after steam cooking is in accordance with some published reports. The
293 increased levels could be due to the release by cooking of phenolic or other compounds (Tian,
294 Chen, Ye, Chen, 2016).

295 One of the disadvantages of the TPC assay using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent is the low level of
296 specificity. For instance, the reagent reacts with ascorbic acid and tyrosine, both abundant in
297 potatoes. It has been reported that the ascorbic acid response to the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent is
298 two times higher than gallic acid (Lachman, Hamouz, Sulc, Orsak and Dvorak, 2008).
299 Therefore, results using TPC values need to be interpreted with caution. To address the non-
300 specificity, the individual phenolic acids in potato extracts were identified using HPLC-DAD-
301 MS (typical chromatogram in supplementary material 1). 5-CQA was the predominant
302 phenolic acid in the flesh of raw and cooked potatoes. Other minor peaks were also observed
303 but not quantified. The content of 5-CQA in raw potato ranged from the lowest in Désirée
304 (10.36 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW) to highest in Maris Piper (29.46 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW) (table 2). In cooked
305 tuber, the 5-CQA content was lowest in Rooster (6.51 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW) and highest in Maris
306 Piper (21.24 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW). Similar to the effects of cooking on TPC, steam cooking
307 significantly decreased the 5-CQA level of all potatoes tested ($p \leq 0.05$), except Désirée which
308 saw an increase. 5-CQA in cooked Désirée was 1.7 time higher than in raw potato ($p \leq 0.05$).
309 The level of chlorogenic acids in 50 unpeeled potato genotypes was reported by Navarre, Pillai,
310 Shakya and Holden (2011) to be in the range 21.9 to 473.0 mg 100g⁻¹ DW. Mattila and
311 Hellstrom (2007) reported that cooked and peeled potato contain 4.13 to 31.2 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW,

312 in agreement with the current study. The colour of the skin has been suggested to be associated
313 with TPC content in the flesh (Tierno, Hornero-Mendez, Gallardo-Guerrero, Lopez-Pardo and
314 Ruiz de Galarreta, 2015). However, in this study, this was not found to be the case. Observed
315 colour was not a good indicator of TPC and 5-CQA content in flesh. Rooster has red skin but
316 its flesh showed one of the lowest TPC and 5-CQA contents amongst the varieties investigated.
317 Conversely, Maris Piper has yellow skin and had the highest 5-CQA in cooked flesh. The
318 HPLC results indicate that TPC content overestimates potato phenolic content but is still used
319 in many studies.

320 **3.3 In vitro starch hydrolysis of steam cooked potato**

321 Hydrolysis of potato starch by PPAA was monitored by measuring the reducing sugar produced
322 at different times using the DNS colorimetric method and the maltose content by HPAEC-PAD
323 (typical chromatogram in supplementary material). The amount of sugar detected after PPAA
324 hydrolysis was around 1.5 times higher using the DNS method than using HPAEC-PAD. This
325 can be explained by DNS reacting with oligosaccharides produced by amylase digestion that
326 can react with DNS (Van der Maarel, Van der Veen, Uitdehaag, Leemhuis and Dijkhuizen,
327 2002, Nigam and Singh, 1995, Robyt and Whelan, 1972). AMG was used to digest PPAA
328 digestion products to glucose (figure 2) and in this case DNS and HPAEC-PAD gave similar
329 results (data not shown). Using HPAEC-PAD, free soluble sugars including glucose, fructose
330 and sucrose were detected at low levels at time 0 (supplementary material and table 2). While
331 after hydrolysis by PPAA, maltose and maltotriose were detected as products of digestion
332 (supplementary material) and after AMG digestion, glucose was the only product (not shown).
333 The HPAEC-PAD also showed that the PPAA preparation contains sucrose, most probably as
334 a stabiliser and this needs to be corrected for. Sucrose does react with DNS and therefore it is
335 important to undertake a blank correction of the enzyme preparation. Enzymatic kits were not

336 used because polyphenols inhibit the kit enzymes, underestimating the carbohydrate content
337 (data not shown).

338 The area under the curve (AUC) for the in vitro digestion reactions with PPAA and
339 PPAA+AMG were calculated for each potato type (table 3). There were significant ($p \leq 0.05$)
340 differences in the AUC_{PPAA} and $AUC_{PPAA+AMG}$ between the varieties. Rooster showed
341 consistently the highest extent of digestion. Meanwhile, Mozart and Maris Peer showed lower
342 levels of digestibility with PPAA than Désirée and Maris Piper, but upon digestion with
343 PPAA+AMG, the opposite trend was observed with Désirée and Maris Piper showing lower
344 levels of digestibility compared to Mozart and Maris Peer. While there was no significant
345 correlation between AUC_{PPAA} and TPC or 5-CQA content there was a significant negative
346 correlation between $AUC_{PPAA+AMG}$ and 5-CQA and between $AUC_{PPAA+AMG}$ and TPC (with
347 Pearson correlation coefficients $r = -0.49$, $p < 0.0001$ and $r = -0.30$, $p = 0.0001$ respectively).

348 In this study, the amount of steam cooked potato used as substrate contained 10 mg mL^{-1} of
349 starch (similar to the first experiments) and around 0.08 mg mL^{-1} 5-CQA. According to figure
350 1B, this is a low concentration of 5-CQA, enough to inhibit up to 5.8 % of the alpha amylase
351 activity. This amount of 5-CQA does appear to be enough to inhibit AMG. To better understand
352 the relation between composition factors and starch digestibility, principle component analysis
353 (PCA) was performed.

354

355 **3.4 Principle component analysis (PCA)**

356 Principle component analysis was conducted in order to determine the relationship between
357 potato composition (TS, DM, TPC, 5-CQA) and percent of hydrolysed starch (as measured
358 using $AUC_{PPAA+AMG}$). Figure 3 show the loading and scores of the characteristics of the five
359 potato cultivars with the first two PCs explaining 72% of the total variance respectively. PC1
360 explained 47% and PC2 explained 24% of total variance. For PC1, TS, DM, 5-CQA and TPC

361 had positive loading and starch hydrolysis had negative loading. TS and DM had positive
362 loading for PC2 while 5-CQA and TPC had negative loading for PC2. According to the PCA
363 scores, potato varieties segregated according to their components: Maris Piper showed high
364 DM, high 5-CQA content and low digestibility. Rooster, Maris Peer and Mozart potato
365 appeared in one cluster and showed the opposite characteristics. The digestibility of Désirée
366 appeared to be heavily influenced by TPC, in contrast to the other varieties. It appears that the
367 same single factor does not strongly determine digestibility in all varieties.

368 **4. Conclusions:**

369 This study is the first to examine the mechanism of inhibition of pancreatic alpha amylase by
370 5-CQA using potato starch as a substrate. Kinetic analyses showed a mixed-type inhibition,
371 with stronger inhibition at earlier incubation times (5 min compared to 20 min). In vitro
372 digestion of cooked potato tubers showed that the 5-CQA content in tubers is probably too low
373 to affect PPAA digestion, but a significant effect was observed when AMG was also used. The
374 inhibitory effect of 5-CQA on multiple carbohydrate digestive enzymes needs further
375 investigation.

376 The results presented in this paper suggest that multiple factors affect potato digestibility, and
377 the effects may be variety specific. Testing a higher number of varieties is required and
378 ultimately testing the digestibility of the varieties in vivo will also confirm whether these
379 observations have biological significance.

380

381

382 **Acknowledgements:**

383 This work was funded by a Scholarship to ZK by the Ministry of Higher Education and
384 Scientific Research of the Kurdistan Region of Iraq.

385

386 **References:**

- 387 Atkinson, F.S., Foster-Powell, K., Brand-Miller, J. (2008) International tables of glycemic
388 index and glycemic load values: 2008. *Diabetes Care*, 31(12), 2281–2283.
- 389 Ah-Hen, K., Fuenzalida, C., Hess, S., Contreras, A., Vega-Galvez, A. & Lemus-Mondaca, R.
390 2012. Antioxidant capacity and total phenolic compounds of twelve selected potato
391 landrace clones grown in southern Chile. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, 72
392 (1), 3-9.
- 393 Bassoli, B.K., Cassolla, P., Borba-Murad, G.R., Constantin, J., Salgueiro-Pagadigorria, C.L.,
394 Bazotte, R.B., da Silva, R.S. & de Souza, H.M. (2008). Chlorogenic acid reduces the
395 plasma glucose peak in the oral glucose tolerance test: effects on hepatic glucose release
396 and glycaemia. *Cell Biochemistry and Function*, 26(3), 320–328.
- 397 Bembem, K. & Sadana, B. 2013. Effect of cooking methods on the nutritional composition and
398 antioxidant activity of potato tubers. *International Journal of Food and Nutritional*
399 *Sciences*, 2(4), 26-30.
- 400 Blessington, T., Nzaramba, M. N., Scheuring, D.C., Hale, A. L., Reddivari, L. & Miller, J.C.,
401 JR. 2010. Cooking methods and storage treatments of potato: effects on carotenoids,
402 antioxidant activity, and phenolics. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 87(6), 479-
403 491.
- 404 Bozzetto, L., Annuzzi, G., Pacini, G., Costabile, G., Vetrani, C., Vitale, M., et al. 2015.
405 Polyphenol-rich diets improve glucose metabolism in people at high cardiometabolic
406 risk: a controlled randomised intervention trial. *Diabetologia*, 58 (7), 1551-1560.
- 407 Brenfeld, P. 1955. Amylases, α and β . *Methods in Enzymology*, 1, 149-158.
- 408 Brayer, G. D. , Sidhu, G., Maurus, R., Rydberg, E. H. , Braun, C. , Wang, Y., Nguyen, N. T. ,
409 Overall, C. M., & Withers S. G. 2000. Subsite mapping of the human pancreatic α -
410 amylase active site through structural, kinetic, and mutagenesis Techniques.
411 *Biochemistry*, 39 (16), 4778–4791.
- 412 Burgos, G., Amoros, W., Munoa, L., Sosa, P., Cayhualla, E., Sanchez, C., Diaz, C. &
413 Bonierbale, M. 2013. Total phenolic, total anthocyanin and phenolic acid
414 concentrations and antioxidant activity of purple-fleshed potatoes as affected by
415 boiling. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 30(1), 6-12.
- 416 Ek, K. L., Brand-Miller, J. & Copeland, L. 2012. Glycemic effect of potatoes. *Food Chemistry*,
417 133(4), 1230-1240.

418 Ezekiel, R., Singh, N., Sharma, S. & Kaur, A. 2013. Beneficial phytochemicals in potato - a
419 review. *Food Research International*, 50(2), 487-496.

420 Fernandes, G., Velangi, A. & Wolever TMS. 2005. Glycemic index of potatoes commonly
421 consumed in North America. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 105, 557-
422 562.

423 Fei, Q., Gao, Y., Zhang, X., Yi, S., Hu, B., Zhou, L., Jabbar, S., & Zeng, X. 2014. Effects of
424 Oolong tea polyphenols, EGCG, and EGCG3"Me on pancreatic α -amylase activity in
425 vitro. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 62, 9507–9514.

426 Goñi, I., Garcia-Alonso, A. & Saura-Calixto, F. 1997. A starch hydrolysis procedure to
427 estimate glycemic index. *Nutrition Research*, 17, 427-437.

428 Henry, C. J. K., Lightowler, H. J., Strik, C. M. & Storey, M. 2005. Glycaemic index values for
429 commercially available potatoes in Great Britain. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 94(6),
430 917-921.

431 Kazeem, M. I., Adamson, J.O. & Ogunwande, I.A. (2013). Modes of inhibition of α -amylase
432 and α -glucosidase by aqueous extract of *Morinda lucida* benth leaf. *BioMed Research*
433 *International*

434 Lachman, J., Hamouz, K., Sulc, M., Orsak, M. & Dvorak, P. 2008. Differences in phenolic
435 content and antioxidant activity in yellow and purple-fleshed potatoes grown in the
436 Czech Republic. *Plant Soil and Environment*, 54(1), 1-6.

437 Lemos, M. A., Aliyu, M.M. & Hungerford, G. 2015. Influence of cooking on the levels of
438 bioactive compounds in purple majesty potato observed via chemical and spectroscopic
439 means. *Food Chemistry*, 173, 462-467.

440

441 Malenberg, A.G. & Theander O. 1985. Determination of chlorogenic acid in potato tubers
442 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 33, 549–551

443 Mattila, J. P. & Hellstrom K. 2007. Phenolic acids in potatoes, vegetables, and some of their
444 products. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 20, 152–160

445 Miller, G. L. 1959. Use of Dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar.
446 *Analytical Chemistry*, 31(3), 426-428.

447 Narita, Y. & Inouye, K. 2011. Inhibitory effects of chlorogenic acids from green coffee beans
448 and cinnamate derivatives on the activity of porcine pancreas α -amylase isozyme I.
449 *Journal of Food Chemistry*. 127, 1532-1539.

450 Narita, Y. & Inouye, K. 2009. Kinetic analysis and mechanism on the inhibition of chlorogenic
451 acid and its components against porcine pancreas alpha-amylase isozymes I and II.
452 Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 57(19), 9218-9225.

453 Navarre, D. A., Pillai, S. S., Shakya, R., & Holden, M.J. 2011. HPLC profiling of phenolics in
454 diverse potato genotypes. Food Chemistry, 127, 34-41.

455 Nigam, P. & Singh, D. 1995. Enzymes and microbial systems involved in starch processing.
456 Enzyme and Microbial Technology, 17(9), 770-778.

457 Reyes, L. F., & Cisneros-Zevallos, L. 2003. Wounding stress increases the phenolic content
458 and antioxidant capacity of purple-flesh potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum L.*).
459 American Journal of Potato Research, 51, 5296-5300.

460 Robyt, J.F. & Whelan, W.J. 1972. Reducing value methods for maltodextrins: chain length
461 dependence of alkaline 3, 5-dinitrosalicylate and chain length independence of alkaline
462 copper. Analytical Biochemistry, 45(2), 510-516.

463 Rohn, S., Rawel, H. M. & Kroll, J. 2002. Inhibitory effects of plant phenols on the activity of
464 selected enzymes. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 50(12), 3566-3571.

465 Shakya, R. & Navarre, D. A. 2006. Rapid screening of ascorbic acid, glycoalkaloids, and
466 phenolics in potato using high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of
467 Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 54(15), 5253-5260.

468 Singleton, V.L. & Rossi J.A. 1965. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-
469 phosphotungstic acid reagents. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 16, 144-
470 158.

471 Shobana, S., Sreerama, Y. N. & Malleshi N. G. 2009. Composition and enzyme inhibitory
472 properties of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana L.*) seed coat phenolics: Mode of
473 inhibition of α -glucosidase and pancreatic amylase. Food Chemistry, 115(4), 1268-
474 1273.

475 Sun, L., Chen, W., Meng, Y., Yang, X., Yuan L. & Guo, Y. 2016. Interactions between
476 polyphenols in thinned young apples and porcine pancreatic α -amylase: Inhibition,
477 detailed kinetics and fluorescence quenching. Food Chemistry, 208, 51–60.

478 Tian, J., Chen, J., Ye, X. & Chen, S. 2016. Health benefits of the potato affected by domestic
479 cooking: A review. Food Chemistry, 202, 165–175.

480 Tierno, R., Hornero-Mendez, D., Gallardo-Guerrero, L., Lopez-Pardo, R. & Ruiz de Galarreta,
481 J.I. 2015. Effect of boiling on the total phenolic, anthocyanin and carotenoid
482 concentrations of potato tubers from selected cultivars and introgressed breeding lines
483 from native potato species. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 41, 58-65.

484 Van der Maarel, M.J.E.C., Van der Veen, B., Uitdehaag, J.C.M., Leemhuis, H. & Dijkhuizen,
485 L. 2002. Properties and applications of starch-converting enzymes of the α -amylase
486 family. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 94(2), 133-135.

487 Weichselbaum, E. 2010. An overview of the role of potatoes in the UK diet. *Nutrition Bulletin*,
488 35, 195-206.

489

490

491

492

493 **Table and figure captions**

494

495 **Table 1.** Kinetic parameters K_m and V_{max} of pancreatic alpha amylase enzyme
 496 with increasing concentrations of caffeoylquinic (5-CQA).

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

[5-CQA] mg mL ⁻¹	k_m mg mL ⁻¹	V_{max} (mg mL ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)
0	1.66	0.35
1	1.69	0.32
1.5	1.85	0.31
2	2.08	0.29

508 **Table 2.** Total phenolic content (TPC), caffeoylquinic (5-CQA) and dry matter (DM) content
 509 in raw and cooked potatoes.

510

Potato varieties	TPC (mg 100 g ⁻¹ DW) *		5-CQA (mg 100 g ⁻¹ DW)		DM (g 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	
	Raw	Cooked	Raw	Cooked	Raw	Cooked
Desiree (R)	445.14±32.89 ^b	543.96±20.68 ^a	10.36±0.16 ^d	17.83±0.40 ^b	21.04±0.10 ^{ac}	20.08±0.11 ^{abc}
Mozart (R)	524.64±27.10 ^a	425.53±17.36 ^b	16.84±0.59 ^b	11.12±0.31 ^c	16.12±0.81 ^b	19.10±1.13 ^a
Rooster (R)	320.59±15.34 ^c	282.03±5.07 ^b	13.07±0.70 ^c	6.51±0.23 ^e	22.12±3.13 ^a	19.52±0.10 ^{abc}
Maris Piper (Y)	375.69±29.06 ^d	349.18±13.58 ^c	29.46±1.19 ^a	21.24±0.42 ^a	20.67±0.10 ^{ac}	21.47±0.61 ^b
Maris Peer (Y)	528.94±15.72 ^a	343.83±11.57 ^c	11.12±1.86 ^{cd}	7.40±0.35 ^d	18.90±0.47 ^{acd}	19.66±0.22 ^{ac}

511

512

513

514

515

R= red skin, Y= yellow skin; DW = dry weight; FW = fresh weight

*Chlorogenic acid equivalent

Values are means of four determinations±SD

Mean value within a column with different subscript letter ^{a,b,c,d,e} indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, P ≤ 0.05).

516


517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527

Table 3. Composition of peeled potatoes in terms of carbohydrate content (total starch (TS) and free sugars), and area under curve (AUC) of hydrolysed starch in cooked tubers. AUC_{PPAA} is AUC for starch hydrolysed by porcine pancreatic alpha amylase (PPAA) alone, while AUC_{PPAA+AMG} is for starch hydrolysed by PPAA followed by amyloglucosidase (AMG).


Potato varieties	TS (g 100 g ⁻¹ FW) ^a	Free sugars (g 100 g ⁻¹ FW) ^{**}	AUC _{PPAA} g maltose. min ^{***}	AUC _{PPAA+AMG} g glucose. min ^{***}
Desiree	13.4±1.44 ^b	2.64±0.06 ^a	517.35±12.82 ^b	752.59±29.19 ^c
Mozart	17.48±1.22 ^a	1.06±0.02 ^b	484.05±5.21 ^c	970.41±38.82 ^b
Rooster	13.61±0.80 ^b	1.15±0.01 ^b	599.25±1.31 ^a	1046.43±48.58 ^a
Maris Piper	16.97±3.62 ^{ab}	0.98±0.06 ^b	507.00±11.51 ^b	648.22±22 ^d
Maris Peer	15.76±2.44 ^b	1.09±0.02 ^b	486.27±5.30 ^c	1044.82±41.32 ^a

FW=Fresh weight
 *(n=9), **(n=3), ***(n=4)
 Mean value within a column with different subscript letter ^{a,b,c,d} indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, P ≤ 0.05).


528
529



530




531



532
533
534
535

Figure 1. Effect of 5-caffeoylquinic acid (5-CQA) on the activity of porcine pancreatic alpha amylase (PPAA) activity using 1% potato starch as substrate. A) Effect of pre-incubation and co-incubation of 5-CQA (1.5 mg mL⁻¹) on the digestion of 1% starch by PPAA (0.33 unit mL⁻¹)

538 ¹⁾ at 5 and 20 minutes incubation. E = enzyme, S = substrate and I = inhibitor. B) Effect of
539 increasing concentration of 5-CQA on inhibition of PPAA relative to control (no inhibitor) at
540 5 min incubation. Each bar represents mean of four measurements \pm standard deviation. Bars
541 with different letters have significant difference at $p < 0.05$. C) Lineweaver-Burk plot for porcine
542 pancreatic alpha amylase (PPAA) catalysed hydrolysis of increasing concentration of potato
543 starch in the presence of 5-caffeoylquinic acid (5-CQA; 0, 1, 1.5 and 2 mg mL⁻¹). Each point
544 represents mean of four measurements \pm standard deviation.




545
 546 **Figure 2.** Starch hydrolysis curves of steam cooked potato samples digested with 0.66 units of
 547 porcine pancreatic alpha amylase (PPAA) followed by 180 units of amyloglucosidase (AMG).

548 A) Désirée B) Mozart. C) Rooster. D) Maris Piper. E) Maris Peer. Data expressed as amount
549 of glucose released and as % of total starch (TS) at each time point as measured by DNS (n=9).

550 Error bars represent standard deviation of mean.

551

552



553

554

555 **Figure 3.** Principle component analysis (PCA) using composition and digestibility data from
556 five potato varieties. Graph shows loading of potato components; total starch (TS), dry matter
557 (DM), total polyphenol content (TPC), 5-caffeoylquinic acid (5-CQA) content and percentage
558 total starch hydrolysed (%TSH) and scores of potato varieties according to PCA 1 and 2.

559


560

561 **Supplementary material**

562
563 **Figure 1.**

564 HPLC-DAD chromatogram of A) standard compounds, B) raw potato sample (Desiree) and
565 C) cooked potato sample (Desiree). Peaks were detected at wavelength 330 nm. Peaks; (1) 5-
566 caffeoylquinic (5-CQA) (2) sinapic acid (external standard).


567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582



583 **Supplementary material**

584 **Figure 2.**

585 HPAEC-PAD chromatogram of total sugars present after digestion of a potato sample (Maris
586 Piper) for 120 min. Peaks; (1) fucose (external standard), (2) glucose, 3) fructose, 4) sucrose,
587 5) maltose, 6) maltotriose. Glucose, fructose and sucrose were already present at time 0 (not
588 shown).



589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600