Giant peroxisomes in a moss (*Physcomitrella patens*)
peroxisomal biogenesis factor 11 mutant
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**Summary**

- Peroxisomal biogenesis factor 11 (PEX11) proteins are found in yeasts, mammals and plants, and play a role in peroxisome morphology and regulation of peroxisome division. The moss *Physcomitrella patens* has six PEX11 isoforms which fall into two subfamilies, similar to those found in monocots and dicots.
- We carried out targeted gene disruption of the *Phypa_PEX11-1* gene and compared the morphological and cellular phenotypes of the wild-type and mutant strains.
- The mutant grew more slowly and the development of gametophores was retarded. Mutant chloronemal filaments contained large cellular structures which excluded all other cellular organelles. Expression of fluorescent reporter proteins revealed that the mutant strain had greatly enlarged peroxisomes up to 10 µm in diameter. Expression of a vacuolar membrane marker confirmed that the enlarged structures were not vacuoles, or peroxisomes sequestered within vacuoles as a result of pexophagy. *Phypa_PEX11* targeted to peroxisome membranes could rescue the knock out phenotype and interacted with Fission1 on the peroxisome membrane.
- Moss PEX11 functions in peroxisome division similar to PEX11 in other organisms but the mutant phenotype is more extreme and environmentally determined, making *P. patens* a powerful system in which to address mechanisms of peroxisome proliferation and division.

**Introduction**

Peroxisomes are organelles found in all eukaryotic cells from unicellular eukaryotes such as algae and baker’s yeast to complex multicellular organisms such as humans and flowering plants. Peroxisomes can be derived from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) but can grow by post-translational import of membrane and matrix proteins, divide and segregate into daughter cells (Fagarasu et al., 2007). Peroxisomes are also capable of proliferation under appropriate environmental conditions. In fungi, peroxisomes are induced to proliferate by nutritional cues, for example methanol in the case of methylotrophic fungi such as *Hansenula polymorpha* (van der Klei et al., 2006) or oleate in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Gurvitz & Rottensteiner, 2006), and peroxisomes play essential roles in metabolism of these substrates. Peroxisomes are important sites of cellular defence against oxidative stress and several studies have reported peroxisome proliferation in response to stress conditions such as high light (Ferreira et al., 1989; Desai & Hu, 2008), salt (Palma et al., 1987; Mitsuya et al., 2010), heavy metals (Palma et al., 1987) and hydrogen peroxide (Lopez-Huertas et al., 2000). Division and proliferation share common steps. Morphologically, peroxisomes first tubulate or elongate, followed by constriction, often giving a ‘beads-on-a string’ appearance, and finally divide (Thoms & Erdmann, 2005; Kaur & Hu, 2009).

In recent years, much has been learned about the molecular machinery of peroxisome proliferation and division (Schrader et al., 2012). The first identified component was *S. cerevisiae* peroxisomal biogenesis factor 11 (PEX11). Mutants disrupted in the *PEX11* gene have greatly enlarged peroxisomes, while cells overexpressing *PEX11* have large numbers of small peroxisomes (Erdmann & Blobel, 1995; Marshall et al., 1995). ScPEX11 is a peroxisome membrane protein the expression of which is strongly induced by oleate (Gurvitz et al., 2001), and the homologous protein from the fungus *Penicillium chrysogenum* has recently been shown to induce membrane curvature and tubulation of lipid vesicles *in vitro* (Opalinski et al., 2011). Fungal PEX11 has homologues in mammals and plants. In mammals there are three isoforms termed PEX11α, β and γ (Schrader et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002a). Pex11β is thought to have a role in peroxisome proliferation in response to external stimuli such as hypolipidaemic drugs and peroxisome proliferators, whereas Pex11β plays a role in constitutive proliferation/division (Schrader et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002b). The N-terminal 40 amino acids of Pex11β, which include the second amphipathic helix, are also important for membrane tubulation *in vivo* (Bonekamp et al., 2013).
Recently, the first patient with a mutation in Pex11β was described, who had enlarged and elongated peroxisomes and clinical symptoms similar to those of other patients with mild peroxisome disorders (Ebberink et al., 2012).

Plants have a still larger PEX11 family, with five genes in Arabidopsis (Lingard & Trelease, 2006) and rice (Oryza sativum; Nayidu et al., 2008) which fall into two clades, one containing Arabidopsis isoforms c, d and e and rice isoforms 1 and 2 and the other containing Arabidopsis isoforms a and b and rice isoforms 3, 4 and 5 (Orth et al., 2007). Phylogenetic analysis indicates that PEX11 genes have a monophyletic origin and have evolved independently in the different kingdoms (Orth et al., 2007) (Chang et al., 2015). Evidence for (some) conservation of function comes from the ability of one Arabidopsis isoform (PEX11a) to partially complement the S. cerevisiae pex11 mutant (Orth et al., 2007) and from the finding that human, yeast and plant PEX11 proteins have similar effects on peroxisome proliferation when expressed ectopically in human cells (Koch et al., 2010). Arabidopsis PEX11 b–e are proposed to span the membrane twice with both termini in the cytosol (Lingard & Trelease, 2006), and a similar topology was reported for mammalian Pex11β (Bonekamp et al., 2013).

Peroxisome division is achieved by a machinery that has shared components with mitochondria (Table 1) (Mano et al., 2004; Schrader, 2006; Zhang & Hu, 2008, 2009), and in plants with chloroplasts (Zhang & Hu, 2010). In current models, tail-anchored proteins of the Fission1 (Fis1) family are targeted to chloroplasts (Zhang & Hu, 2010). In current models, tail-anchored proteins of the Fission1 (Fis1) family are targeted to chloroplasts (Zhang & Hu, 2010). In Arabidopsis, Fis1b–e are proposed to span the membrane twice with both termini in the cytosol (Lingard & Trelease, 2006), and a similar topology was reported for mammalian Pex11β (Bonekamp et al., 2013).

Peroxisome division components in humans, yeast, Arabidopsis and moss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Homo sapiens</th>
<th>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</th>
<th>Arabidopsis thaliana</th>
<th>Physcomitrella patens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peroxisome tubulation</td>
<td>PEX11α</td>
<td>PEX11</td>
<td>PEX11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEX11β</td>
<td>PEX25</td>
<td>PEX11b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEX11γ</td>
<td>PEX27</td>
<td>Phypa_428462*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRP tether</td>
<td>hFIS1</td>
<td>Fis1p</td>
<td>FIS1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mff?</td>
<td></td>
<td>FIS1B?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble adaptors</td>
<td>Caf4p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mdv1p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamin-like/related proteins (fission)</td>
<td>DRP1</td>
<td>Dmn1p</td>
<td>DRP3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vps1p</td>
<td>DRP3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*V1.6 gene models are incorrect.
the glyoxysome type (Huang et al., 2009) and the distribution and quantification of peroxisomes have been reported (Furt et al., 2012), no further cellular or molecular characterization of moss peroxisomes has been described. Here, we describe the PEX11 family in *P. patens* and the phenotype of a knockout mutant in one member of the gene family which results in the formation of giant peroxisomes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Identification of *Phypha_PEX11* genes**

Database searching with the Arabidopsis *PEX11e* gene identified the cDNA clone PPN181002 (Accession BF436924) as a potential *Physcomitrella PEX11* orthologue. Within the *P. patens* genome assembly, version 1.1, this corresponds to Protein ID Physpa1_1:200510. Additional *P. patens* gene models encoding *PEX11* homologues were identified by BLAST search using Physpa1_1:200510 and the Arabidopsis PEX11 polypeptide sequences. One further gene model was identified with high similarity to Physpa1_1:200510 and the AtPEX11c and AtPEX11d sequences, and four further models with similarity to the AtPEX11a and AtPEX11b sequences (Table 1; Supporting Information Table S1).

**Plant material**

The ‘Gransden’ strain of *Physcomitrella patens* (Hedw.) B.S.G. was propagated as a protonemal culture on BCD agar medium containing 1 mM CaCl₂ and 5 mM ammonium tartrate (BCDAT), overlaid with cellophane, and as individual plants (‘spot inocula’) on the same medium without cellophane overlay. Protonemal tissue was vegetatively propagated by homogenization and subcultured every 7 d (Knight et al., 2002). For growth testing, small protonemal explants were inoculated onto BCD or BCDAT agar and plant growth was monitored during a 31-d period following inoculation. The extent of plant growth was estimated following digital photography of the plates (Kamisugi et al., 2004) was used to convert the digital images to binary format and determine the colony area based on counting the number of pixels corresponding to each colony. Colony area determinations based on different photographs were normalized for each colony using the estimated area of the plate.

**RNA isolation and cDNA sequence analysis**

A polysomal fraction was isolated from 7-d-old chloronemal tissue. Chloronemata were harvested from cellophane overlays, residual liquid was squeezed out between two sheets of filter paper and c. 0.3 g was ground to a powder then homogenized in 25 ml of 200 mM sucrose, 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.5, 60 mM KCl, 30 mM MgCl₂ and 1% (v/v) Triton X-100. Following centrifugation at 25 000 g for 20 min, the supernatant was layered over a 5-ml sucrose cushion (1 M sucrose, 40 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.5, 20 mM KCl and 10 mM MgCl₂) and centrifuged at 141 000 g for 3 h. The supernatant was aspirated and the pellet drained, for RNA extraction with 0.5 ml of extraction buffer (Knight et al., 2002). For rt-PCR, RNA (c. 100 μg) was digested with 1 unit of RQ1 DNase (Promega) for 10 min at room temperature and purified by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Complementary DNA was synthesized from 1 μg of RNA using the Promega Reverse Transcription System. The reaction mixture was diluted 5-fold with water, and 2-μl aliquots were used for PCR amplification. Primers used are listed in Table S2. The PCR products were cloned into pBluescript II KS⁻ and sequenced using T7/T3 universal primers.

**Plasmid constructions**

**Construction of the knock out vector pJHB1**

The cDNA clone PPN181002 was used to identify a BAC clone (pMOKM102M14) by screening a *Physcomitrella* BAC library. A 4.7-kb EcoRI fragment containing the 3′-terminal region of the *Phypha_PEX11* gene (containing the last five exons in the coding sequence) was subcloned and a 3.65-kb fragment amplified by PCR using primers P22 and P21 (Fig. 1; Table S2) and subcloned into pDONR 201 to make the plasmid pJOB1. This plasmid was digested with *SalI* and *SphI* and re-ligated with the *SphI*/*XhoI* excised *nptII* cassette (p35S-nptII-CaMV gòster) from the plasmid pMBl5 (Knight et al., 2002; Accession DQ228130) replacing the three C-terminal protein-coding exons. The resulting plasmid was recombined with pMBL6attR (Kamisugi et al., 2005) (Accession DQ228132), to generate the gene disruption construct pJHB1 (Fig. S1a). A markerless *PEX11* deletion construct was created by digesting pJHB1 with *NcoI* and *Bsp120I* to remove the selection cassette and re-ligating the plasmid.

**Construction of GFP and RFP reporters**

GFP and RFP reporter constructs targeted to peroxisomes by C-terminal addition of the peroxisome targeting signal 1 tripeptide ‘SKL’ (GFP) and CFP (Sparkes et al., 2005)) or ‘SRL’ (RFP) were used to visualize gene expression in regenerated moss protonemata, for stable (RFP), unstable (GFP) and transient (CFP) expression. The plasmid pAmRFP-SRL-H108 was created by inserting a rice *actin1* promoter from pAct-p and the mRFP-SRL-35Ster fragments from p35S-mRFP-SRL (Pracharoenwattana et al., 2005) into the Acc65I site of p35S::mRFP-SRL (Pracharoenwattana et al., 2005) (Accession DQ228130), replacing the three C-terminal protein-coding exons. The resulting plasmid was recombined with pAct-p and the mRFP-SRL-35Ster fragments from p35S::mRFP-SRL (Pracharoenwattana et al., 2005) into the Acc65I site of pMBlH108 (Fig. S1b) to allow targeting of the reporter gene to the neutral ‘λ108’ locus (Schafer & Zryd, 1997). To generate *PEXI1* overexpression lines, cDNA of *PhyphaPEX11-1* was amplified with a pair of gene-specific GATEWAY primers (Table S2) and cloned into pDONR 201 to create the entry vector pcPex11GW. The *PEXI1* fragment was subsequently recombined into a *λ108*-targeting GFP-fusion destination vector, pS65T-GW-H108, to create the overexpression reporter plasmid, pS65T-Pex11GW (Fig. S1c).

**Moss transformations and molecular characterization**

**Gene disruption lines**

Moss disruption lines for *Phypha_PEX11-1* were generated by gene targeting, using a PCR-amplified sequence from pJHB1. Primers Phypha_PEX11KOF and...
Phypha_PEX11KOR (Table S2; primers ‘p2’ and ‘p3’ in Fig. 1a) amplified a fragment of 3390 bp, comprising the p35S-nptII-CaMV g6ter cassette flanked by 505 bp of the Phypha_PEX11 gene immediately 5′ to the SalI site and 880 bp immediately 3′ to the SphI site. Protoplasts were transformed using 15 μg of PCR fragment, and stable transformants were regenerated by three successive cycles of subculture on selective (containing G418 at 30 μg ml⁻¹ (Melford Laboratories, Suffolk, UK) and nonselective media as described previously (Knight et al., 2002; Kamisugi et al., 2005). Stable transformants were analysed by PCR to identify lines containing single-copy targeted gene replacements, using external gene-specific primers in conjunction with selection-cassette-specific primers as described by (Kamisugi et al., 2006) to identify targeting at the 5′ (primer pair p22 and p5 in Fig. 1a,b) and 3′ ends (primer pair p4 and p6 in Fig. 1a,b), and the external primers (p22 and p4) to distinguish between transformants in which the Phypha_PEX11-1 gene was disrupted by a single or multiple copies of the replacement cassette. Southern blotting was undertaken to identify plants in which no transforming DNA had adventitiously inserted at ectopic sites (Fig. 1c). Eight transgenic lines containing a targeted gene replacement, with no adventitious transgene copies, were obtained.

Transgenic reporter lines Protoplasts were transformed with reporter constructs and transformants were regenerated, selected and maintained on medium containing 50 μg ml⁻¹ hygromycin, as described above. To generate Phypha_pex11-1-KO mutants in a transgenic moss strain (G418ʰ) expressing a GFP-AtVam3 (Arabidopsis homologue of S. cerevisiae VAM3) fusion protein (Oda et al., 2009), this strain was transformed with a DNA fragment produced by PCR (using primers p2 and p3) from a derivative of pJHB1 from which the antibiotic selection cassette had been deleted. This PEX11-1 markerless fragment was co-delivered to the GFP-AtVam3 line with pAmRFP-SRL-H108 by protoplast-PEG mediated transformation. The transformants were selected for hygromycin resistance to identify mRFP-transformed plants and then by inspection for the pex11-1 knockout phenotype described in the Results. Correctly targeted...
deletion of the PEX11-1 gene in phenotypically identified mutants was confirmed by PCR using the external gene-specific primers p22 and p4. To generate pex11-GFP overexpression lines, 15 μg of pS65T-Pex11GW linearized with SvaI was used to transform protoplasts as described above.

**Transient expression by microprojectile bombardment** For bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) experiments, cDNA of *Phypha_PEX11-1* and *PhyphaFis1a/b* was amplified by PCR (primers listed in Table S2) and cloned into plasmids containing the N- and C-terminal halves of YFP (pYFPn-GS and pYFPC-GS, respectively) to create pYFPn-PEX11-1 (Fig. S1d) and pYFPC-Fis1a/b (Fig. S1c). Equal quantities of each pair of YFP constructs and a transformation marker construct (pCFP-SKL) were mixed and delivered to both wild-type and mutant protonemal tissue by microprojectile marker construct (pCFP-SKL) were mixed and delivered to both wild-type and mutant protonemal tissue by microprojectile bombardment, using a PDS1000 Biolistic system (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Plasmid DNA (0.7–1 μg per shot) was bound to tungsten microprojectiles (M17; Bio-Rad) (Sanford et al., 1993) for delivery using a 900-psi rupture disc and a distance of 6 cm from the stopping screen. Following bombardment, plant tissue was incubated for 24–48 h at 25°C, before microscopic examination.

**Antibodies and western blotting** A polyclonal antiserum was raised in rabbit to the peptide VLYLNKAEARDKICRAIQYGSKFLSC corresponding to amino acids 576–589 of Arabidopsis PEX11e and affinity purified (Mitsuya et al., 2010). This sequence is specific to the PEX11c/d/e/clade (Figs S2, S3). Moss filaments were scraped from the cellophane-overlaid agar plates after squeezing out residual liquid, and ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen in a mortar and pestle. Homogenization buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH8.2, 2 mM EDTA, 20% w/v glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 2% protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and 0.5 mM DTT) was added at a ratio of 1 ml g⁻¹ fresh weight of sample and ground to a fine slurry. The homogenate was centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min at 4°C and the supernatant was centrifuged at 164 000 g for 30 min at 6°C. The supernatant was removed and the pellet (crude membranes) was resuspended in 30 μl of homogenization buffer plus 5 μl of 10% w/v SDS. The protein concentration was measured using the BCA assay (Pierce, https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/23225). Western blotting was as described previously (Mitsuya et al., 2010).

**Fluorescence microscopy** Microscopic imaging of moss cells was undertaken using a Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscope with Nomarski optics and an HXP120C light source for fluorescence imaging. Zeiss filter cubes with Semrock narrow-band pass filters were used for CFP (CFP-2432C), YFP (46 HE) GFP (38 HE) and RFP (TRITC-B) detection. Images were captured with an Axiocam MRM camera and processed using AQUATION software. An LSM510 META confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd, Hertfordshire, UK) with ×40 Plan Neofluar (oil-immersion; NA = 1.3) objective was used for confocal imaging. Argon laser excitation (wavelength: 488 nm) was used to excite GFP-SKL. The fluorescent signal was detected through a 500–550-nm band pass filter using the single track function (Sparkes et al., 2005). For co-expression imaging of GFP and RFP, argon (488 nm) and neon (543 nm) lasers, respectively, were used for excitation with alternate line switching of multitrack function. The fluorescent signal was detected through a 500–530-nm band pass filter for GFP and a 565–615-nm band-pass filter for RFP following the 545-nm dichroic beam splitter.

**Results**

The *Physcomitrella patens* PEX11 gene family

A search of the ‘version 1’ *P. patens* genome assembly identified six potential *Phypha_PEX11* gene sequences, which we designated *Phypha_PEX11-1* to *Phypha_PEX11-6*. The two sequences most similar to the *AtPEX11cde* clade comprised *Phypha_PEX11-1* and *Phypha_PEX11-2*, and the four most similar to the *ab* clade were designated *Phypha_PEX11-3*, 4, 5 and 6, respectively (Table 1). Close inspection of V1.1 ‘filtered models’ suggested that the predicted polypeptide sequences of these were incorrect, but that other models were well supported by cDNA evidence. Subsequent versions of the genome assembly and annotations have corrected these models. A summary of gene identifiers in successive genome annotations is provided in Table S1, while Table 1 includes the gene and protein IDs according to the current V1.6 annotation. The model for *Phypha_PEX11-2* lacked cDNA support, but sequence similarity between *Pp_PEX11-1* and *Phypha_PEX11-2* suggested that the exon 2/intron 3 splice junction was mis-specified in the latter, and that the C-terminal sequence was incorrect because of the mis-specification of exon 6, and a failure to identify a seventh exon. Of the four polypeptides similar to *AtPEX11a* and *AtPEX11b* (*Phypha1_1:80254/Pp1s84_2898V6, Phypha1_1:62335/Pp1s16_338V6, Phypha1_1:63102/Pp1s159_21V6* and *Phypha1_1:118714/Pp1s25_244V6*), the first three were supported by cDNA sequences. The fourth lacked experimental support (Table 1).

In order to resolve the two unsupported models, rt-PCR was conducted using primers specific for the hypothetical 5' and 3' untranslated region (UTR) sequences of *Phypha_PEX11-2* and *Phypha_PEX11-6* to amplify the corresponding sequences from mRNA obtained from a polycisternal fraction (in order to avoid the amplification of possible splicing intermediates). In each case a transcript was amplified, and sequence analysis of these enabled the correct structure of the two genes to be confirmed. For the *Phypha_PEX11-2* gene, splicing of intron 3 makes use of a 5'–GC splice junction. While noncanonical, such splice junctions are not unusual, and have been observed for other *P. patens* genes. The sequence analysis additionally confirmed the exon 6–intron 6–exon 7 structure predicted by sequence homology. This sequence was deposited in GenBank as accession JQ026023, and the model has only recently been correctly assigned in the most recent annotation of the *P. patens*
genome (as Pp3c18_11990V1 in the ‘version 3.1’ genome assembly prerelease (https://www.cosmoss.org/fgb2/gbrowse/V3.1/)). For Phypa_PEX11-6, cDNA sequencing confirmed the accuracy of the models in the two V3 assemblies. We constructed a multiple sequence alignment of PEX11 sequences identified in a range of plant genomes. All show extensive homology throughout the conserved PEX11 domain (pfam05648) (Figs S2, S3) especially in the N-terminal third of the protein which includes the amphipathic helix implicated in membrane tubulation (Fig. S4; Opalinski et al., 2011).

Phypa_PEX11-1 knockout mutants show developmental delay

The relative abundance of expressed sequence tags within the P. patens sequence database indicates the most highly expressed member of the gene family to be the PEX11cde member that we designated Phypa_PEX11-1. Additionally, results of a digital gene expression (RNA-seq) analysis of the chloronemal transcriptome indicate this gene to be expressed at a level c. 4000-fold higher than Phypa_PEX11-2 and between 11 and 500 times higher than the Phypa_PEX11ab-class transcripts (Table S3; Whitaker et al., 2010). The Phypa_PEX11-1 gene was disrupted by the targeted replacement of its last three exons by an nptII selection cassette (Fig. 1a). Lines containing single-copy disruption alleles were identified by PCR amplification of the targeted locus (Fig. 1b), and the absence of adventitious genomic incorporation of the targeting construct was verified by Southern blot hybridization (Fig 1c). Eight genetically identical ‘clean’ disruption lines were identified. Western blot analysis with an antibody specific for the PEX11cde clade of two lines confirmed as containing a disrupted Phypa_PEX11-1 gene demonstrated the lack of accumulation of a PEX11 polypeptide in these knockout lines: a third line identified as retaining a wild-type copy, and derived from a targeted insertion event (Kamisugi et al., 2006) rather than a targeted replacement, showed normal accumulation of PEX11 (Fig. 1d).

Subsequent characterization was performed on line 3–18 (Fig. 1c). As P. patens performs high-efficiency homologous recombination, all lines shown by PCR and Southern blot to be correctly targeted and to contain only a single copy of the transgene are genetically identical: this line is representative of all such lines.

The growth parameters of the Phypa_PEX11-1 mutant strains were compared with those of the wild-type. The growth of mutant plants was significantly slower than that of the wild-type strain, as determined by growth rate measurements.

---

**Fig. 2** Growth of wild-type (WT) and pex11-1 mutants. (a) Appearance of wild-type (upper 7) Physcomitrella patens plants and Phypa_pex11-1-KO (lower 7) plants grown on BCD agar medium for 31 d following inoculation with protonemal explants. A dark-green central zone (principally chloronemata) is surrounded by a pale-green diffuse network of caulonemal filaments, from which gametophores can be seen developing in profusion in the wild-type strain. Gametophore differentiation is comparatively retarded in the pex11KO mutant. (b) Appearance of wild-type (upper 12) plants and Phypa_pex11-1-KO (lower 12) plants grown on BCD containing 1 mM CaCl₂ and 5 mM ammonium tartrate (BCDAT) for 31 d following inoculation with protonemal explants. Supplementation with ammonium tartrate favours chloronemal development. While the wild-type plants have developed a large number of gametophores, the mutant plants remain entirely protonemal in character on BCDAT medium. (c) Growth rate of wild-type (solid line) and mutant (dashed line) plants on BCD agar medium. Growth is measured by determining the surface area of each plant (mean ± SD). (d) Growth rate of wild-type (solid line) and mutant (dashed line) plants on BCDAT agar medium. Growth is measured by determining the surface area of each plant (mean ± SD) (arbitrary units).
Additionally, the developmental transition from a primary filamentous stage (‘juvenile’) to the development of gametophores (‘adult’) was retarded in the mutant lines (Fig. 2). We ascribe these differences to reduced cellular elongation, and a retarded transition between chloronemal and caulonemal development in the pex11-1 mutant. First, the size of plants developing from small tissue explants on agar medium (‘spot inocula’) was significantly reduced both on medium containing nitrate as the sole nitrogen source (Fig. 2a,c) and on medium supplemented with ammonium tartrate, which favours chloronemal growth over caulonemal growth (Fig. 2b,d). The reduced differentiation of gametophores is particularly apparent in the mutant plants growing on nitrate medium (Fig. 2a). The parameters of cell elongation were determined by preparing homogenates of the wild-type and mutant plants, in order to initiate tissue regeneration from small fragments of chloronemal tissue dispersed on cellophane-overlaid agar medium. The terminal intact cell in each such fragment becomes re-programmed as an apical stem cell. This cell undergoes repeated mitosis and cell elongation, to extend the length of the filament. Although the subapical cell will undergo mitosis to generate side-branches, it does not elongate further. Thus, the lengths of the subapical cells provide a measure of the extent of cell elongation in each filament. When the lengths of the subapical cells of multicellular filaments were measured over a period of 1 wk following fragmentation, it was clear that the subapical cell length was significantly greater in the wild-type filaments (Fig. 3). Additionally, caulonemal development could be identified in regenerating wild-type tissue fragments at an earlier time than in the mutant (Fig. 3a). We also sometimes observed a rather swollen cell morphology in the regenerating mutants (Fig. 3b). When gametophores eventually developed, their appearance was phenotypically indistinguishable from that of gametophores borne by the wild-type strain. Sporophyte development also appeared normal, and the spores produced were viable and germinated at a rate comparable to that of wild-type spores (data not shown).

### Enlarged peroxisomes in the Phypa_pex11-1-KO mutant

Inspection of protonemal filaments in the knockout lines revealed unusual structures. The cellular contents were distorted by the presence of very large, apparently empty globular structures (Fig. 4b,d) which were not present in the wild-type (Fig. 4a,c). Mutation of the AtPEX11 genes results in aberrant peroxisome division, and the formation of larger peroxisomes (Lingard & Trelease, 2006; Orth et al., 2007), but these do not approach the size of those we observed in *P. patens*. To determine whether these unusual structures in the moss mutants might correspond to massively enlarged peroxisomes or were simply vacuolar compartments, we investigated the localization of vacuolar and peroxisomal reporters. First, transient expression of a GFP reporter containing a peroxisomal targeting sequence (GFP-SKL) in transgenic plants with either a wild-type or a Phypa_pex11-1 KO background supported this observation, with the mutant strain accumulating fewer, larger GFP-labelled organelles (Fig. 4e,f). Next, in order to distinguish between peroxisomal membranes and vacuolar membranes, we additionally generated Phypa_pex11-KO lines in a transgenic strain of *P. patens* containing an AtVAM3-GFP reporter, previously shown to reveal tonoplast membranes in protonemata (Oda et al., 2009). These lines were generated using a markerless Phypa_PEX11-1 deletion construct co-transformed with a plasmid containing a hygromycin resistance gene and an mRFP-SRL reporter construct, so that the peroxisomes could be distinguished from the vacuoles. Peroxisomes and vacuoles were clearly distinguished in the wild type (Fig. 5a–d). Phypa_pex11-1A lines were identified by their characteristic phenotype and those strains retaining a copy of the mRFP-SRL reporter gene were used for analysis. In these transformants, the globular bodies containing the mRFP-SRL reporter protein were clearly distinct from normal vacuoles.

**Fig. 3** Reduced growth of the mutant is attributable to reduced cell elongation. (a) Regenerating protonemata of wild-type *Physcomitrella patens* 4 d following fragmentation. The subapical cells measured in the determination of cell elongation in each filament are indicated by the arrowed lines. While the branch to the left is chloronemal in nature, the main filament has already commenced differentiation into a caulonema, as evidenced by the oblique cross-wall between the apical and subapical cells (arrowhead), the more sharply pointed apical dome, and the reduced number of chloroplasts. (b) Regenerating protonemata of the pex11 mutant, 4 d following fragmentation. The subapical cells measured in the determination of cell growth are indicated by the arrowed lines. Large intracellular globular structures are evident in these cells (arrowheads). (c) Subapical cell lengths of regenerating protonemata measured at 2–7 d following fragmentation. Between 25 and 35 cells were measured at each time-point. Average subapical cell length (arbitrary units) were measured in the wild type varies from 240% greater (2 d) to 128% greater (7 d) than in the pex11 mutant. Error bars represent ± SD (n = 12–24).
accumulated the peroxisomal mRFP marker and distorted the GFP-labelled tonoplast membrane (Fig. 5e,f,i). This phenotype was not confined to the protonemal stage of development. Giant peroxisomes accumulated also in the cells of the gametophore leaves of the mutant compared with the wild type (Fig. 5g–i).

Phypa_PEX11-1 accumulates in the peroxisomal membrane

PEX11 is a peroxisomal membrane protein that coordinates the assembly and division of the peroxisomes through interactions with members of the Fis1 family to recruit dynamin to mediate
the fission of peroxisomal tubules into smaller bodies. Transient expression of GFP-PEX11-1 in cells of the Physcomitrella patens Phypa_pex11-1-KO mutant line complemented the mutant phenotype and reduced the size of the peroxisomes in the transformed cells (Figs 6, S5). Variability inherent in the microprojectile bombardment procedure generates cells expressing the transgene to different extents. The localization of the GFP-tagged PEX11-1 to the peroxisomal membrane could be observed through the identification of 'doughnut'-like structures (Fig. 6a) in some cells, while in many cells, transient over-expression of the PEX11 fusion protein.

**Fig. 5** The giant organelles are peroxisomes not vacuoles. (a–d) Confocal images of vacuoles and peroxisomes in wild-type protonemata of Physcomitrella patens transformed with the vacuolar reporter Arabidopsis homologue of S. cerevisiae VAM3 (AtVAM3)-GFP and the peroxisome marker mRFP-SRL. (a) Bright-field image; (b) GFP fluorescence; (c) RFP fluorescence; (d) merged image. (e, f) Confocal images of vacuoles and peroxisomes in protonemata of the Phypa_pex11-1-KO mutant. (e) AtVAM-GFP decorates the vacuolar membrane; (f) merged image for AtVAM-GFP and mRFP-SRL shows the peroxisomal marker filling large structures that distort the vacuolar membrane around them. (g, h) Epifluorescence microscopic images of vacuoles and peroxisomes in gametophore tissue of wild type (g) and pex11 mutant (h) transformed with the vacuolar reporter AtVAM3-GFP and the peroxisome marker mRFP-SRL: merged fluorescent and bright-field images. (i) Confocal z stack image showing giant peroxisomes (red) and vacuolar membrane (green) in gametophore cells of the Phypa_pex11-1-KO mutant transformed with the vacuolar reporter AtVAM3-GFP and the peroxisome marker mRFP-SRL: merged GFP and RFP images.
resulted in a characteristic PEX11 overexpression phenotype, with extensively tubulated structures accumulating (Fig 6b–d). Co-transformation of *P. patens* protoplasts with GFP-PEX11 and mRFP-SRL confirmed faithful targeting of GFP-PEX11 to peroxisome membranes (Fig. S5).

We were also able to demonstrate an interaction between Phypa_PEX11-1 and the fission factors Phypa_Fis1A and Phypa_Fis1B in vivo, by BiFC. A stably transformed Phypa_pex11-1-KO line expressing a CFP-SKL fusion as a peroxisome marker was transiently transformed by particle co-bombardment, with constructs expressing the N-terminal sequence of YFP (YFP<sub>N</sub>) and the C-terminal sequence of YFP (YFP<sub>C</sub>) fused with Phypa_PEX11-1 and Phypa_Fis1A and Phypa_Fis1B in various combinations. Fig. 7(a–c) illustrates how co-transformation with a Phypa_PEX11-1-YFP<sub>N</sub> construct and a Phypa_Fis1A-YFP<sub>C</sub> construct enabled the visualization of YFP fluorescence decorating the perimeters of the CFP-loaded peroxisomes. By contrast, in control experiments (in which the YFP<sub>N</sub> sequence was not fused to the Phypa_PEX11-1 sequence), no YFP co-localization with peroxisomes was observed, although nonspecific aggregates occasionally occurred (Fig. 7d). When Phypa_PEX11-1-YFP<sub>N</sub> was expressed with unfused YFP<sub>C</sub>, no fluorescence was seen (Fig. 7e). Similar results were obtained for interactions between Phypa_PEX11-1 and Phypa_Fis1B (Figs 6, S6).

Formation of giant peroxisomes appears growth-related

During the course of our investigation, we made an interesting observation. Routine maintenance of *P. patens* strains involves incubation at low temperature (7°C) and low light (2 h of illumination every 24 h) for the medium- to long-term storage of cultures. We noticed that, after prolonged storage under these conditions, protonemal homogenate cultures of *Phytophthora_pec11-1-KO* mutant strains no longer contained giant organelles within their cells. However, upon subculture and growth under standard conditions (25°C; continuous illumination), the giant organelle phenotype was rapidly re-established. This could be clearly seen when protonemal tissue recovered from long-term low-temperature storage was homogenized and propagated on cellophane overlays. Using the transgenic strain expressing the AtVAM3 and GFP-SKL markers, we were able to observe a steady increase in the size of the peroxisomes within protonemata during the first few days of subculture (Fig. 8). The increase in peroxisome size occurred in newly formed cells. Observations of cells along the length of each filament showed that the oldest cells (at the base of each filament, and probably corresponding to cells that had been maintained in storage at low temperature) contained relatively small peroxisomes, whereas the younger cells (the apical and subapical cells in the 4-d-old tissue shown in Fig. 8c,d) would have been generated by successive mitosis of the apical cell of each filament following transfer to standard growth conditions, and these contained significantly larger peroxisomes. The filament in Fig. 8(d) contained three new cells, with the apical cell starting to differentiate into a caulonemal cell initial (indicated by the oblique angle of the cell wall separating it from the subapical cell). As the cell cycle progression of chloronemal apical cells is relatively slow (c. 24 h), the production of three new cells, containing greatly enlarged peroxisomes, in the first 4 d following return to standard growth conditions suggests a link between growth rate and the demand for peroxisomal biogenesis.

**Discussion**

The PEX11 family in *P. patens*, as in other plant species, is comprised of a number of paralogues, four of which fall into the same
through the analysis of knockout mutants. Two groups have produced transgenic Arabidopsis plants where pex11a and b on the one hand or pex11c, d or e on the other have been downregulated by RNA interference (RNAi). (Nito et al., 2007) reported a modest increase in peroxisome size; the peroxisomes in the pex11a/bi lines had an average diameter of 1.5 μm while those in the pex11c/d/e lines had an average diameter of 2.36 μm. Orth et al. (2007) reported that in their RNAi lines there was a strong reduction of peroxisome number (<75% compared with control plants), but either no change or a reduction in peroxisome size. The RNAi lines did not show any alteration in growth or peroxisome function. However, it should be noted that in both studies there was still detectable transcript for all isoforms. By contrast, the Phypa_pex11-1KO mutant in which only a single gene was disrupted grew more slowly than wild type as a result of reduced cell elongation (Fig. 3) and the developmental transition from a primary filamentous stage (‘juvenile’) to the development of gametophores (‘adult’) was retarded (Fig. 2). This may be because the mutant line did not produce any PEX11 immunoreactive protein (Fig. 1d) and may be closer to a true knockout of the PEX11cde clade than the Arabidopsis RNAi lines.

These mutants produced giant peroxisomes of up to 10 μm in diameter which were readily visible in the light microscope as apparently ‘empty’ regions of the cells (Fig. 4). These structures were confirmed as peroxisomes as they imported the peroxisomal matrix proteins GFP-SKL and mRFP-SRL and their membranes were decorated with a GFP-Phypa_PEX11-1 fusion protein (Figs 4–6, S5). Transient overexpression of GFP-Phypa_PEX11-1 reverted the giant peroxisome phenotype and resulted in the formation of elongated peroxisomes, as has been reported for overexpression of PEX11 from other organisms.

Comparison of the sequences of the moss PEX11 family with other homologues shows strong conservation of the amphipathic helical structure found in the N terminus of PEX11 in other species (Figs S2–S4), which has been shown to insert into membranes in vitro and cause tubulation (Opalinski et al., 2011). A recent publication reported that this region of Hansenula polymorpha Pex11 interacts directly with Dnm1p (equivalent of Drp in yeasts and DLP in humans) and acts as a GTPase activating protein (GAP) to promote severing of tubulated peroxisomes. HsPex11β also had GAP activity but this was restricted to the first 12 amino acids (helix 1) rather than the amphipathic helix (Williams et al., 2015). Comparison of sequence alignments between Phypa_PEX11-1, HsPEX11β, AtPEX11d and HpPex11 showed that the region from 55 to 67 within HpPex11 that is critical for GAP activity is absent in the plant homologues but that the character and spacing of amino acids in the helix 1 motif are conserved, raising the possibility that this region could also have similar activity in plants. Thus, it seems likely that PEX11 functions similarly in peroxisome proliferation and division across kingdoms.

The enlarged peroxisome phenotype seen in the P. patens knockout is more reminiscent of that seen in the S. cerevisiae pex11A mutant (Erdmann & Blobel, 1995) than that in Arabidopsis. Saccharomyces cerevisiae has only one PEX11 gene (although distinct but related genes PEX25 and PEX27 also play
a role in peroxisome proliferation (Rottensteiner et al., 2003; Vizeacoumar et al., 2003) so this similarity may reflect a more complete knock-out of PEX11 function in the moss compared with the Arabidopsis RNAi lines. Alternatively, it could suggest a greater functional specialization of PEX11 family members in P. patens, such that the remaining isoforms cannot rescue the phenotype to the same extent.

A search of the P. patens genome sequence also identified homologues of Fis1a and b (Zhang & Hu, 2008) and dynamin-related proteins (DRPs) 3A (Mano et al., 2004) and 3B and 5B (Zhang & Hu, 2010), which are involved in peroxisome division in other organisms. A recent publication has demonstrated the redundant role of members of the DRP5B family in chloroplast division in P. patens (Sakaguchi et al., 2011) but peroxisome phenotypes were not investigated. To bring about peroxisome division, PEX11 proteins interact with Fis1, which is dual targeted to peroxisomes and mitochondria and recruits the DRPs for organelle division. The interaction between Fis1 and Pex11 is also conserved in P. patens, as shown by BiFC (Fig. 7).

Intriguingly, the ‘giant peroxisome’ phenotype is environmentally determined. Upon storage at low temperature with very short day length, the peroxisomes in the Physcomitrella patens pex11-1 KO reverted to a more normal size but rapidly increased again in rapidly growing cells upon transfer to longer day length and warmer temperatures (Fig. 8). It is noteworthy that in Arabidopsis light, via PEX11b, brings about peroxisome proliferation (Hu & Desai, 2008). It will be interesting to determine whether one of the six PEX11a/b homologues is required for light-dependent increases in peroxisomes in P. patens, although evidently none of the other PEX11 genes can substitute for the role in division.

The conservation of matrix and membrane protein import pathways, the ease with which P. patens can be used to generate gene disruptions or allele replacements and the uncoupling of peroxisome growth and division in the Physpex11-1 mutant make this a powerful system in which to explore mechanisms of peroxisome biogenesis and the study of peroxisome biology more generally in photosynthetic organisms.
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