ARID3B: a Novel Regulator of the Kaposi’s Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Lytic Cycle
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ABSTRACT

Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) is the causative agent of commonly fatal malignancies of immunocompromised individuals, including primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) and Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS). A hallmark of all herpesviruses is their biphasic life cycle—viral latency and the productive lytic cycle—and it is well established that reactivation of the KSHV lytic cycle is associated with KS pathogenesis. Therefore, a thorough appreciation of the mechanisms that govern reactivation is required to better understand disease progression. The viral protein replication and transcription activator (RTA) is the KSHV lytic switch protein due to its ability to drive the expression of various lytic genes, leading to reactivation of the entire lytic cycle. While the mechanisms for activating lytic gene expression have received much attention, how RTA impacts cellular function is less well understood. To address this, we developed a cell line with doxycycline-inducible RTA expression and applied stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC)-based quantitative proteomics. Using this methodology, we have identified a novel cellular protein (AT-rich interacting domain protein 3B [ARID3B]) whose expression was enhanced by RTA and that relocalized to replication compartments upon lytic reactivation. We also show that small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown or overexpression of ARID3B led to an enhancement or inhibition of lytic reactivation, respectively. Furthermore, DNA affinity and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that ARID3B specifically interacts with A/T-rich elements in the KSHV origin of lytic replication (oriLyt), and this was dependent on lytic cycle reactivation. Therefore, we have identified a novel cellular protein whose expression is enhanced by KSHV RTA with the ability to inhibit KSHV reactivation.

IMPORTANCE

Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) is the causative agent of fatal malignancies of immunocompromised individuals, including Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS). Herpesviruses are able to establish a latent infection, in which they escape immune detection by restricting viral gene expression. Importantly, however, reactivation of productive viral replication (the lytic cycle) is necessary for the pathogenesis of KS. Therefore, it is important that we comprehensively understand the mechanisms that govern lytic reactivation, to better understand disease progression. In this study, we have identified a novel cellular protein (AT-rich interacting domain protein 3B [ARID3B]) that we show is able to temper lytic reactivation. We showed that the master lytic switch protein, RTA, enhanced ARID3B levels, which then interacted with viral DNA in a lytic cycle-dependent manner. Therefore, we have added a new factor to the list of cellular proteins that regulate the KSHV lytic cycle, which has implications for our understanding of KSHV biology.

Infection of immunocompromised people with Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) is frequently linked with fatal malignancies. It is well established as the causative agent of primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) and Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS). Herpesviruses are able to establish a latent infection, in which they escape immune detection by restricting viral gene expression. Importantly, however, reactivation of productive viral replication (the lytic cycle) is necessary for the pathogenesis of KS. Therefore, it is important that we comprehensively understand the mechanisms that govern lytic reactivation, to better understand disease progression. In this study, we have identified a novel cellular protein (AT-rich interacting domain protein 3B [ARID3B]) that we show is able to temper lytic reactivation. We showed that the master lytic switch protein, RTA, enhanced ARID3B levels, which then interacted with viral DNA in a lytic cycle-dependent manner. Therefore, we have added a new factor to the list of cellular proteins that regulate the KSHV lytic cycle, which has implications for our understanding of KSHV biology.
vation of the KSHV lytic cycle (reviewed in reference 8). In vitro, RTA expression is stimulated by certain cellular cues such as plasma cell differentiation (9, 10) and hypoxia (11), and because RTA autoactivates its own promoter and drives the full lytic cycle, it is well established as the true KSHV lytic switch protein (8). RTA activates transcription of lytic genes by directly interacting with RTA-responsive elements (RREs) found in some lytic gene promoters, or indirectly via interactions with cellular transcription factors, particularly RBP-Jk, AP-1, and Oct-1 (8). The RTA protein comprises all the elements that one would expect for a transcriptional activator, such as nuclear localization signals (NLSs), a DNA binding domain (DBD), and a transcriptional activation (TA) domain. Further functional domains have also been identified, such as a leucine zipper domain that is important for homotetramer formation (12), a serine/threonine-rich region that is important for phosphorylation (13), and a multitude of binding sites for various protein-protein interactions (PPIs) (8).

The best-characterized lytic promoters that are dependent on the direct DNA binding mechanism are the PAN and K12 promoters (14, 15). Both genes are highly expressed during lytic reactivation, but their expression is prevented when DNA binding mutants of RTA are expressed (15). Furthermore, transfection experiments with plasmids containing the PAN promoter showed that RTA was essential for activating transcription, as mRNA was undetectable in its absence (16). The sequence elements that were found to be RTA responsive demonstrated significant homology between the PAN and K12 promoters and centered on an A/T-rich trinucleotide (17) reminiscent of interferon-stimulated response elements. There are several lytic genes that are activated via the indirect mechanism, including the RTA gene itself (ORF50), ORF57 (Mta), ORF6, ORF74 (vGCP-R), K6 (vMIP-1), and ORF73 (LANA) (15, 19, 20). However, whether RTA’s intrinsic DNA binding property is important for the activation of promoters via the indirect mechanism is a contentious issue. While some believe that RTA’s ability to transactivate the ORF57 promoter is completely dependent on PPIs (15), others suggest that cooperation between RTA-DNA interactions and PPIs enhances the activation of transcription. For example, in addition to the essential RBP-Jk binding site found in the ORF57 promoter, RTA binding sites have been identified surrounding this region and have been characterized as partial palindromes of A/T-rich elements with a 5’-CANT-3’ (N = any nucleotide) repeat core element (21). Extensive analysis of this promoter element suggested a model whereby RTA recruits RBP-Jk to its consensus binding sequence, and through tetramer formation, RTA makes contacts with its cognate CANT repeats (21). Furthermore, stabilization of this complex may occur via further upstream CANT repeat interactions involving additional cellular transcription factors, such as AP-1 (8).

RTA is also required to coordinate lytic replication of the KSHV genome at the cis-acting origins of lytic replication (oriLyt). For example, it is responsible for docking the viral prereplication complex at the oriLyt (22), and this process, for unknown reasons, requires RTA-mediated transcription of an oriLyt-associated transcript (23, 24). Two distinct oriLyt sequences have been identified in the KSHV genome (oriLyt left and right); interestingly, RREs in oriLyt left share significant homology to those found in the PAN and K12 promoters (25). Furthermore, oriLyt contains an A/T-rich region consisting of various palindromic sequences in addition to a highly G/C-rich repeat element. Interestingly, DNA affinity studies have demonstrated that several cellular proteins preferentially interact with oriLyt via its A/T-rich regions (25).

Unlike most other herpesviruses, de novo infection of cell lines with KSHV leads to latency where the viral genome is rapidly chromatinized and lytic genes are associated with repressive histone modifications (26–28), making KSHV an amenable model for studying the establishment of herpesvirus latency. Furthermore, reactivation of the lytic cycle promotes various negative-feedback mechanisms that serve to temper lytic gene expression during reactivation, and in vivo, these presumably function to promote the establishment or maintenance of latency. These include viral factors (e.g., LANA [29, 30] and viral miRNAs [31]) and cellular proteins (e.g., NF-κB (32), TLE2 (33), poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP-1) (34), and histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) (35)) which have been found to temper RTA transactivation of its target promoters and thus reactivation of the lytic cycle. Clearly, silencing of KSHV lytic gene expression, or inhibition of lytic DNA replication, is an important step in the life cycle of KSHV. Nevertheless, the mechanisms that are involved are poorly understood.

To begin to gain an understanding of how RTA expression impacts the cellular proteome, we employed a global quantitative proteomics approach using stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (SILAC-MS/MS). Quantitative proteomics, using various platforms, is a powerful method that has been employed by various laboratories, including our own (36–38), to investigate virus-host interactions (recently reviewed in references 39 and 40). Using this approach, we identified a novel protein, A/T-rich interacting domain 3B (ARID3B), whose expression was enhanced by RTA and that regulates the KSHV lytic cycle. ARID3B, so called as it contains an A/T-rich interactive domain (ARID), belongs to a family of proteins involved in the regulation of gene expression. The ARID of ARID3A (a paralogue of ARID3B that functions as a B cell activator) has been shown to preferentially bind AATTAA sequences (41, 42); as this domain shares 89.9% sequence identity with that found in ARID3B, it suggests that there is functional conservation between these proteins.

As a transcription factor (43, 44), ARID3B promotes survival during development (44–46), and it is these properties that link it with various malignancies (43, 47–52). Very little else is known about ARID3B; however, we reasoned that its prosurvival properties may be important during the KSHV lytic cycle and it might promote lytic gene expression, thus explaining its RTA-mediated enhanced expression. However, we found that ARID3B inhibited lytic reactivation, and as it preferentially bound to regions containing A/T-rich elements in oriLyt, we consider the possibility that either it prevents oriLyt-dependent gene expression or it blocks access to proteins essential for viral genome replication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines, plasmids, and transfections. TREX-BCBL-1-RTA cells (a kind gift from Jae Jung, University of Southern California) are a BCBL-1-based cell line that has been engineered to inducibly express exogenous Myc-tagged RTA by the addition of 1 μg/ml doxycycline hyclate, leading to a robust reactivation of the full KSHV lytic cycle (53); these were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Lonza) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Life Technologies). Inducible SLK-BAC16 cells (ISLK-BAC16; also a gift from Jae Jung) were grown in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Lonza) supplemented with 10% FBS (Life Technologies).
These maintain a latent infection with bacterial artificial chromosome 16 (BAC16)-derived KSHV (54). The parental SLK cell line, originally considered KSHV-negative endothelial cells derived from a KS patient, was subsequently found to be a contaminant of a renal carcinoma cell line, Caki1 (55). Nevertheless, these cells are widely used as a model for the study of KSHV biology. Initially, these cells were engineered to inducibly express RTA (ISLK) following the addition of doxycycline (56) and subsequently transfected with BAC16 and selected based on BAC-derived puromycin resistance (54). This cell line was demonstrated to support an authentic latent infection, and induction of the lytic cycle (with 1 µg/ml doxycycline hypnoticate) leads to the release of infectious virus (54).

HEK293T cells were used for reinfecion assays as previously described (57). HEK293 rKSHV.219 cells (58) maintain KSHV as a latent infection and were generated by infecting HEK293T cells with a recombinant KSHV that contains a constitutively active puromycin resistance and green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene and a red fluorescent protein (RFP) gene that is fused to an RTA-responsive lytic cycle (PAN) promoter (not utilized in this study). Both HEK293T-based cell lines were maintained in DMEM (Lonza) supplemented with 10% FBS (Life Technologies).

To generate cells with inducible N-terminal FLAG-His-tagged RTA expression (iRTA-293), RTA was amplified from pRTS-ORF50 (14) (forward primer, 5′-ATCTTACGGCCACATCTGATTATAATGACGA TGACAAAGCATCATCATCATGCAGACAGAAGGTTGAGC AG-3′; reverse primer, 5′-ATCTCAGTCTGCTGGAAGTATTAATCAC G-3′) and ligated into the AflI-XhoI sites of pcDNA5-FRT-TO (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Functionality of this vector was demonstrated due to its ability to reactivate the KSHV lytic cycle following its transfection. FpIn-293 cells (Life Technologies) were transfected along with pOG44 (Flp recombinaise) and subsequently selected using hygromycin B according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Life Technologies). Clonal populations were generated by limiting dilution under hygromycin B selection, and clones with tightly regulated expression and normal growth properties (compared to parental cells) were selected.

RTA expression was induced following treatment with 1 µg/ml doxycycline hypnoticate for the indicated times. iRTA-293 cells were maintained in DMEM (Lonza) supplemented with 10% FBS (Life Technologies).

The vector expressing C-terminally FLAG-tagged ARID3B was generated by PCR amplification using an ARID3B-containing plasmid (kind gift from Karen Crowden Dahl, Indiana University) as the template (forward primer, 5′-GGCGGATCCAGGATGAGCCTTGGACG AGCAGCCAGCA-3′; reverse primer, 5′-GGCGAATCTCTCTATTCC TGCTCATCTCTCTTAACTGGAGGAGCACGCGTGTGAGGGGCTC-3′). Products were digested and ligated into the BamHI and EcoRI sites of pcDNA3 (pcDNA3-ARID3B-FLAG). Flag-tagged SRAG was a kind gift from Stuart Wilson (University of Sheffield). Expression constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

For transfections, cells were plated into 6-well plates, and transfections routinely used 1 µg plasmid DNA and Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

To determine the effects of overexpressed proteins on virus reactivation efficiencies, ISLK-BAC16 cells were transfected for 24 h and the lytic cycle was induced by doxycycline treatment for a further 24 h. Samples were processed for immunoblot analysis (see below).

Stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) proteomics. iRTA-293 cells were grown in “heavy”-labeled medium containing stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) proteomics (LC) system. On average, 0.5 µg was loaded with a constant flow of 5 µl/min onto an Acclaim PepMap100 nanoViper C18 trap column (100-µm inner diameter, 2 cm; Thermo Scientific). After trap enrichment, peptides were eluted onto an Acclaim PepMap RSLC nanoViper C18 column (75 µm, 15 cm; Thermo Scientific) with a linear gradient of 2 to 40% solvent B (80% acetonitrile with 0.08% formic acid) over 65 min with a constant flow of 300 nl/min. The high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system was coupled to a linear ion trap Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer (LTQ Orbitrap Velos; Thermo Scientific) via a nanoelectrospray ion source (Thermo Scientific). The spray voltage was set to 1.2 kV, and the temperature of the heated capillary was set to 250°C. Full-scan MS survey spectra (m/z 335 to 1,800) in profile mode were acquired in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 60,000 after accumulation of 1,000,000 ions. The 15 most intense peptide ions from the precursor scan in the Orbitrap were fragmented by collision-induced dissociation (normalized collision energy, 35%; activation Q, 0.25; activation time, 10 ms) in the LTQ after the accumulation of 10,000 ions. Maximal filling times were 1,000 ms for the full scans and 150 ms for the MS/MS scans. Precursor ion charge state screening was enabled, and all unassigned charge states as well as singly charged species were rejected. The lock mass option was enabled for survey scans to improve mass accuracy. Data were acquired using the Xcalibur software. The raw mass spectrometric data files obtained for each experiment were collated into a single quantitated data set using MaxQuant (version 1.2.2.5) and the Andromeda search engine software. Enzyme specificity was set to that of trypsin, allowing for cleavage N terminal to proline residues and between aspartic acid and proline residues. Other parameters used were (i) variable modifications, methionine oxidation, protein N-acetylation, and Gln to pyro-Glu; (ii) fixed modifications, cysteine carbamidomethylation; (iii) database, target-decoy human MaxQuant (ipi.HUMAN.v3.68); (iv) heavy labels, R10K8; (v) MS/MS tolerance, Fourier transform mass spectroscopy (FTMS), 10 ppm; ion trap mass spectroscopy (ITMS), 0.6 Da; (vi) maximum peptide length, 6; (vii) maximum missed cleavages, 2; (viii) maximum of labeled amino acids, 3; and (ix) false discovery rate (FDR), 1%. Peptide ratios were calculated for each arginine- and/or lysine-containing peptide as the peak area of labeled arginine/lysine divided by the peak area of nonlabeled arginine/lysine for each single-scan mass spectrum. Peptide ratios for all arginine- and lysine-containing peptides sequenced for each protein were averaged. Data were normalized using 1/median ratio value for each identified protein group per labeled sample. Pathway analysis was performed using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) (59, 60) using proteins that were at least 2-fold different.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. Immunoprecipitation assays have been described previously (61). For immunoblot analysis, cells
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were washed in PBS and proteins were extracted in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) for 15 min on ice and clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min, 4°C. For the detection of ARID3B by immunoblotting, we found that sonication prior to loading improved detection. SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting of normalized protein concentrations followed standard techniques using the following antibodies: rabbit polyclonal antibody (PAb) anti-FLAG tag (1:1,000; Sigma), mouse monoclonal antibody (Mab) anti-OREF5 207.6 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz), RTA, rabbit anti-ARID3B (1:200; Abcam), anti-ORF59 (Autogen Bioclear), and sheep anti-KSHV minor capsid protein (mCP; 1:1,000; Exalphi Biologicals, Inc.). Proteins were detected by chemiluminescence (using horseradish peroxidase [HRP]-conjugated secondary antibodies), and signals were captured digitally using the ChemiDoc MP Imager (Bio-Rad), which ensures that signal saturation does not occur. ImageLab software version 4.1 (Bio-Rad) was used to select and determine the background-subtracted density of the bands in all blots, and these were normalized against expression of GAPDH.

**Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy.** As previously described (62), coverslips were coated with poly-L-lysine and TReX-BCBL-1-RTA cells were plated (1 × 10^6 per well of a 12-well plate) and doxycycline hycylate treated (1 µg/ml) for 18 h at 37°C. Following doxycycline hycylate treatment of SILAC-labeled iRTA-293 cells (see above, coverslips) were removed from the 10-cm dishes and placed in a humidity chamber. Cells were gently washed with PBS, fixed using 4% formaldehyde (in PBS) for 10 min, permeabilized with PBS–1% Triton X-100 for 10 min, and washed three times with PBS as previously reported (62). Primary antibodies were diluted in PBS-2% bovine serum albumin (BSA), added to cells, and incubated in humidity chambers for 2 h at 37°C or overnight at 4°C followed by 5 washes with PBS. The appropriate secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 or 594; Life Technologies) were diluted 1:500 in PBS-2% BSA and incubated with cells for 1 h at 37°C followed by 5 washes with PBS. Coverslips were mounted in Vectashield with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories).

**Incorporation of ethyldeoxyuridine (EdU) was performed using a Click-it EdU imaging kit (Life Technologies) (62). Briefly, 1 × 10^6 TReX-BCBL-1-RTA cells were treated with doxycycline and the cells were plated onto poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips. After 16 h, cells were pulsed for 45 min with 10 µM EdU, fixed, and permeabilized according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. After detection of EdU (according to the manufacturer’s protocol), the cells were washed and further incubated with the indicated antibody for 1 h at 37°C, washed again, and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit antibody (Life Technologies; 1:500 in PBS-2% BSA) for 1 h at 37°C. Finally, DNA was stained using Hoechst 33342 (1:2,000 in PBS) and mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). Images were captured using an LSM510 or LSM700 laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss) and processed using Zen imaging software (Carl Zeiss).

Antibodies included rabbit anti-FLAG (1:250; Sigma), mouse anti-Myc tag 9E10 (1:250; Sigma), RTA rabbit antisera (1:100), and rabbit anti-ARID3B (1:100; Abcam).

**Quantitative PCR.** For reverse transcriptase–quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), total cellular RNA was extracted from cells using TRizol (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and contaminating DNA was removed using the DNA-free kit (Ambion). cDNA was generated from 1 µg RNA in 20-µl reaction volumes using Moloney murine leukemia virus (M-MuLV) reverse transcriptase (RT; New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations with 5 ng oligo(dT). In parallel, negative-control reactions were performed for each RNA by omitting RT in order to confirm that quantification represented cDNA and not contaminating DNA. For quantification of viral DNA, 1 × 10^6 cells were treated with doxycycline hycylate or left untreated. At 72 h postreactivation, total DNA was extracted using a DNA minikit (Qiagen) and quantified by UV spectrophotometry. Viral DNA was quantified using primers specific for the ORF57 gene. Quantitative PCR mixtures (20 µl) included 1 × SensiMix SYBR green master mix (Bioline), 0.5 µM (each) primer, and 1 µl cDNA or 3.4 ng total DNA. Cycling was performed in a Rotorgene Q machine (Eppendorf) and included an initial 10-min denaturation step at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, and 30 s at 72°C. Melting curve analysis was performed between 65 and 95°C (with 0.2°C increments) to verify amplificion specificity. Quantification of GAPDH mRNA (qRT-PCR) or GAPDH DNA (virus reactivation) was used to normalize between samples, and the average cycle threshold (C_\text{t}) was determined from three independent samples from independent cultures. Calculations were made using the ΔΔ_C_\text{t} method.

**RNA interference (RNAi).** Conditions for small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown of ARID3B followed previously reported protocols (57). Briefly, 20 nM SMARTpool-ON-TARGETplus ARID3B siRNA (L-012219-00-0005; Dharmacon), Hs_ARID3B_3 FlexiTube GeneSolution (GS10620; Qiagen), or scramble control siRNA (siRNA controls from the respective manufacturer were used as controls) was transfected into iSLK-BAC16 cells for 72 h. For experiments that required reactivation of the lytic cycle, doxycycline was added to cells for 24 h, as stated above.

**In vitro DNA affinity assay.** Assays were performed according to the method in reference 25, with minor modifications: HEK293 KSHV-219 cells in 6-well plates were transfected with pcDNA3-ARID3B-FLAG, and the lytic cycle was induced for 24 h. ori/lrt sequences 3F, 9F, and 11F were amplified from the KSHV genome using 5′-biotinylated primers (primer sequences already described [25]) and a control region of the KSHV genome (RTA gene body; forward primer, 5′-GTCTACTCTTGAGGATT ATGG-3′; reverse primer, 5′-GATTCTGCGATGACGCGTTC-3′). PCR products were incubated with Dynabeads M-280 streptavidin according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Life Technologies). Affinity purification followed the previously described methods (25).

**ChIP.** Cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde (Thermo Scientific; catalog no. 28908) for 10 min at room temperature. Glycine was added to a final concentration of 125 mM to stop the cross-linking reaction, and the samples were incubated for another 5 min at room temperature. Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in FastChIP buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5% IGEPA L CA-630, 1.0% Triton X-100) containing protease inhibitors (Roche) as described previously (63, 64). Isolated nuclei were resuspended in SDS lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.1], 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS), and the samples were incubated on ice for 15 min. Nuclear lysates were sonicated for 10 min in a Diagenode Bioruptor Nico (30-s on-off intervals) and cleared by centrifugation for 30 min at 20,000 × g and 4°C. Sheared chromatin from 9 × 10^6 cells (180 µl) was combined with 9 volumes of chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) dilution buffer (16.7 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.1], 167 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM EDTA, 1.1% Triton X-100, 0.01% SDS) and subjected to immunoprecipitation for 16 h at 4°C with gentle rotation using 13 µl of rabbit anti-ARID3B antibody (Bethyl Laboratories; catalog no. A302-564A-M) or 4 µg of normal rabbit IgG. Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 20,000 × g, 4°C, to remove any precipitated material, and the supernatants were combined with 20 µl Magna ChIP protein A magnetic beads (Millipore; catalog no. 16-661) and incubated for 2 h at 4°C with gentle rotation. Immune complexes were washed with 1 ml each of low-salt buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.1], 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS), high-salt buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.1], 0.5 M NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS), and lithium chloride (LiCl) buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 8.1], 0.25 M LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% IGEPA L CA-630, 1% deoxycholic acid), and twice with TE (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA) buffer. Elution of the chromatin-antibody complexes was carried out by incubation with 150 µl freshly prepared elution buffer (100 mM NaHCO_3, 1% SDS) containing 1.5 µl proteinase K (Roche; catalog no. 03 115 887 001) at 62°C for 2 h, followed by a 10-min incubation step at 95°C. DNA was purified using the NucleoSpin gel and PCR cleanup kit from Macherey-
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Nagel (catalog no. 740609) according to their DNA cleanup protocol for samples containing SDS. DNA was eluted with 90 μl buffer NE, and 5 μl of the DNA solution was used as the template DNA for qPCR using primers specific for the A/T-rich region of oriLyt left (forward primer, 5′-CC CTCCTTGTTCGTCCGAAG-3′; reverse primer, 5′CTCATCCGGGCCC TATTATAAG-3′) and the RTA coding region (forward primer, 5′-GT CTACCCCGAGATTGAGG-3′; reverse primer, 5′-GATTCGGACA TGAGACCCGTC-3′).

**RESULTS**

**ARID3B expression is enhanced by RTA.** To investigate the impact of the lytic switch protein RTA expression on the host proteome, we developed a cell line with doxycycline-inducible RTA expression (iRTA-293) and applied global proteomics using stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC). After metabolically labeling cells in media containing different stable isotopes of arginine (R) and lysine (K) (e.g., R10K8 [heavy] or R0K0 [light]) for 2 weeks, we induced RTA expression from heavy-labeled cells for 12 h while leaving light-labeled cells untreated. We tested RTA expression by immunofluorescence and then fractionated cells into cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions that were validated by immunoblot analysis using cytoplasmic and nuclear markers (Fig. 1A and B). Both these assays demonstrated the expected nuclear expression of RTA. We combined the nuclear fractions (heavy versus light) 1:1 and applied LC-MS/MS (Dundee Cell Products [see Materials and Methods]). Identified and quantified proteins with a minimum of two unique peptides and a change in abundance of >2-fold were taken forward for bioinformatics analyses. KEGG pathway analysis of the nuclear proteome of proteins increased in abundance upon RTA expression (using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources [59, 60]) identified various pathways that one might expect to be modulated upon RTA expression (e.g., cell cycle control [65] and DNA replication [24]) (Fig. 1C). However, various DNA damage response (DDR) pathways were also identified in addition to ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis (specifically Cullin-RING ubiquitin ligases [CRLs]). A cellular mechanism that regulates CRLs (66–68) and many of the listed DDR pathways (69–71) is the ubiquitin-like modification NEDDylation. Of note, we recently showed that blocking NEDDylation in cells latently infected with KSHV resulted in the activation of RTA expression but inhibited viral DNA replication (62). Interestingly, a similar observation (with regard to effects on viral gene expression and viral DNA replication) was recently reported for the betaherpesvirus human cytomegalovirus (HCMV); blocking of NEDDylation also led to increased immediate early gene expression in the absence of viral DNA replication (72). Furthermore, both of these reports showed that Cullin 4B was particularly important for regulating viral gene expression (62, 72).

In addition to investigating cellular pathways associated with RTA expression, we compiled a list of the top 15 proteins that showed increases in abundance in RTA-expressing cells (Table 1). Interestingly, a number of proteins associated with transcriptional repression were identified [cell division cycle-associated 7-like (15.5-fold increase), Polycomb group protein Lethal(3)malignant (5.7-fold increase), and lysine-specific demethylase 2A (5.2-fold increase)]. We also note that RTA expression was associated with an increased abundance of protein LYRIC (7.0-fold increase), which has been shown to activate NF-κB (Table 1); intriguingly, these data suggest that RTA is associated with the expression of factors that one would predict to inhibit RTA’s transcriptional activity. In accordance with this, we also applied ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) to the same data set, and the top canonical pathway identified, in addition to cell cycle control, DNA replication, and various DNA damage pathways, was “transcriptional repression” (Table 2). We are currently investigating some of these observations, and in this report, we focus on the novel protein ARID3B (7.5-fold increase). There are a number of features that led us to investigate ARID3B. Relatively little is known about this protein, and using KSHV as a tractable model system, we wished to further study its function. It has been shown that ARID3B functions as a transcription factor linked with various features that one would predict to favor A/T-rich sequences; given the predominance of A/T-rich elements in regulatory regions of the KSHV genome (RREs, oriLyt etc.), we hypothesized that ARID3B would cooperate with RTA during reactivation of the lytic cycle.
To investigate how RTA expression might lead to an increase in ARID3B levels, we induced RTA expression in iRTA-293 cells, and performed RT-qPCR analysis and found that RTA, and lytic reactivation, led to a small but reproducible increase in ARID3B expression at both the RNA and protein levels.

ARID3B is relocalized during reactivation. Given that ARID3B expression levels were influenced by RTA expression and lytic reactivation, we next asked whether it was involved in regulating the KSHV lytic cycle. Using an immunofluorescence microscopy approach, we showed that in latently infected TREx-BCBL-1-RTA cells, ARID3B expression displayed a pan-nuclear (excluding nucleoli) localization [Fig. 3A, -Dox (latent)]. However, upon lytic reactivation, ARID3B is relocalized to discrete, RTA-positive foci, with close proximity to the nuclear periphery [Fig. 3A, +Dox (lytic)]. These changes are modest but likely reflect the superior sensitivity of mass spectrometry and the semiquantitative nature of immunoblot data. Together, these data demonstrate that RTA, and the KSHV lytic cycle, enhances ARID3B expression at both the RNA and protein levels.

### TABLE 1 Top 15 proteins increased in abundance in the nuclear fraction upon RTA expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>UniProt identifier</th>
<th>Fold increase</th>
<th>No. of unique peptides</th>
<th>Sequence coverage (%)</th>
<th>PEP</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 3B</td>
<td>QST9A4-1</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>1.90E−79</td>
<td>May play a role in a mitochondrial network organization typical for stem cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell division cycle-associated 7-like protein (lytic);</td>
<td>Q96GN5-1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.68E−18</td>
<td>Transcriptional repressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmembrane protein 43</td>
<td>Q9BTY4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>1.18E−55</td>
<td>May have a role in maintaining nuclear envelope structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinesin-like protein KIF11</td>
<td>P52732</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46.14</td>
<td>6.33E−283</td>
<td>Motor protein required for establishing a bipolar spindle during mitosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT2 domain-containing protein 1</td>
<td>Q9V52O-7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.39E−164</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrosomal protein of 170 kDa</td>
<td>Q5SW79-1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>2.53E−66</td>
<td>Plays a role in microtubule organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncharacterized protein KIAA1671</td>
<td>Q9BY89-1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.61E−11</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream of NRAS</td>
<td>Q68DF1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>2.26E−193</td>
<td>May be involved in translationally coupled mRNA turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT-rich interactive domain-containing protein 3B</td>
<td>Q8IV6E-1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>2.25E−67</td>
<td>Transcription factor which may be involved in neuroblastoma growth and malignant transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General transcription factor 3C polypeptide 2</td>
<td>Q8WUA4-1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.88E−10</td>
<td>Required for RNA polymerase III-mediated transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein LYRIC</td>
<td>Q86UE4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.99E−14</td>
<td>Activates the NF-κB transcription factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadherin-2</td>
<td>P19022</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.33E−11</td>
<td>Calcium-dependent cell adhesion proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytosolin A</td>
<td>Q69YQ0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.88E−10</td>
<td>Involved in cytokinesis and spindle organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethal(3)malignant brain tumor-like 3 protein</td>
<td>Q96JM7-1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.46E−07</td>
<td>Putative Polycomb group (PcG) protein; PcG proteins maintain the transcriptionally repressive state of genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine-specific demethylase 2A</td>
<td>Q9Y2K7-1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.35E−08</td>
<td>Histone demethylase that specifically demethylates Lys-36 of histone H3; maintains heterochromatin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Protein information was annotated from the UniProt database (www.uniprot.org).

* PEP, posterior error probability (a statistical measure for peptide identification—should be below 0.1).

### TABLE 2 Ingenuity pathway analysis of the top canonical pathways associated with RTA expression (nuclear proteome)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway Description</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptional repression</td>
<td>2.85E−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis</td>
<td>3.87E−5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell cycle control of chromosomal replication</td>
<td>7.64E−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mismatch repair in eukaryotes</td>
<td>7.84E−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of BRCA1 in DNA damage response</td>
<td>8.12E−4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ARID3B modulates the KSHV lytic cycle. To investigate if ARID3B influenced the KSHV lytic cycle, we transfected iSLK-BAC16 cells with two separate pools of ARID3B-targeted or scramble control siRNAs and induced the lytic cycle with doxycycline for 24 h. Given that ARID3B has been suggested to function as a transcription factor, we anticipated that it might be important for enhancing RTA-mediated expression of lytic genes. Using RT-qPCR, we demonstrated an approximately 50% knockdown of ARID3B. This was sufficient to enhance lytic gene expression between 1.5- and 2.5-fold, as shown for lytic genes ORF57 and gB (Fig. 4A), suggesting that ARID3B might actually inhibit lytic gene expression. We noticed that the two siRNAs had slightly different effects on lytic gene expression; these two siRNA preparations were mixtures of three different sequences, and it might be that one of these more potently targets ARID3B. However, we cannot fully explain this at the current time, and this difference was not observed in other assays with this set of siRNAs. ARID3B knockdown was also associated with an approximately 2-fold increase in lytic protein expression (Fig. 4B and C). Accordingly, ARID3B knockdown, followed by reactivation of the lytic cycle, also led to a significant enhancement of KSHV genome replication compared to cells transfected with a scramble control siRNA (Fig. 4D). Importantly, knockdown in latently infected cells did not activate viral DNA replication (Fig. 4D), suggesting that RTA expression...
FIG 4 ARID3B inhibits KSHV lytic reactivation. (A) Latently infected iSLK-BAC16 cells were independently transfected with two separate siRNA pools targeting ARID3B (or a nontargeting scramble control siRNA) for 72 h and then reactivated by addition of doxycycline (a further 24 h; see Materials and Methods). RT-qPCR was used to quantify ARID3B, ORF57, and gB levels, and the fold difference in expression was compared to scramble control using GAPDH levels to normalize between samples. Error bars represent standard deviations from the mean from two independent experiments, with mean values calculated from the technical replicates of each experiment. (B) Knockdown of ARID3B led to an enhancement of lytic protein expression. (C) Quantification of panel B (see Materials and Methods). (D) Knockdown of ARID3B enhanced viral genome replication. ARID3B siRNA or scramble control siRNA transfection of iSLK-BAC16 cells followed by reactivation of the lytic cycle for 72 h led to an increase in viral genome replication, as quantified by qPCR of the KSHV ORF57 gene. Cellular GAPDH was used to normalize between samples, and error bars represent standard deviations from the mean from three independent biological replicates (*, P < 0.05). (E) Knockdown of ARID3B enhances virion production. Media from iSLK-BAC16 cells that had been transfected with ARID3B siRNA or scramble control siRNAs were used to infect KSHV-negative HEK293T cells. As a measure of infection, RT-qPCR analysis of the KSHV lytic gene ORF57 was performed 24 h following infection. Data represent three independent infections in a single experiment, and Student’s t test was used to determine statistical significance (*, P < 0.05). (F) Overexpression of FLAG-ARID3B inhibits reactivation of lytic cycle-associated protein expression. Expression vector containing FLAG-tagged ARID3B (FLAG-ARID3B) or FLAG-SRAG (used as a negative control) was transfected into iSLK-BAC16 cells for 24 h, followed by doxycycline (Dox.)-induced reactivation of the KSHV lytic cycle. Cell lysates were harvested 24 h later and subjected to immunoblot analysis of lytic proteins ORF57 and mCP (minor capsid protein).

or the lytic cycle was required to activate ARID3B’s inhibitory properties.

To ask if the increases in lytic cycle-associated expression and genome replication led to an enhanced productive infection, we harvested and clarified medium from iSLK-BAC16 cells that had been transfected with ARID3B siRNA and reactivated with doxycycline. We transferred this medium to KSHV-negative cells (HEK293T) and, 24 h later, purified total cellular RNA. Using RT-qPCR analysis of the KSHV ORF57 gene as a measure of virus infection, we demonstrated that knockdown of ARID3B led to an enhancement of virus infection, suggesting that ARID3B knockdown led to an increase of released virions (Fig. 4E). Together, these data showed that ARID3B knockdown enhanced the KSHV lytic cycle, contrary to our initial hypothesis. However, these differences were modest given our inability to reduce ARID3B expression more than 50 to 60%. Therefore, we took an alternative approach and asked if ARID3B overexpression could inhibit KSHV reactivation. Here, we showed that transfection of FLAG-tagged ARID3B, but not FLAG-SRAG (used as a control because we know that its expression does not modulate lytic reactivation), in iSLK-BAC16 cells for 24 h, followed by reactivation of the lytic cycle, inhibited the expression of KSHV lytic genes (Fig. 4F). Together, these data demonstrate that ARID3B is able to modulate KSHV reactivation, and not enhance it, as originally predicted.

ARID3B interacts with an A/T-rich region of oriLyt in a lytic reactivation-dependent manner. To investigate a potential mechanism whereby ARID3B tempered KSHV lytic reactivation, we tested the possibility that ARID3B interacted with RTA and inhibited its function. RTA interacts with various cellular proteins that are known to be inhibitory, including PARP-1 (34), TLE2 (33), and HDAC1 (35). However, coimmunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that while RTA interacted with a known binding partner, ORF59 (22), it did not interact with ARID3B (Fig. 5). Next, we reasoned that ARID3B, as a DNA binding protein, might interact with viral genomes. Furthermore, due to its predicted preference for A/T-rich sequences, and the existence of these sequences in oriLyt, we focused our attention on this region of the KSHV genome. We performed a DNA affinity assay that previously been reported as a method for identifying proteins in-
responsive element (RRE), although this appeared to be slightly reduced compared to the 3F region. Interestingly, it was shown previously that many host and viral proteins involved in KSHV reactivation specifically bind these two regions in oriLyt (25).

We confirmed this result using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays using TREx-BCBL-1-RTA cells. This allowed us to investigate whether ARID3B interacted with the KSHV genome in infected cells, in addition to asking if this was linked with reactivation of the lytic cycle. Using an antibody that has previously been used in ChIP assays (43), we showed that endogenous ARID3B interacted preferentially with the A/T-rich region of oriLyt (3.5-fold enrichment over control IgG antibody) and not within the RTA gene (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, we showed that this interaction was dependent on reactivation of the KSHV lytic cycle, as no binding (above control IgG antibody) was observed in latent cells (Fig. 6B). These data, together with data in Fig. 2 (lytic cycle-associated relocalization) and Fig. 4 (knockdown of ARID3B does not induce viral DNA replication), suggest that ARID3B influences KSHV biology only upon reactivation of the lytic cycle.

**DISCUSSION**

It is well established that KSHV is the etiologic agent of various malignancies in immunocompromised individuals, particularly those infected with HIV. Indeed, KS is the most prevalent malignancy in regions with endemic HIV and, for HIV sufferers, is one of a number of diseases that are used as a guideline for the diagnosis of AIDS (i.e., KS is considered an AIDS-defining disease) (2). Importantly, various reports, including data from clinical studies, link reactivation of the KSHV lytic cycle with the pathogenesis of KS. Therefore, it is imperative that we understand the mechanisms that regulate this aspect of KSHV biology. RTA, the KSHV lytic switch protein, is both necessary and sufficient for reactivation of the lytic cycle (8). While we have a relatively good understanding of how RTA drives the viral transcriptional program responsible for lytic reactivation (8), how RTA influences cellular responses is less well understood. In this report, we developed a cell line with inducible RTA expression and, by applying a quantitative proteomics approach, used it to investigate what impact RTA expression has on the cellular proteome.

We identified a number of cellular pathways that were associated with RTA expression, and some of these are the focus of current research. Of note, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis was one of the gene ontology terms included in our bioinformatics analyses. We, and others, have recently reported the importance of this pathway for regulating herpesvirus gene expression and demonstrated that this pathway represents a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of herpesvirus disease (62, 72). In addition to studying cellular pathways, we generated a list of the top 15 proteins based on increased protein abundance in RTA-expressing cells. In this report, we focused on a little-known protein, ARID3B. We initially hypothesized that, as a prosurvival transcription factor (43, 44), this protein would cooperate with RTA during reactivation of the lytic cycle. However, we actually found that ARID3B played an inhibitory role, and its expression was associated with modulating lytic reactivation.

A hallmark of all herpesviruses is their ability to establish a latent infection characterized by a highly restricted gene expression program. This is an incredibly effective means of evading detection by the immune system and ensures that infection is maintained throughout the life of the host. Nevertheless, periodic reactivation of the lytic cycle is necessary in order to maintain the population of infected cells. However, the lytic cycle must be exquisitely regulated so that it does not lead to uncontrolled virion production and severe disease in its host. We observed that upon
RTA expression, or reactivation of the lytic cycle, ARID3B expression was increased at both the mRNA and protein levels. To ascertain if this had functional consequences for KSHV reactivation, we performed a series of experiments that showed that ARID3B was able to block reactivation. This included siRNA-mediated knockdown of ARID3B, which resulted in enhanced lytic gene expression and lytic protein expression and an increase in genome replication. Furthermore, knockdown of ARID3B potentially led to an increase in virion production, as suggested by reinfection assays. As an alternative approach, overexpression of ARID3B significantly abrogated lytic protein expression. Importantly, knockdown of ARID3B in latently infected cells did not reactivate the lytic cycle, suggesting that it was not involved in the maintenance of latency. Therefore, RTA-mediated activation of ARID3B specifically served to regulate lytic reactivation; however, it is also possible that this inhibitory function may be important during the establishment phase of latency.

KSHV reactivation leads to the upregulation of several negative feedback processes that temper the lytic cycle, many of which center on abrogating RTA’s interaction with RBP-Jκ (required for transactivating various lytic gene promoters via the “indirect” mechanism [8]). For example, and in a similar vein as the observed RTA-enhanced ARID3B expression, RTA enhances the expression of the co-repressor transducin-like enhancer of split 2 (TLE2), which blocked lytic reactivation by competing with RBP-Jκ for the same binding site in RTA (33) (we did not identify TLE2 in our data sets). Lytic reactivation also promotes nuclear translocation of the transcription factor NF-κB, which in turn negatively regulates lytic cycle-associated gene expression by competing with RTA for RBP-Jκ binding (32, 73, 74). Furthermore, activated NF-κB is required for the expression of latency-associated genes (e.g., LANA, vFLIP, and vCyclin), and LANA has been shown to inhibit lytic reactivation, again, by interfering with RTA–RBP-Jκ interactions (75, 76). Additional negative regulatory mechanisms involve RTA interactions with histone deacetylase enzymes HDAC1 (35) and SIRT1 (77), which limits RTA’s ability to activate lytic gene transcription. We do not currently know how RTA led to increases in ARID3B expression. As a transcription factor, RTA may drive the expression of ARID3B; it may also be possible that RTA induces ARID3B expression indirectly. Here, two possibilities exist: (i) RTA expression may promote a cellular response that is linked to ARID3B expression or (ii) RTA may sequester a repressor that would normally silence ARID3B. As there are no reports describing the regulation of ARID3B expression, it is not possible to go beyond speculation.

Commencement of the lytic cycle leads to a dramatic remodeling of the cell nucleus and the formation of discrete foci (termed replication compartments) where viral transcription, genome replication, and capsid assembly take place (78). For KSHV, the formation of replication compartments was recently shown to be dependent on the chaperone function of Hsp70 proteins (57). We observed that, upon lytic reactivation, ARID3B relocalized from a pan-nuclear pattern to replication compartments as shown by its colocalization with RTA and EdU. Of note, it was also recently shown that lytic reactivation induced the relocalization of TLE2 into replication compartments (33), highlighting a common feature among negative regulators of the lytic cycle. This suggested that ARID3B might inhibit reactivation by interacting with a protein required for lytic reactivation (cellular or viral), or the viral genome, although these scenarios are not necessarily mutually exclusive. Interestingly, RTA and ARID3B did not interact. This was somewhat surprising; however, as ARID3B is a DNA binding protein, an interaction with RTA may not be necessary for it to associate with reactivated KSHV. Therefore, unlike the majority of lytic cycle regulators that have been shown to interact directly with RTA, ARID3B performs this task via a different mechanism.

We reasoned that ARID3B, as a DNA binding protein, might interact with viral genomes. Particularly, given its proposed preference for A/T-rich sequences and the presence of these sequences in oriLyt, we considered the possibility that ARID3B binds to this region of the genome. Indeed, DNA affinity (Fig. 6A) and ChIP (Fig. 6B) assays demonstrated that this was the case. The DNA affinity assay showed us that overexpressed ARID3B preferentially bound to a region of oriLyt that also contains A/T-rich palindromic sequences in addition to a downstream region which contained the RTA-responsive element (RRE). Interestingly, it was previously shown that many host and viral proteins involved in KSHV reactivation specifically bind these two regions in oriLyt (25).

Importantly, ChIP assays not only allowed us to confirm binding in vivo, but they also allowed us to ask if ARID3B’s interaction was dependent on lytic reactivation. This was an important question as much of our data suggested that ARID3B’s inhibitory properties were associated with RTA expression, which is silenced during latency; for example, and as mentioned above, knockdown of ARID3B from latently infected cells did not appear to stimulate lytic gene expression (suggesting that it does not have a role in the maintenance of latency). Strikingly, this interaction was indeed dependent on reactivation of the lytic cycle, as no binding was observed on the latent genome. This might suggest that the chromatinized latent KSHV genome precludes ARID3B access; how-
ever, upon reactivation, which is associated with removal of nucleosomes, ARID3B can bind. However, it is not currently known if ARID3B binding is dependent on chromatin status of DNA. Additionally, whether this is dependent on direct DNA binding or requires PPIs and whether ARID3B blocks transcription or DNA replication are still open questions. Nevertheless, these data provide weight to the hypothesis that ARID3B specifically responds to reactivation of the lytic cycle from latency and inhibits it via interactions with the KSHV genome. We propose that this interaction likely competes with oriLyt-associated factors required for reactivation of the lytic cycle (Fig. 7).

We were surprised to observe that RTA expression induced a number of proteins and pathways associated with transcriptional silencing (Tables 1 and 2). Although it will be critical to validate these observations, this might not be surprising given that de novo KSHV infection of tissue culture cells leads to viral latency, despite an initial burst of lytic cycle-associated gene expression (79). Therefore, while RTA drives expression from the viral genome during reactivation, it may also induce a number of processes (directly or indirectly) that ultimately silence lytic gene expression.

In summary, we have identified a novel cellular protein, ARID3B, which responds to the lytic cycle of KSHV and functions to inhibit it. Lytic cycle-associated induction of negative feedback mechanisms is clearly an important feature of herpesvirus biology and is consistent with a virus that favors lifelong infection of its host.
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