UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of A high resolution 7-Tesla resting-state fMRI test-retest dataset with cognitive and physiological measures.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/103320/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Gorgolewski, Krzysztof J, Mendes, Natacha, Wilfling, Domenica et al. (9 more authors) (2015) A high resolution 7-Tesla resting-state fMRI test-retest dataset with cognitive and physiological measures. Scientific data. 140054. pp. 1-13. ISSN 2052-4463

https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2014.54

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

SCIENTIFIC DATA (10110)

OPEN :

SUBJECT CATEGORIES

» Brain imaging
» Cognitive neuroscience
» Functional magnetic
resonance imaging
» Attention

A high resolution 7-Tesla resting-state fMRI test-retest dataset with cognitive and physiological measures

Krzysztof J. Gorgolewski¹, Natacha Mendes¹, Domenica Wilfling², Elisabeth Wladimirow², Claudine J. Gauthier^{3,4}, Tyler Bonnen¹, Florence J.M. Ruby⁵, Robert Trampel³, Pierre-Louis Bazin³, Roberto Cozatl⁶, Jonathan Smallwood⁵ & Daniel S. Margulies¹

Received: 29 August 2014 Accepted: 29 October 2014 Published: 20 January 2015 Here we present a test-retest dataset of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data acquired at rest. 22 participants were scanned during two sessions spaced one week apart. Each session includes two 1.5 mm isotropic whole-brain scans and one 0.75 mm isotropic scan of the prefrontal cortex, giving a total of six time-points. Additionally, the dataset includes measures of mood, sustained attention, blood pressure, respiration, pulse, and the content of self-generated thoughts (mind wandering). This data enables the investigation of sources of both intra- and inter-session variability not only limited to physiological changes, but also including alterations in cognitive and affective states, at high spatial resolution. The dataset is accompanied by a detailed experimental protocol and source code of all stimuli used.

Design Type(s)	Test-retest Reliability
Measurement Type(s)	nuclear magnetic resonance assay
Technology Type(s)	MRI Scanner
Factor Type(s)	
Sample Characteristic(s)	Homo sapiens • brain

¹Max Planck Research Group for Neuroanatomy and Connectivity, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. ²Department of Neurophysics, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. ³Department of Neurology, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. ⁴Concordia University/PERFORM Center, Montreal, Canada H4B 1R6. ⁵Department of Psychology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK. ⁶Databases and IT Group, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.G.

(email: krzysztof.gorgolewski@gmail.com)

Background & Summary

In contrast to the focused scope of task-based functional studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), data acquired independent of specific task demands has become the basis for *post hoc* investigation of diverse functional systems. Rather than constraining the question of functional organization to a single paradigm, the observation that ongoing intrinsic activity replicates networks observed during task has made resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI) data a highly versatile resource.

To date the vast majority of rs-fMRI research has been conducted using data acquired with a resolution of approximately 3–4 mm³. This voxel size provides a judicious compromise between signal-to-noise ratio and spatial resolution when using a standard 3 Tesla (3 T) MRI scanner. However, as the thickness of gray matter in the cerebral cortex can range from 1.5 to 3 mm, partial sampling of tissue becomes a substantial source of noise when using the standard voxel size. Although traditionally attenuated using techniques such as spatial smoothing, such approaches are not only considered increasing problematic for investigating brain function, but also reduce the resolution with which neuroanatomical questions can be investigated.

7 Tesla (7 T) ultra-high field MRI scanners, though not as common as their 3 T counterparts, offer notably enhanced spatial resolution and are becoming increasingly incorporated into academic and clinical research. The major advantage of using a field strength of 7 T scanner is the resulting high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) which can be directly translated into a high spatial resolution. Initial studies have shown that using 7 T allows for the more precise delineation of resting state networks than with 3 T, especially if using small voxels with edge lengths in the range between 1 and 1.5 mm^{1–3}. It was further shown that spontaneous neuronal activity is one of the major contributors to the measured fMRI signal fluctuations at 7 T, increasing almost twofold relative to earlier experiments under similar conditions at 3 T⁴. Hence, using the increased BOLD sensitivity of ultra-high fields for rs-fMRI, a number of studies with different neuroscientific questions were conducted at 7 T^{5,6}. However, also an increased propensity for artifacts at 7 T compared to 3 T was reported for rs-fMRI^{7,8}. It is therefore essential to investigate the reliability of rs-fMRI at 7 T. Thus, in this article, we present the first extensive 7 T test-retest dataset in order to address this issue.

A growing body of research continues to establish the reliability of various analytic approaches to rs-fMRI data^{9–12}. While these studies have established rs-fMRI as a viable method for scientific inquiry, there are still methodological questions to be answered. To understand reliability within the context of rs-fMRI paradigms, it is important not only to assess the between-session variance, but also to attribute that variance to distinct confounding factors^{13,14}. More specifically, these factors might be hardware-specific, physiological, or mental state-related in nature. It has been shown, for example, that participant motion increases between-session variance^{13,15}. The following dataset allows researchers to address these questions, by including information about participant motion (derived from imaging data), breathing, pulse, and blood pressure. Additionally, to assess the influence of changing the position of the head of the participant within the coil, the participants were taken out, and then returned to the scanner within the same scanning session.

This dynamic aspect of spontaneous brain fluctuations has recently been the focus of intense methodological development¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Differences in mental state, mood, and content of spontaneously generated thoughts during the scanning session may translate into increased between-session variance that is independent of physiological factors. To address these uncertainties, the dataset includes assessments of the participants' mood and sustained attention immediately prior to each scanning session. The content of self-generated thoughts immediately after each rs-fMRI run was also probed using an in-scanner adaptation of the *New* York Cognition Questionnaire¹⁹. This data allows researchers to relate dynamic changes in brain states to externally measured differences in mental states.

Additionally, reliability of an analysis is not only restricted to experimental properties of the data. The MRI field has developed a variety of data processing improvements focusing on data cleaning (removal of confounds), normalization (transforming brains of each individual into a common space), and feature selection (deciding which aspect of spontaneous activity the analysis should focus on). Those processing steps can have a significant influence on the ultimate reliability of the results. Access to high resolution and quality test-retest data will allow authors of tools and methods to test their performance in terms of reliability. High resolution data is especially important for methods dealing with interindividual differences and investigating cortical layer-dependent processes. Additionally reliability metrics such as the Intra-class Correlation Coefficient²⁰ make the assumption about the spatial correspondence of each voxel between subjects. High resolution datasets like this one can reveal to what extent this assumption is just an approximation.

We present a dataset consisting of high resolution brain scans—including 0.7 mm³ MP2RAGE anatomical scans—collected from 22 individuals at two time points. This dataset is optimal for addressing methodological questions requiring reliability assessment, and also enables the investigation of different contributing confounds to between-session variance. In addition to the crucial need for methods validation specific to high resolution data, the relation of mental state (using measures of mood, sustained attention, and the content of self-generated thought) to intrinsic brain dynamics is a foundational question of neuroscience for which we hope this dataset will provide insight. Additionally it complements other publicly available 7 T fMRI datasets²¹ and is also part of a multi-site collection of test-retest datasets collected by the Consortium for Reliability and Reproducibility²².

Methods

Participants

22 participants (10 women) were selected from a database of people having previously taken part in 7 T experiments at the Max Planck Institute for Human Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Leipzig, Germany. All participants were native German speakers. Their age ranged from 21 to 30 with mean 25.1. All of the participants have previously taken part in MRI experiments at the 7 T facility (from 5 to 51 times, mean 23) and were therefore accustomed with the procedure. All subjects had given written informed consent and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Leipzig.

Testing procedure

Each participant was invited to the institute twice exactly one week apart (therefore visits were matched in terms of time of the day and day of the week). A similar testing protocol was applied to the participants on both visits. Participants were instructed to refrain from drinking caffeinated products starting two hours before each visit. They were reminded of this requirement via a text message sent on the day of the experiment. The data acquired is summarized in Figure 1, and the order of tasks/measurements was the following (details of each test are described in the next section):



Figure 1. Summary of the acquired data for two sessions of behavior testing and MRI scanning.

First visit:

- 1. At the behavioural testing room:
- a Briefing and consent signature
- b Conjunctive Continuous Performance Task (CCPT)
- c Mini New York Cognition Questionnaire (mini NYC-Q)
- d Positive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form Questionnaire (PANAS-X)
- e Hydration, caffeine, sleep questionnaire
- f 5 min of rest
- g Blood pressure and pulse measurements
- 2. At the scanner
- h Instructions for the in-scanner version of CCPT
- i Localizer scan
- j Structural scan
- k Field map scan
- l Whole-brain resting state scan (15 min)
- m In-scanner mini NYC-Q
- n Field map scan
- o Whole-brain resting state scan (15 min)
- p In-scanner mini NYC-Q
- q Prefrontal cortex submillimeter scan (10 min)
- r In scanner mini NYC-Q

Second visit:

- 1. At the behavioural testing room-same as the first visit
- 2. At the scanner—differences from the first visit in bold:
- a Instructions for the in scanner version of CCPT
- b Localizer scan
- c Field map scan
- d Whole-brain resting state scan (15 min)
- e In scanner mini NYC-Q
- f Take participant out of the scanner, ask them to sit in an upright position and put them back into the scanner
- g Localizer scan
- h Field map scan
- i Whole-brain resting state scan (15 min) j In-scanner mini NYC-Q
- k Prefrontal cortex submillimeter scan (10 min)
- l In-scanner mini NYC-Q

Behavioural tests

Conjunctive continuous performance task. The Conjunctive Continuous Performance Task looks at the ability to sustain attention over a period of approximately 20 min²³. The task involves looking at series of shapes (triangles, squares, circles, and stars) in different colors (red, green, blue, yellow). The participant is asked to react by pressing the spacebar of a computer keyboard if and only if they see a red square (which appears 30% of the time). Each shape is displayed for 100 ms and is separated from the next by a randomly-selected inter-stimulus interval (ISI) of 1,000, 1,500, 2,000, or 2,500. There was no feedback. The test consisted of 320 trials preceded by 15 trials of a practice run (which did include immediate feedback). After the practice run and before the test proper the examiner made sure that each participant understood the task. All the instructions on the screen were presented in German. The task was implemented in OpenSesame²⁴ using PsychoPy backend^{25,26}—the code is available at https://github. com/NeuroanatomyAndConnectivity/ConjunctiveContinuousPerformanceTask For more details of the task please see the original paper²³.

Mini New York cognition questionnaire. The mini version of the *New* York Cognition Questionnaire is an adaptation of the full version of *New* York Cognition Questionnaire¹⁹. The aim of the mini version was to shorten the questionnaire based on factors extracted from the full version (see¹⁹ for details). The questionnaire consists of series of statements, each starting with 'During the last measurement':

- 1. I thought about something positive
- 2. I thought about something negative
- 3. my thoughts involved future events
- 4. my thoughts involved past events
- 5. my thoughts involved myself
- 6. my thoughts involved other people
- 7. my thoughts involved my surroundings
- 8. I was fully awake
- 9. my thoughts were in the form of images
- 10. my thoughts were in the form of words
- 11. my thoughts were more specific than vague
- 12. my thoughts were intrusive

Participants had to rate each statement on a visual analog scale ranging from 'Completely did not describe my experience' (score 0, left hand side) to 'Completely described my experience' (score 100, right hand side). All questions and instructions were presented in German. The questionnaire was implemented in OpenSesame²⁴ using PsychoPy backend^{25,26} as a slider operated with three buttons (left, right, next question). The slider range was from 0 to 100 with increments of 5. The initial position of the slider was 50. Question order was randomized at each presentation. The questionnaire was presented immediately after the CCPT task, and after each rs-fMRI scan (a special version of the task operated with a response box was used inside the scanner). The code of the questionnaire is available at https://github. com/NeuroanatomyAndConnectivity/NYC-Q.

Positive and negative affect schedule—expanded form questionnaire. Positive and Negative Affect Schedule—Expanded Form Questionnaire is a questionnaire probing the mood of participants²⁷. It consists of 60 items which are broken down into several subscales such as General Positive and General Negative Affect. For each item (an adjective such as 'happy' or 'alone') participants had to rate 'to what extent have they felt this way during the past week.' This was on a scale from 'very slightly or not at all' (1) to 'extremely' (9). We have used a German version of the questionnaire first introduced by Gruhn *et al.*²⁸ The questionnaire was presented using the LimeSurvey software (http://www.limesurvey.org/).

Hydration, caffeine, sleep questionnaire. To assess sleep patterns, hydration, and caffeine intake, participants were asked to reply to a series of additional questions.

- 1. On average, how many hours do you sleep every night?
- 2. How many hours did you sleep last night?
- 3. How well rested do you feel right now? 1 (Extremely tired)-9 (Perfectly well rested)
- 4. How well did you sleep last night? 1 (I slept terribly)-9 (I slept very well)
- 5. How well hydrated do you feel right now? 1 (Completely dehydrated)-9 (Perfectly hydrated)
- 6. On average, how much water (and other liquids) do you drink every day (in litres)?
- 7. Comparing to other days did you drink more or less water today? 1 (I drank much less than usual)—5 (I drank the same amount as usual)—9 (I drank much more than usual)
- 8. On average, how much caffeinated drinks (coffee, cola, club mate etc.) do you drink every day (in litres—one cup = 0.2 l)?
- 9. Comparing to other days did you drink more or less coffee and other caffeinated drinks (coffee, cola, club mate etc.) today? 1 (I drank much less than usual)—5 (I drank the same amount as usual)—9 (I drank much more than usual)

This questionnaire was also presented using LimeSurvey software (http://www.limesurvey.org/).

Blood pressure and pulse measurement. After filling the questionnaires participants were asked to relax for 5 min in order for their heart rate to stabilize. After this period, their systolic and diastolic blood pressure, as well as pulse, were measured for both arms. The measurement was performed using Omron M500 device (Omron Healthcare Co. Ltd.).

Magnetic resonance imaging

All experiments were performed on a 7 T whole-body MR scanner (MAGNETOM 7 T, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). A combined birdcage transmit and 24 channel phased array receive coil (NOVA Medical Inc, Wilmington MA, USA) was used for imaging. During the scan the participants' pulse was monitored using a pulse oximeter. Breathing was measured using a pneumatic sensor. Both breathing and pulse signals were recorded using Biopac MP150 system (Biopac Systems Inc., USA) sampled at 5,000 Hz with the Biopac Acqknowledge 4.1 software (Biopac Systems Inc., USA). Participants filled the mini NYC-Q questionnaire using a four button response box held in both hands. The position of the slider was adjusted by the left and right buttons, pressing any of the two middle buttons advanced to the next question. Acquisition parameters of the relevant sequences are summarised below.

Structural scan. For structural images a 3D MP2RAGE²⁹ sequence was used: 3D-acquisition with field of view $224 \times 224 \times 168 \text{ mm}^3$ (H-F; A-P; R-L), imaging matrix $320 \times 320 \times 240$, 0.7 mm³ isotropic voxel size, Time of Repetition (TR) = 5.0 s, Time of Echo (TE) = 2.45 ms, Time of Inversion (TI) 1/2 = 0.9 s/2.75 s, Flip Angle (FA) $1/2 = 5^{\circ}/3^{\circ}$, Bandwidth (BW) = 250 Hz/Px, Partial Fourier 6/8, and GRAPPA acceleration with iPAT factor of 2 (24 reference lines).

Field map. For estimating B0 inhomogeneities, a 2D gradient echo sequence was used. It was acquired in axial orientation with field of view $192 \times 192 \text{ mm}^2$ (R-L; A-P), imaging matrix 64×64 , 35 slices with 3.0 mm thickness, 3.0 mm³ isotropic voxel size, TR = 1.5 s, TE1/2 = 6.00 ms/7.02 ms (which gives delta TE = 1.02 ms), FA = 72°, and BW = 256 Hz/Px.

Whole-brain rs-fMRI. Whole-brain rs-fMRI scans were acquired using a 2D sequence. It used axial orientation, field of view $192 \times 192 \text{ mm}^2$ (R-L; A-P), imaging matrix 128×128 , 70 slices with 1.5 mm thickness, 1.5 mm^3 isotropic voxel size, TR = 3.0 s, TE = 17 ms, $\text{FA} = 70^\circ$, BW = 1,116 Hz/Px, Partial Fourier 6/8, GRAPPA acceleration with iPAT factor of 3 (36 reference lines), and 300 repetitions resulting in 15 min of scanning time. Before the scan subjects were instructed to stay awake, keep their eyes open and focus on a cross. In order to avoid a pronounced g-factor penalty³⁰ when using a 24 channel receive coil, the acceleration factor was kept at a maximum of 3, preventing the acquisition of whole-brain data sets at submillimeter resolution. However, as 7 T provides the necessary SNR for such high spatial resolutions a second experiment was performed with only partial brain coverage but with an 0.75 mm isotropic resolution.

Prefrontal cortex rs-fMRI. The submillimeter rs-fMRI scan was acquired with a zoomed EPI³¹ 2D acquisition sequence. It was acquired in axial orientation with skewed saturation pulse³² suppressing signal from posterior part of the brain (see Figure 2). The position of the field of view was motivated by the involvement of medial prefrontal cortex in the default mode network and mindwandering³³. This location can also improve our understanding of functional anatomy of the prefrontal cortex which is understudied in comparison to primary sensory cortices. Field of view was $150 \times 45 \text{ mm}^2$ (R-L; A-P), imaging matrix = 200×60 , 40 slices with 0.75 mm thickness, 0.75 mm³ isotropic voxel size, TR = 4.0 s, TE = 26 ms, FA = 70° , BW = 1,042 Hz/Px, Partial Fourier 6/8. A total of 150 repetitions were acquired resulting in 10 min of scanning time. Before the scan subjects were instructed to stay awake, keep their eyes open and focus on a cross.

It has to be mentioned that for both rs-fMRI experiments only a single slice was excited at a time. Although simultaneous-multi-slice imaging enables a significant reduction in repetition time, a certain



Figure 2. Field of view of the whole-brain resting state scan (red) and prefrontal cortex resting state scan (green). Fields of view of the two scans were overlaid on top of a T1 map for one participant. Note that whole-brain scan does not fully cover the cerebellum and brainstem.

coil geometry is necessary for its successful application³⁴. Preliminary tests with our 24 channel coil showed that the somewhat limited number of receive elements in head-feet direction prevented an artifact-free implementation of multi-band acquisition techniques as it would be possible with, e.g., a 32 channel coil. The lack of multislice acquisition resulted in relatively slow repetition time (3 and 4 s for full brain and prefrontal cortex respectively). This unfortunately limits the use of this dataset to investigating brain dynamics (and their physiological correlates) at lower frequencies.

Data Records

All data records listed in this section are available from the INDI/CoRR consortium facilitated through the COINS database³⁵ (Data Citation 1). A README file with a detailed description of the content of all downloads is also available at this URL. The data is also available at http://openscience.cbs.mpg.de/7t_trt as a standard FTP download as well as BitTorrent facilitated by Academic Torrents to improve distribution³⁶. Additionally the data has been deposited in our institute's XNAT³⁷ instance available publicly at http://xnat.cbs.mpg.de. We strongly encourage all users to subscribe to the http://groups.google.com/group/7t_trt mailing list for future updates and announcements.

All DICOM files were anonymized to remove any information that could identify the participants. files were converted to the NIfTI format using the dcmstack converter (https://github.com/moloney/dcmstack). All DICOM metadata were saved in the header of the NIFTI files in JSON format.

Demographics

Location: MPG_1_PhenotypicData

File format: plain text, comma separated values

Basic demographic information (sex, age at the first scan in years, handedness, and number of 7 T scans previously taken) is available in a comma-separated value (CSV) file.

Questionnaires and blood pressure measurements

Location: MPG_1_questionnaires_and_blood_pressure.csv

File format: plain text, comma separated values

Participants' responses to the PANAS-X, sleep, caffeine, hydration questionnaires as well as the pre scan blood pressure are available in a CSV file. Data are structured as two lines per participant (one line per visit per participant) with questionnaire items as columns. A description of all items is given in Supplementary Table 1.

CCPT responses

Location: MPG_1_CCPT.csv

File format: plain text, comma separated values

Responses to the CCPT task are available as a CSV file. Each response for each participant and each visit is described by the presented stimuli, response and response time. A description of all items is given in Supplementary Table 2.

Mini NYC-Q responses

Location: MPG_1_mini_NYCQ.csv

File format: plain text, comma separated values

Responses to the mini NYC-Q questionnaire are available as a CSV file. Each participant responded to every item eight times (four per visit, one after CCPT task, three after resting state scans). A description of all items is given in Supplementary Table 3.

Anatomical scans

Location: MPG_1_ < ID>.tar.gz/session_[1-2]/anat_1/anat_*.nii.gz

File format: NIfTI, gzip-compressedSequence

MRI data are available in NIFTI formats. All structural scans have been defaced to protect participants identity. The defacing procedure was performed after DICOM to NIFTI conversion and therefore we do not include DICOM files in this dataset. Different reconstruction images are included:

- 1. anat_inv1—first inversion time volume
- 2. anat_inv1_phs—phase image for the first inversion
- 3. anat_inv2—second inversion time volume
- 4. anat_inv2_phs-phase image for the second inversion
- 5. anat_uni-unified volume (T1 weighted)
- 6. anat—quantitative T1 map (note: T1 time estimates above 4,000 ms in the CSF are arbitrarily set to 0 ms when calculated on the scanner)

Fieldmaps

Location: MPG_1_ <ID>.tar.gz/session_1-2]/fieldmap_[1-2]/fieldmap_[magnitudelphase].nii.gz File format: NIfTI, gzip-compressed

fMRI data are available in NIFTI format. The fieldmap_magnitude files consist of two volumes corresponding to the two readouts at different TEs. The fieldmap_phase is the phase difference image.

Whole-brain rs-fMRI

Location: MPG_1_ <ID>.tar.gz / <ID>/session_[1-2]/rest_[1-2]/rest.nii.gz File format: NIfTI, gzip-compressed

Prefrontal cortex rs-fMRI

Location: MPG_1_ < ID>.tar.gz / < ID>/session_[1-2]/rest_1_prefrontal/rest.nii.gz File format: NIfTI, gzip-compressed

fMRI data are available in NIFTI and DICOM formats. Even though the NIFTI headers include all of the DICOM meta information thanks to the use of dcmstack converter, some tools (such as AFNI³⁸) use different formats for storing meta information in NIFTI files.

Physiological recordings

Location: MPG_1_physio.tar.bz2/physio/ < ID>/session_[1-2]/physio_trig_resp_card_oxy_[prefrontall full_brain_1]full_brain_2].txt and MPG_1_physio.tar.bz2/physio/ < ID>/session_[1-2]/physio_original_[prefrontallfull_brain_1]full_brain_2].acq

File format plain text, gzip-compressed or ACQ

Physiological data were down-sampled to 100 Hz and truncated to start with the first MRI trigger pulse and to end one volume acquisition duration after the last trigger pulse. Data are provided in a fourcolumn (MRI trigger, respiratory trace, cardiac trace, and oxygen saturation), space-delimited text file for each rs-fMRI scan. Additionally, the original BIOPAC ACQ files recorded at a sampling rate of 5,000 Hz are provided. They can be read using the bioread package https://github.com/njvack/bioread.

Technical Validation

CCPT

To describe the distribution and variance across visits of the CCPT results we have plotted reaction times and percentage of mistakes each participant made (see Figure 3). Reaction times were stable across time and most participants made very few mistakes suggesting that the task was easy for them.

Mini NYC-Q

To assess the variance of the self reported content of self generated thoughts we have plotted the evolution of the answers over the period of the two visits (see Figure 4). There is a high variance between as well as within participants, consistent with the nature of mind wandering.



Figure 3. Distribution of reaction times and percentage of mistakes over participants and between two visits. Each line corresponds to one participant. Only correct responses were used to calculate reaction times.

PANAS-X

We have decomposed the 60 items of the PANAS-X questionnaire into 13 subscales²⁷ by averaging corresponding items (see Figure 5). It should be noted that the skewed distributions of 'Sadness' and 'Hostility' are due to the high responses of the same participant.

Blood pressure and pulse

As with other measures we also looked at the distribution of blood pressure and pulse measurements across participants and between visits (see Figure 6). Measurements from both arms were averaged together. All participants showed diastolic blood pressure to be within a healthy range (60–79 mm Hg). Some participants, however, experienced systolic blood pressure within the prehypertension range (120–139 mm Hg). The distribution of pulse measurements is rightly skewed due to one outlier (different than the outlier observed in PANAS-X).

Magnetic resonance imaging

To assess the quality of the whole-brain rs-fMRI scans we have calculated a series of quality metrics (see Figure 7). Many of these metrics overlap with the ones used by the Consortium for Reliability and Reproducibility (CoRR) (http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/CoRR) which enables easier comparison with other studies in the consortium. The following metrics were calculated:

Framewise Displacement (FD)—a measure of volume to volume movement in millimeters. Calculated based on parameters estimated using AFNI *3dvolreg* with *-Fourier -twopass -zpad 4* arguments. Lower values mean less motion.

Temporal Signal to Noise Ratio (tSNR)—a voxelwise measure of signal strength. It is calculated by dividing the mean across time with standard deviation across time for each voxel individually. A median of all voxels within a brain mask is used to characterise each scan. Mask for this as well as all other metrics was derived using AFNI *3dAutomask* command. Higher tSNR values mean better signal.

Entropy Focus Criterion—Shannon's entropy is used to summarize the principal directions distribution^{39,40}. Higher values indicate the distribution is more uniform (i.e., less noisy).

Foreground to Background Energy Ratio—ratio of average absolute value intensities within and outside of the brain mask. Higher values mean more clear signal.

Ghost to Signal Ratio—A measure of the mean signal in the 'ghost' image (signal present outside the brain due to acquisition in a particular phase encoding direction) relative to mean signal within the brain⁴¹. Lower values indicate fewer ghost artefacts.

Percentage of outliers—The mean fraction of outlier voxels found in each volume using AFNI *3dTout* command in AFNI. Fewer outliers means better quality.

Median Distance Index—The mean distance (1—spearman's rho) between each time-point's volume and the median volume using AFNI *3dTqual* command. Smaller values mean more homogeneous timeseries.

Image Smoothness—Smoothness of the image expressed as a millimeter Full Width Half Maximum. Smoothness was estimated using AFNI *3dFWHMx* command.

Due to a mistake, one of the participant's whole-brain rs-fMRI scans was acquired using a different sequence. This resulted in a change in the resolution from 1.5 to 3.0 mm. We kept the data of this participant in the dataset due to usefulness of all the other measurements, but we do not recommend mixing resolutions in fMRI analysis. This and other data acquisition anomalies are listed in Table 1.



Figure 4. Evolution of the content of self generated thoughts and vigilance over the course of the experiment. Each line corresponds to one participant. First four time points correspond to the first visit—the last four to the second visit. The thick line corresponds to the mean across all participants.



Figure 5. Distribution of PANAS-X subscales scores across participants and between sessions. Score for each subscale was calculated by averaging scores from corresponding items. Each line corresponds to one participant.

. . .



Figure 6. Distribution of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, as well as pulse across participants and between visits. Each line corresponds to one participant.



Figure 7. Distribution of quality metrics across whole-brain resting-state scans. One of the participants was excluded due to an acquisition error (wrong scan resolution). Please note that only mean frame displacement and smoothness have meaningful units.

.....

SCIENTIFIC DATA | 2:140054 | DOI: 10.1038/sdata.2014.54

Participant ID	Visit	Issues
27436	1 & 2	Shimming window was offset causing minor signal deterioration. The same shimming window was used for both sessions.
27437	1	The lightbulb in the projector died during the first resting state scan. A projector from another scanner was used as a replacement. The replacement took approximately 30 min. The participant was in the scanner during this time.
27440	1 & 2	All whole-brain scans were accidentally acquired with voxel size 3 mm instead of 1.5 mm.
27441	1 & 2	Second fieldmap was run after second whole-brain not before, the same order was used for the second session.
27442	1	Second magnitude image of the first fieldmap was damaged during transfer from the scanner (one slice is missing). The phase image is intact so the fieldmap can still be reconstructed.
27448	1	Second physiological recording (corresponding to second whole-brain scan) was stopped before the scan finished, third physiological recording (corresponding to the prefrontal scan) was started after the scan started.

Table 1. List of known anomalies in the acquisition process.

Usage Notes

All data are made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero (CC0; PDDL; http:// creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/). In short, this means that anybody is free to download and use this dataset for any purpose as well as to produce and re-share derived data artifacts. While not legally enforced, we hope that all users of the data will acknowledge the original authors by citing this publication and will follow good scientific practice (i.e. not try to re-identify the subjects).

Data are shared in documented standard formats, such as DICOM, NIfTI or plain text files, to enable further processing in arbitrary analysis environments with no imposed dependencies on proprietary tools. Many standard software packages may be currently challenged by the increase in data resolution and the changes in anatomical contrasts brought by the MP2RAGE sequence. Dedicated 7 T MP2RAGE methods for image segmentation and analysis are freely available within our CBS Tools software package (www. nitrc.org/projects/cbs-tools/) and have been tested and validated on similar data⁴². These tools are modular can be interfaced with other software packages⁴³.

References

- 1. Hale, J. R. et al. Comparison of functional connectivity in default mode and sensorimotor networks at 3 and 7T. MAGMA 23, 339-349 (2010).
- 2. De Martino, F. *et al.* Whole brain high-resolution functional imaging at ultra high magnetic fields: an application to the analysis of resting state networks. *Neuroimage* 57, 1031–1044 (2011).
- Newton, A. T., Rogers, B. P., Gore, J. C. & Morgan, V. L. Improving measurement of functional connectivity through decreasing partial volume effects at 7 T. Neuroimage 59, 2511–2517 (2012).
- Bianciardi, M. et al. Sources of functional magnetic resonance imaging signal fluctuations in the human brain at rest: a 7 T study. Magn. Reson. Imaging 27, 1019–1029 (2009).
- Lenglet, C. et al. Comprehensive in vivo mapping of the human basal ganglia and thalamic connectome in individuals using 7T MRI. PLoS ONE 7, e29153 (2012).
- 6. Raemaekers, M. *et al.* Patterns of resting state connectivity in human primary visual cortical areas: a 7T fMRI study. *Neuroimage* **84**, 911–921 (2014).
- 7. Yang, X., Holmes, M. J., Newton, A. T., Morgan, V. L. & Landman, B. A. A comparison of distributional considerations with statistical analysis of resting state fMRI at 3T and 7T. Proc. Soc. Photo. Opt. Instrum. Eng. 8314, 831416 (2012).
- 8. Yang, X., Kang, H., Newton, A. T. & Landman, B. A. Evaluation of statistical inference on empirical resting state fMRI. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 61, 1091–1099 (2014).
- 9. Shehzad, Z. et al. The resting brain: unconstrained yet reliable. Cereb. Cortex 19, 2209-2229 (2009).
- 10. Zhang, H. et al. Test-retest assessment of independent component analysis-derived resting-state functional connectivity based on functional near-infrared spectroscopy. Neuroimage 55, 607-615 (2011).
- 11. Birn, R. M. et al. The effect of scan length on the reliability of resting-state fMRI connectivity estimates. Neuroimage 83C, 550–558 (2013).
- 12. Braun, U. et al. Test-retest reliability of resting-state connectivity network characteristics using fMRI and graph theoretical measures. Neuroimage 59, 1404–1412 (2012).
- Gorgolewski, K. J., Storkey, A. J., Bastin, M. E., Whittle, I. & Pernet, C. Single subject fMRI test-retest reliability metrics and confounding factors. *Neuroimage* 69, 231–243 (2013).
- 14. Bennett, C. M. & Miller, M. B. How reliable are the results from functional magnetic resonance imaging? *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1191**, 133–155 (2010).
- 15. Yan, C.-G. *et al.* A comprehensive assessment of regional variation in the impact of head micromovements on functional connectomics. *Neuroimage* **76**, 183–201 (2013).
- Kucyi, A. & Davis, K. D. Dynamic functional connectivity of the default mode network tracks daydreaming. *Neuroimage* 100, 471–480 (2014).
- 17. Allen, E. A. et al. Tracking whole-brain connectivity dynamics in the resting state. Cereb. Cortex 24, 1-14 (2012).
- Zalesky, A., Fornito, A., Cocchi, L., Gollo, L. L. & Breakspear, M. Time-resolved resting-state brain networks. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 111, 10341–10346 (2014).
- Gorgolewski, K. J. et al. A correspondence between individual differences in the brain's intrinsic functional architecture and the content and form of self-generated thoughts. PLoS ONE 9, e97176 (2014).
- 20. Shrout, P. E. & Fleiss, J. L. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychol. Bull. 86, 420-428 (1979).
- 21. Hanke, M. et al. A high-resolution 7-Tesla fMRI dataset from complex natural stimulation with an audio movie. Sci. Data 1, 140003 (2014).
- 22. Zuo, X. N. *et al.* An open science resource for establishing reliability and reproducibility in functional connectomics. *Sci. Data* 1, 140049 (2014).
- Shalev, L., Ben-Simon, A., Mevorach, C., Cohen, Y. & Tsal, Y. Conjunctive Continuous Performance Task (CCPT)--a pure measure of sustained attention. *Neuropsychologia* 49, 2584–2591 (2011).

- 24. Mathôt, S., Schreij, D. & Theeuwes, J. OpenSesame: an open-source, graphical experiment builder for the social sciences. *Behav. Res. Methods* 44, 314-324 (2012).
- 25. Peirce, J. W. PsychoPy-Psychophysics software in Python. J. Neurosci. Methods 162, 8-13 (2007).
- 26. Peirce, J. W. Generating Stimuli for Neuroscience Using PsychoPy. Front. Neuroinform. 2, 10 (2008).
- 27. Watson, D. & Clark, L. A. The PANAS-X manual for the positive and negative affect schedule—expanded form http://ir.uiowa.edu/psychology_pubs/11/ (1999).
- Grühn, D., Kotter-Grühn, D. & Röcke, C. Discrete affects across the adult lifespan: Evidence for multidimensionality and multidirectionality of affective experiences in young, middle-aged and older adults. J. Res. Pers. 44, 492–500 (2010).
- 29. Marques, J. P. et al. MP2RAGE, a self bias-field corrected sequence for improved segmentation and T1-mapping at high field. *Neuroimage* 49, 1271–1281 (2010).
- Pruessmann, K. P., Weiger, M., Scheidegger, M. B. & Boesiger, P. SENSE: sensitivity encoding for fast MRI. Magn. Reson. Med. 42, 952–962 (1999).
- Heidemann, R. M., Ivanov, D. & Trampel, R. Isotropic submillimeter fMRI in the human brain at 7 T: Combining reduced field of view imaging and partially parallel acquisitions. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 68, 1506–1516 (2012).
- 32. Pfeuffer, J. et al. Zoomed functional imaging in the human brain at 7 Tesla with simultaneous high spatial and high temporal resolution. Neuroimage 17, 272-286 (2002).
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Reidler, J. S., Huang, C. & Buckner, R. L. Evidence for the default network's role in spontaneous cognition. J. Neurophysiol. 104, 322–335 (2010).
- 34. Setsompop, K. et al. Improving diffusion MRI using simultaneous multi-slice echo planar imaging. Neuroimage 63, 569-580 (2012).
- 35. Scott, A. *et al.* COINS: An innovative informatics and neuroimaging tool suite built for large heterogeneous datasets. *Front. Neuroinform* **5**, 33 (2011).
- 36. Langille, M. G. I. & Eisen, J. A. BioTorrents: a file sharing service for scientific data. PLoS ONE 5, e10071 (2010).
- 37. Marcus, D. S., Olsen, T. R., Ramaratnam, M. & Buckner, R. L. The extensible neuroimaging archive toolkit. *Neuroinformatics* 5, 11–33 (2007).
- 38. Cox, R. W. AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Comput. Biomed. Res.* 29, 162–173 (1996).
- 39. Farzinfar, M. et al. Entropy based DTI quality control via regional orientation distribution. Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Biomed. Imaging 22–26 (2012).
- Atkinson, D., Hill, D. L., Stoyle, P. N., Summers, P. E. & Keevil, S. F. Automatic correction of motion artifacts in magnetic resonance images using an entropy focus criterion. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 16, 903–910 (1997).
- Giannelli, M., Diciotti, S. & Tessa, C. Characterization of Nyquist ghost in EPI-fMRI acquisition sequences implemented on two clinical 1.5 T MR scanner systems: effect of readout bandwidth and echo spacing. J. Appl. Clin. Med. Phys. 11, 170–180 (2010).
- 42. Bazin, P.-L. *et al.* A computational framework for ultra-high resolution cortical segmentation at 7Tesla. *Neuroimage* **93**, 201–209 (2014).
- 43. Gorgolewski, K. et al. Nipype: a flexible, lightweight and extensible neuroimaging data processing framework in python. Front. Neuroinform 5, 13 (2011).

Data Citation

1. Gorgolewski, K. J. et al. Functional Connectomes Project International Neuroimaging Data-Sharing Initiative http://dx.doi.org/ 10.15387/fcp_indi.corr.mpg1 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by the Max Planck Society.

Author Contributions

K.J.G. conceived and implemented the study and wrote the manuscript. D.W., E.W., N.M., T.B., K.J.G., C.J.G., and D.S.M. acquired the data. R.T. selected and fine-tuned MRI sequences. F.J.M.R. and J.S. helped with creation of the mini NYC-Q. R.C. helped with preparing the final data set version. T.B., P.-L.B., D.S.M., R.T., N.M. and C.G. helped in writing the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/sdata

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

How to cite this article: Gorgolewski, K. J. et al. A high resolution 7-Tesla resting-state fMRI test-retest dataset with cognitive and physiological measures. Sci. Data 2:140054 doi: 10.1038/sdata.2014.54 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

Metadata associated with this Data Descriptor is available at http://www.nature.com/sdata/ and is released under the CC0 waiver to maximize reuse.