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shibire1, a temperature-sensitive mutation of the Drosophila gene encoding a Dynamin orthologue, blocks vesicle endocytosis and thus synaptic transmission, at elevated, or restrictive temperatures. By targeted Gal4 expression, UAS-shibire1 overexpression has been used to dissect neuronal circuits. We investigated the effects of UAS-shibire1 overexpression in Drosophila photoreceptors at permissive (19°C) and restrictive (31°C) temperatures. At 19°C, overexpression of UAS-shibire1 causes decelerated phototransduction and reduced neurotransmitter release. This phenotype is exacerbated with dark adaptation, age and in white mutants. Photoreceptors overexpressing UAS-shibire1 contain terminals with widespread vacuolated mitochondria, reduced numbers of vesicles and bundled microtubules. Immuno-electron microscopy reveals that the latter are dynamin coated. Further, the microtubule phenotype is not restricted to photoreceptors, as UAS-shibire1 overexpression in lamina cells also bundles microtubules. We conclude that dynamin has multiple functions that are interrupted by UAS-shibire1 overexpression in Drosophila photoreceptors, destabilizing their neural communication irreversibly at previously reported permissive temperatures.

Introduction

The fly orthologue of Dynamin is encoded by shibire (Chen et al., 1991), a GTPase involved in endocytosis (van der Biek and Meyerowitz, 1991; Damke et al., 1994). Under previously tested conditions, the temperature-sensitive allele shibire1 (shi1) allows normal endocytosis to occur at temperatures <22°C, but prevents membrane retrieval at temperatures exceeding 30°C, leading to reduced neurotransmitter release and synaptic blockade (Kosaka and Ikeda, 1983). Overexpression of shi1 (Kitamoto, 2001) using the Gal4/UAS system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) allows targeted temperature-dependent blockade of synaptic transmission within the Drosophila nervous system (for a review see: Duffy, 2002). Hence, the contribution of individual neurons to identified circuits can be assessed (Luo et al., 2008), such as those involved in color (Gao et al., 2008) or motion perception (Rister et al., 2007).

Temperature-dependent activation of UAS-shi1 was originally studied in Drosophila photoreceptors (Kitamoto, 2001), from which the continuous release (Zheng et al., 2006) of histamine (Hardie, 1987; Sarthy, 1991), to the large monopolar cells (LMCs) (Zheng et al., 2006) is blocked by mutant shi1 at restrictive temperatures. In an electoretinogram (ERG), the eye’s field potential response to a light pulse (Heiseberg, 1971), such blockade is apparent by the lack of “On”- and “Off”-transients (Zheng et al., 2006), reported by Coombe (1986) to derive from the LMCs. The permissive (18°C) and restrictive (31°C) temperatures for shi1 action, originally defined from their effects on the ERG of homozygous mutant flies (Chen and Stark, 1993), have been confirmed for the UAS-shi1 transgene by recording the ERGs of light-adapted flies overexpressing UAS-shi1 in their photoreceptors (Kitamoto, 2001).

Other variables may also affect photoreceptors overexpressing UAS-shi1. For example, light exposure increases endocytosis and membrane turnover in fly photoreceptors (Stark et al., 1988; Bähner et al., 2002; Kosloff et al., 2003; Chorna-Ornan et al., 2005; Frechter et al., 2007; Han et al., 2007). In addition, aging reduces the time needed for synaptic blockade (Beramendi et al., 2007) and the eye marker gene, white, interacts with shi1 action (Chen and Stark, 1993). A study controlling for these factors in flies overexpressing shibire1 has hitherto been lacking and should reveal additional functions of dynamin. For example, dynamin may act in vivo as a microtubule-associated protein (MAP), an interaction previously demonstrated biochemically (Shpetner and Vallee, 1989).
To address dynamin function in vivo, we examine how interactions between light exposure, temperature, genetic background and age affect the synaptic output of outer photoreceptors (R1–R6) overexpressing UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}. To evaluate changes in neurotransmission, we compare the ERGs. These results are then related to changes in phototransduction, recorded intracellularly through light-evoked voltage responses, and to changes in ultrastructure using electron microscopy (EM). We show that at 19°C, photoreceptors overexpressing UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} have decelerated phototransduction and compromised neurotransmission, both after dark adaptation and in older flies. At 19°C, photoreceptor terminals contain fewer vesicles, vacuolated mitochondria typical of apoptosis and bundled microtubules decorated with dynamin. These changes reveal the outcome of overexpressing a temperature-sensitive form of dynamin that is functionally impaired even at a permissive temperature of 19°C.

**Materials and Methods**

**Fly stocks.** The reporter line UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} was provided by Dr. Tohihiro Kitamoto (University of Iowa); Canton-S (wild-type), \textit{w}\textsuperscript{1118} (here referred to simply as \textit{white}), \textit{Rh1-Gal4}, and GMR-Gal4 were acquired from the Bloomington Stock Center. \textit{Mj85b-Gal4} was a gift from Prof. Leslie C. Griffith (Brandeis University, Waltham, MA), and \textit{hdc}\textsuperscript{193} flies were from Prof. Erich Buchner (Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany). Flies were raised at 18°C in a 12 h dark-light cycle. Female flies were reared at the permissive temperature, 18°C. Two different types of \textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} generated by crossing each insertion line to a Canton-S double balancer stock (\textit{Fm6/Fm7 h; If/CyO}) over two generations to produce a balanced \textit{Mj85b-Gal4/FM7 h; If/CyO} and \textit{FM6/FM7 h; UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}/CyO} stocks. These stocks were then crossed to each other to produce a balanced \textit{Mj85b-}\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} stock (\textit{Mj85b-Gal4/FM7 h; UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}/CyO}).

**ERG analysis.** The influence of fast light adaptation meant that all flies kept in darkness for 30 min displayed “On”-transient sizes in traces 1–4 significantly different from each other and from the rest of the recorded traces (data not shown). It is possible that \textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} flies might release neurotransmitter only in the first traces because of the stimulation-dependent depletion of the synaptic vesicle pool (Delgado et al., 2000). Therefore, only traces 5–10 were used to obtain a representative figure for each fly or condition. The three main components of an ERG were quantified: the “On”- and “Off”-transients, corresponding to fast response waveforms of lamina cells—claimed to be LMCs (Coombe, 1986), and most likely amacrine cells that share the same histamine receptor (Zheng et al., 2006; Pantazis et al., 2008), and the slower photoreceptor component (Heisenberg, 1971; Coombe and Heisenberg, 1986). Both photoreceptors and LMCs respond to the inputs continuously, because of the tonic graded-potential synapses (feedforward and feedback) that link them (Juusola et al., 1995; Uusitaulo et al., 1995a; Zheng et al., 2006). Photoreceptors hyperpolarize and the LMCs depolarize the ERG waveform in a push-pull relationship. For bright stimuli, LMC output adapts rapidly (Juusola et al., 1995) so that only their transients overcome the large photoreceptor component. Nonetheless, the size of the photoreceptor component is further reduced by the residual sustained component in the LMC responses.

For each fly strain, 6 (\textit{n}) flies were tested. For each genotype or treatment the mean and SEM were calculated, and a Student’s test with a Bonferroni correction was conducted. The shapes of the photoreceptor response were analyzed by normalizing the ERGs at 1.78 s, the time chosen as the first point of the sustained component in dark-adapted transgenic lines, after the initial hyperpolarization of the ERG. The amplitude of the ERG at this time point is not significantly different from controls. This is important because normalizing ERG at points with marked differences in the absolute amplitude of the photoreceptor response could influence other dynamics, which in turn could be reflected in the shape of the ERG. The mean of all normalized ERGs for each genotype is shown, the SEM was omitted for clarity. The data acquisition and stimulus protocols were executed under Matlab (Mathworks: Natick, MA), using custom-written soft-
and 6 d posteclosion.

**Experimental protocol.** That phototransduction maintained responsiveness throughout the experiment (supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) to allow insertion of a sharp quartz electrode (mean SEM is shown in traces and histograms with n = 6 for each group. Age refers to days posteclosion.

**Light stimulus.** To ensure a uniform and reproducible stimulation of the eye, the light source was composed of a matrix of 100 (10 x 10) white 3 mm light-emitting diodes (LEDs) (Nichia LEDs NSPW500BS, 15,500 mcd, 20° half-width). The overall stimulus illuminance was 5.92 lumen/cm². The stimulus was composed of 50 ms of darkness, 700 ms of light, followed by 1250 ms of darkness. This cycle was flashed 10 times in consecutive square-wave pulses, the stimulus used to test the visual response after each adaptation period in each experiment (Fig. 1A). The stimulus-evoked saturating peak responses without being compromised by a prolonged depolarizing afterpotential, as measured by intracellular recordings in wild-type photoreceptors. Here, the responses followed typical adaptation dynamics, seen commonly in bright-light pulse experiments (supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The photoreceptor sensitivity (peak response) first declined and then recovered during subsequent stimulation, indicating that phototransduction maintained responsiveness throughout the experimental protocol.

**Intracellular recordings from photoreceptors in vivo.** The setup and preparation were established as previously reported (Juusola and Hardie, 2001). Briefly, a hole of ~4 x 4 ommatidia was made on the cuticle of the left eye (supplemental Fig. S5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) to allow insertion of a sharp quartz electrode (>95% filled with 3 M KCl. A reference electrode, which was placed inside the head capsule close to the ocelli, was filled with fly Ringer (Juusola and Hardie, 2001). Cells were selected with a resting potential below −60 mV and the point light source was placed to maximize the response. The light source comprised of a high-power LED (Leitz Z-Power LED P4 star, white, 100 lumens), mounted on a cardan arm system and projected by a lens through a pinhole (subtended angle 0.7°) and driven by an OptoLED (Cairn Research Ltd.). Flies were dark-adapted for a minimum of 20 min and then stimulated with either a short (10 ms) or a long light pulse (700 ms) and voltage responses of single photoreceptors recorded. See ERG analysis for equipment and software used.

**EM.** For the retina, flies were adapted to room lighting before fixation. For the photoreceptor terminals in the lamina, we replicated the conditions used in Figure 1. Flies were dark-adapted for 30 min. Following this, half of the flies were dissected and fixed using only red light. The rest of the flies were exposed to intense white light for 30 min and then dissected and fixed in the light. For this experiment, the temperature was held at 17 ± 1°C. The dissection, fixation and embedding protocols for all EM observations have been described previously (Meinertzhagen and O’Neil, 1991; Meinertzhagen, 1996). Serial 60 nm sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined at 80 kV in a Philips Tecnai 12 electron microscope. Images were captured with a Kodak Megaview II digital camera, by using Analy-SIS software (SIS). For the vesicle counts, we sampled 3 flies for each condition tested (dark/light, age, genotype), and counted 15 terminals from each fly. For the microtubule counts, we counted profiles in either 28 terminals from 6 flies that were 3 d posteclosion or in 26 terminals from 6 flies that were 9 d posteclosion.

**EM immunolabeling.** Tissue samples prepared as previously reported (Meinertzhagen, 1996) were fixed for EM in a 3% formaldehyde, 0.25% glutaraldehyde mixture in 0.1 m phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) as reported (Yasuhara et al., 2000). Sections 50–60 nm thick were collected on nickel grids covered with 0.25% PdO-formol dissolved in chloroform. The postembedding immunogold grid labeling protocol was as previously reported (Goode et al., 2004), but antigen unmasking and silver enhancement were omitted. Primary antibody (affinity-purified Ab 274), a generous gift from Prof. Mani Ramaswami (Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland), was used at a 1:20 dilution. The Ab 274 rabbit antisera was raised against a purified recombinant fusion protein generated by fusing the maltose-binding protein (MBP) to a 66 kDa fragment of Drosophila dynamin lacking the N-terminal 241 aa (lacking the GTP-binding domain) (Estes et al., 1996). Specificity has been shown by Estes et al. (1996) through Western blot and labeling at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction. Secondary antibody [10 nm gold-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L):10, BB International] was used at a dilution of 1:20. Labeled grids were stained with aqueous uranyl acetate and viewed as above to detect the subcellular localization of gold particles.

**Results.** To characterize how UAS-shi-ts1 overexpression in R1–R6 photoreceptors influences their synaptic output, we quantified neurotransmission and light-evoked photoreceptor response, while...
controlling light exposure, temperature, age and eye color. We characterized how these factors affect the photoreceptors’ output to their postsynaptic interneurons in the lamina (Hardie, 1989; Gengs et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2006; Pantazis et al., 2008). We investigated how the light-evoked response influences the slow component of the ERG, and how this in turn affects interpretation of neurotransmission dynamics. Finally, we linked the physiological changes, induced by UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup>, to the ultrastructure of photoreceptors.

**Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptor output depends on light history at 19°C**

To investigate how light exposure affects neurotransmission at permissive temperatures (19°C), we examined Drosophila which overexpress shi<sup>ts1</sup> in R1–R6. To overexpress shi<sup>ts1</sup> we used Rh1-Gal4, which uses the ninaE promoter (O’Tousa et al., 1985). We refer to their targeted expression of shi<sup>ts1</sup> as Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup>. We first examined Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptors dark-adapted for 30 min, followed by exposure to intense white light for 30 min (Fig. 1A). Prolonged light adaptation should further improve synaptic transmission in photoreceptors that overexpress UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup>. Drosophila flies overexpressing UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> to exhibit ERG responses comparable to wild type (Kitamoto, 2001), light-adapted flies were exposed to a temperature shift (31°C) and “Off”-transients, which reflect transmission to the lamina, were then compared after dark and light adaptation at 3, 6, and 9 d posteclosion (Fig. 1C,D).

**Light adaptation aids synaptic functions**

After dark adaptation, subsequent light helped to restore synaptic function in Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptors, seen as the partial return of ERG transients (Fig. 1C,D). Nevertheless, recovery in transmission appeared to be absent at 9 d posteclosion, revealing age-associated impairment. These findings suggest that at 19°C: (1) dark adaptation of Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> disrupts the functionality of dynamin-dependent endocytosis that is required to maintain normal synaptic throughput; (2) the underlying mechanisms for the decline in their transmission are similar in all the tested genotypes; and (3) light adaptation partially reverses this phenotype, but only at 3 d posteclosion, not in older flies.

**Temperature shift in light-adapted Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptors**

Insofar as the transmission defects seen after dark adaptation in Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptors were alleviated by a brief exposure to light, prolonged light adaptation should further improve synaptic transmission. To test this hypothesis and investigate whether synaptic transmission in photoreceptors that overexpress UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> could be blocked by warming, as shown previously (Kitamoto, 2001), light-adapted flies were exposed to a temperature shift (Fig. 2A). We exposed 3 and 6 d posteclosion wild type and white Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> (previously kept under bright light for 3 h) to a 30 min period of adaptation to intense white light at 19°C followed by 10 min of light at 31°C. The ability to support synaptic transmission was examined before (19°C) and after warming (31°C) by turning off the adapting light momentarily before delivering 700 ms pulses of intense white light (Fig. 2B; supplemental Fig. S1B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).
Despite these conditions, “On”-transients were similar, if not identical, to wild-type transients (Fig. 3C). Therefore, none of the insertions acting on their own impaired synaptic transmission. The only deviation was from white UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} 6 d posteclosion, which after dark adaptation at 19°C had “On”-transients reduced by \( \sim 50\% (0.93 \pm 0.21 \text{ vs } 2.04 \pm 0.20 \text{ of wild type}; \text{mean } \pm \text{SEM}; \text{ } p = 0.003 \text{, Bonferroni test; } n = 6) \). At 31°C, white UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptor responses approached the performance of wild type. These findings highlight that white has a deleterious effect on photoreceptor synaptic output (cf. Fig. 1C), an effect likely attributable to the reduced histamine in white mutants (Borycz et al., 2008). The “On”-transient delay in UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} flies (Fig. 3C), suggests a small leakage effect from the UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} insertion.

**Assessment of Gal4 and UAS insertions**
To reduce the effects of the partial activation of UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} at low temperatures while blocking neurotransmission at high temperatures, we varied the number of Rh1-Gal4 and UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} insertions (Fig. 4). Altering the number of insertions or the temperature at which the flies were raised, did not yield a workable compromise to block transmission at >31°C while keeping photoreceptors unaffected at 19°C.

**Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors show decelerated voltage responses to light**
The ERG’s slow component echoes mostly mass-activity of photoreceptors (Heisenberg, 1971), attenuated by resistance barriers in the tissue (Pantazis et al., 2008). Thus, observing the slow component allowed us to assess the general health of phototransduction (Wang and Montell, 2007; Hardie and Postma, 2008; Pantazis et al., 2008) under each stimulus condition, tested in the previous light adaptation experiment (cf. Fig. 1A).

Dark adaptation extends the time course of the Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} ERG slow component
To clarify whether UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression had affected the time course of the photoreceptor response, the ERGs recorded at 19°C (cf. Fig. 1) were normalized. After dark adaptation, we found a slower return to baseline, in both Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} and white Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} flies (Fig. 5A) but after light adaptation the return to baseline appeared similar to wild type (Fig. 5B). The slow return to baseline has been previously reported for homozygous shi\textsuperscript{ts1} mutants, which had been shifted to high temperatures (Kelly and Suzuki, 1974). The amplitude of the slow component in an ERG appeared to be smaller in homozygous mutants, which had been shifted to high temperatures (Kelly and Suzuki, 1974). Nevertheless, even without dark adaptation, white Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} neurotransmission deteriorated with age. At 6 d posteclosion, the lamina transients were severely reduced and delayed (Fig. 2C,D), resembling the recovering “On”- and “Off”-transients of 3 d posteclosion dark-adapted flies (cf. Fig. 1C,D; Dark).

Restrictive temperatures extinguish synaptic transmission in light-adapted photoreceptors
As shown previously, warming flies to 31°C appeared to block synaptic transmission from white Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors (Fig. 2C,D; 31°C). These findings confirm that UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} silences ERG transients at restrictive temperatures (Kitamoto, 2001).

**Neurotransmission from transgenic controls**
The neurotransmission reduction seen in transgenic photoreceptors may stem from the independent actions of either the Gal4 or UAS insertions. Thus, as controls, we next tested flies homozygous for a single insertion. We conditioned the wild-type and homozygous transgenic flies using increased age, darkness and warming, as each of these factors on their own was sufficient to alter severely the synaptic output of Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors. Transgenic 6 d posteclosion control flies were dark-adapted for 30 min at 19°C, ERG tested and rapidly warmed to 31°C, dark-adapted for another 10 min, and retested (Fig. 3A).
Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} flies exhibit slow photoreceptor voltage responses shown by intracellular recordings

We performed single-cell intracellular recordings from dark-adapted 3 d posteclosion Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} and wild-type flies at 19°C by opening a small “window” in the cornea (supplemental Fig. S4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Voltage responses to short light pulses (10 ms) from Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors were slower and smaller than wild type (Fig. 5C). These differences could have arisen from a slowed phototransduction sequence, from reduced feedforward and feed-back synaptic communication between photoreceptors and visual interneurons (Zheng et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2009), or from both.

To test the impact of UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} on phototransduction we compared the intracellular responses of Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors with those of blind hdc\textsuperscript{ts1} (hdc) mutants. Mutant hdc flies cannot produce histamine (Burg et al., 1993). These flies generate an ERG response purely through phototransduction, with responses that changed voltage at a rate similar to that of wild-type photoreceptors (Fig. 5C). The delayed and much slower waveforms of Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors could not arise from synaptic silence, but instead must have resulted from slowed phototransduction. Thus, UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression not only compromises the synaptic output from photoreceptors (cf. Fig. 1), but it also decelerates the phototransduction (Fig. 5C).

Slow photoreceptors evoke slow LMC responses (van Hat-erren, 1992; Juusola et al., 1995), so decelerated phototransduction must be partly responsible for the sluggish synaptic output of Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors. To clarify this effect, we recorded intracellular responses of Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} and wild-type photoreceptors to a 700 ms light pulse and compared these waveforms to our ERG results. The delay in the response termination, observed intracellularly (Fig. 5D), was small when compared with the decaying ERGs (Fig. 5A). Hence, the latter could not reflect a slowing of the individual photoreceptor responses.
Overexpression of UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} changes retinal morphology at 19°C

Wild-type photoreceptor terminals had well defined membranes and mitochondria (Fig. 7A). Conversely, \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} terminals contained novel electron-dense profiles (Fig. 7B). These profiles matched those found previously after overexpressing UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} with GMR-Gal4 (Sun, 1998). In agreement with Sun (1998), we confirmed that these profiles were bundles of coated microtubules, based on the following criteria. When cut in longitudinal plane, each coated microtubule appeared dark, with a surrounding electron-dense coat (Fig. 7C). R1–R6 terminals from flies at 9 d posteclosion showed bundles of cross-linked microtubules akin to those reported from \textit{in vitro} experiments (Shipetner and Vallee, 1989) (Fig. 7D). In contrast, uncoated microtubules seen in LMCs (Fig. 7E), had an average diameter of 24.19 ± 0.40 nm (mean ± SEM; \(n = 27\)), as seen previously (Friedman, 1971). The bundling of microtubules became extensive with age. The number of microtubules per \(\mu\text{m}^2\) in the terminals of \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} in 3 d posteclosion was 15.44 ± 4.07 and in 9 d posteclosion was 155.04 ± 41.33 (\(n = 28\) or 26 terminals, respectively). Coated microtubules were not observed in wild-type terminals. In many cases the coated microtubules occupied the entire profile of 9 d posteclosion photoreceptor terminals (cf. Fig. 7B; supplemental Fig. S5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In addition, mitochondrial profiles in 9 d posteclosion terminals were enlarged and vacuolated, and appeared typical of apoptotic neurons (cf. Fig. 7B).

In contrast to mitochondria in the terminals of \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} photoreceptors at 9 d posteclosion, the cell bodies had healthy mitochondria (Fig. 6B). We take this observation to indicate that widespread microtubule bundling in the axon interferes with the normal function of a neuron, preventing the proper transport of.

This sluggish transmission, observed as the decrease of “On”-transients, could arise from weak voltage and calcium changes, which fail to drive exocytosis normally (Juusola et al., 1996); reduced vesicle availability or proximity to the release site; or direct alterations to vesicle transport processes. We addressed some of these alternatives by ultrastructural examination.

Overexpression of UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} changes photoreceptor morphology at 19°C

To examine the ultrastructure corresponding to the conditions under which ERG recordings were made (Fig. 1A), we first exposed 3 and 9 d posteclosion wild type and \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} to either 30 min of dark adaptation, or to 30 min of dark adaptation followed by 30 min of light adaptation, at 18 ± 1°C.

Photoreceptor cell body and rhabdomere shape are altered

One striking phenotype observed in 3 d posteclosion \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} was the presence of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) in the photoreceptor cell bodies (Fig. 6A,B). By day 9, the photoreceptors were enlarged to the extent that their rhabdomeres touched. Additionally, MVBs were found in almost every photoreceptor (Fig. 6C). Further, \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} flies raised at 25°C as a control treatment showed apoptotic R1–R6, as previously reported (Acharya et al., 2003), and contained MVBs and distorted rhabdomeres. In contrast, R7/R8 had well formed and positioned rhabdomeres (Fig. 6D), consistent with being spared from the \textit{Rh1}-driven overexpression of \textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} that occurred exclusively in R1–R6. Given the physiological changes in synaptic transmission in \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}}, we searched for morphological changes in their photoreceptor terminals.

Lamina axons of R1–R6 contain coated microtubules and vacuolated mitochondria

Wild-type photoreceptor terminals had well defined membranes and mitochondria (Fig. 7A). Conversely, \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} terminals contained novel electron-dense profiles (Fig. 7B). These profiles matched those found previously after overexpressing UAS-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1} with GMR-Gal4 (Sun, 1998). In agreement with Sun (1998), we confirmed that these profiles were bundles of coated microtubules, based on the following criteria. When cut in longitudinal plane, each coated microtubule appeared dark, with a surrounding electron-dense coat (Fig. 7C). R1–R6 terminals from flies at 9 d posteclosion showed bundles of cross-linked microtubules akin to those reported from \textit{in vitro} experiments (Shipetner and Vallee, 1989) (Fig. 7D). In contrast, uncoated microtubules seen in LMCs (Fig. 7E), had an average diameter of 24.19 ± 0.40 nm (mean ± SEM; \(n = 27\)), as seen previously (Friedman, 1971). The bundling of microtubules became extensive with age. The number of microtubules per \(\mu\text{m}^2\) in the terminals of \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} in 3 d posteclosion was 15.44 ± 4.07 and in 9 d posteclosion was 155.04 ± 41.33 (\(n = 28\) or 26 terminals, respectively). Coated microtubules were not observed in wild-type terminals. In many cases the coated microtubules occupied the entire profile of 9 d posteclosion photoreceptor terminals (cf. Fig. 7B; supplemental Fig. S5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In addition, mitochondrial profiles in 9 d posteclosion terminals were enlarged and vacuolated, and appeared typical of apoptotic neurons (cf. Fig. 7B).

In contrast to mitochondria in the terminals of \textit{Rh1-\textit{shi}\textsuperscript{ts1}} photoreceptors at 9 d posteclosion, the cell bodies had healthy mitochondria (Fig. 6B). We take this observation to indicate that widespread microtubule bundling in the axon interferes with the normal function of a neuron, preventing the proper transport of.
organelles to the synaptic terminal and consequently perhaps also affecting synaptic transmission. It appears that to keep axonal transport functional, Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptors progressively accrete additional microtubules, because these clearly outnumber those seen in control terminals (Fig. 7A,B).

Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptor terminals contain fewer vesicles than wild type

In Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> terminals 3 d posteclosion, there were significantly fewer vesicle profiles than in wild type, but their numbers did not differ between dark- and light adaptation (Fig. 7F). The dense packing of microtubule bundles in most Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> terminals at 9 d posteclosion left little space for synaptic vesicles, which consequently were not counted.

Immunogold labeling confirms that the microtubule coat is dynamin

Given that dynamin behaves as a microtubule-associated protein (MAP) in vitro (Shpetner and Vallee, 1989), we investigated whether the electron-dense microtubule coating was overexpressed dynamin (Fig. 8A–G). We directed an antibody against dynamin, using a postembedding immunogold method. Gold particles were distributed near the membranes and capitate projections of wild type and Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> terminals (Fig. 8A–D). In addition, gold particles tagged the cytoplasmic space close to coated microtubules in many Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> terminals (Fig. 8C–G), in a pattern not observed in wild type. Adjacent LMC profiles, which acted as an internal control, lacked such cytoplasmic labeling, confirming the specificity of gold labeling to sites with dynamin overexpression (Fig. 8E). At high magnification, gold particles were observed adjacent to coated microtubules and near the filaments that extended from microtubules (Fig. 8G).

Microtubule coat is present in other neurons when UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> is overexpressed

To test whether the interaction between overexpressed UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> and microtubules is exclusive to photoreceptor cells, we targeted UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> to a selection of lamina cells with the driver MJ85b-Gal4 (Joiner and Griffith, 1997). TEM sectioning revealed extensive microtubule bundling in L3 and other unidentified neurons (tentatively amacrine or T1 profiles) (Fig. 9A,B), which extend thin spines between photoreceptor cells (Fig. 9C).

Discussion

We have shown that, at 19°C, transgenic photoreceptors that overexpress UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> display anomalous synaptic transmission when dark-adapted or aged. Even at previously reported permissive temperatures (Kitamoto, 2001), overexpressing UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> in photoreceptors causes a significant deceleration in phototransduction, a significant reduction of neurotransmitter release and severe alterations in cell shape when compared with wild type. At 19°C, the induced morphological changes in Rh1-shi<sup>ts1</sup> photoreceptors included: widespread vacuolation of mitochondrial profiles, significantly reduced numbers of vesicles, and photoreceptors filled with dynamin-coated microtubules. The physiological and morphological changes shown here seem to extend to changes in behavior, insofar as Keller (2002) reported a significant drop in visual performance in flies overexpressing UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> at 19°C in photoreceptors R1–R8 (GMR-Gal4).

When we replicated the conditions used by Kitamoto (2001) and recorded ERGs from light-adapted flies at 3 d posteclosion, we also obtained transientless ERGs at 31°C. However, at 19°C dark adaptation and age made significant changes to phototransduction and neurotransmission not found by Kitamoto (2001). We also showed that the onset of these phenotypic changes is due to changes in behavior, insofar as Keller (2002) reported a significant drop in visual performance in flies overexpressing UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> at 19°C in photoreceptors R1–R8 (GMR-Gal4).
number of transgenic insertions. We failed to achieve such a phenotype, possibly because dynamin has an intrinsic thermo-labile nature (Grant et al., 1998) rather than a discrete switch mechanism.

**Mutant dynamin overexpression decelerates phototransduction at 19°C**

Intracellular recordings from photoreceptors showed that phototransduction was slowed by UAS-\(shi^{ts1}\) overexpression after dark adaptation. This finding suggests that UAS-\(shi^{ts1}\) overexpression might compete with or hinder endocytic or translocation-dependent reactions in phototransduction, such as \(Rh1\), trpl, Arr1 or Arr2 cycles for the normal initiation and termination of light-evoked responses (Satoh and Ready, 2005; Frechter and Minke, 2006; Hardie and Postma, 2008). Decelerated phototransduction would in turn slow down the rate of transmitter release (van Hateren, 1992; Juusola et al., 1995). After dark adaptation, the return to baseline of the \(Rh1-shi^{ts1}\) ERG was slowed at 19°C, as also documented in \(shi^{ts1}\) mutants shifted to 28°C (Kelly and Suzuki, 1974). The reasons for such a delay are elusive. Possibly the altered neurotransmission resulting in small and delayed “Off”-transients integrates with the slow component of the waveform, changing the shape of the ERG. Alternatively, overexpressing UAS-\(shi^{ts1}\) could in some way alter the metabolic homeostasis in the retina. Increased extracellular K\(^+\) concentrations depolarizes slow responding pigment cells in the bee retina (Coles and Orkand, 1983), for example, so that increased K\(^+\) concentrations may contribute in the ERG to a delayed return to baseline.
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**Figure 8.** Immunogold labeling confirms that the microtubule coat is dynamin. A, Wild-type terminal showing 10 nm gold immunolabelling in proximity to the membrane, indicated by open arrows and capitate projections (asterisk). B, High magnification of A. C, Photoreceptor terminal from \(Rh1-shi^{ts1}\), which in addition to labeling near capitate projections (open arrows), has labeling in the cytoplasm near coated microtubules (solid arrow). D, High magnification of C. E, Terminal from \(Rh1-shi^{ts1}\) showing labeling of oblique coated microtubules in the cytoplasm. Note that adjacent LMC profiles do not have cytoplasmic labeling, thus confirming the specificity of gold labeling to overexpressed \(shi^{ts1}\) dynamin. Scale (A–E), 200 nm. F, G, High magnification of labeled oblique (F) and cross-section of coated (G) microtubules (solid arrow) showing that labeling extends to filaments adjacent (open arrow). Scale (F–G), 100 nm.
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**Figure 9.** Microtubule coat is present in other neurons when UAS-\(shi^{ts1}\) is overexpressed. A, MJ85b-\(shi^{ts1}\) lamina cartridge showing coated microtubules (arrows) in lamina cells and not in photoreceptor terminals (R). Scale, 1 \(\mu\)m. B, Close-up of coated microtubules in a lamina cell. Scale, 0.2 \(\mu\)m. C, Lamina cell spine packed with coated microtubules. Scale, 0.5 \(\mu\)m.
Mutant dynamin overexpression changes photoreceptor shape

UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression at 19°C induced enlarged photoreceptor cell bodies, noticeable by their reduced inter-rhabdometric space, which resemble changes previously reported in HRTA cells induced to express the active dynamin mutant form ele1 for 24 h (Damke et al., 1994). Furthermore, large numbers of multivesicular bodies were observed, a phenotype reported for a mutant with defective endocytic machinery and neurotransmitter recycling (Dermaut et al., 2005). For many published behavioral assays, flies overexpressing UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} are commonly reared at a reported permissive temperature of 25°C. However, when UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} is overexpressed in photoreceptors, and flies are reared at 23°C (Acharya et al., 2003) or at 25°C (as in this study), the effects of dynamin overexpression are cell lethal, and result in apoptosis.

Dynamin coats and cross-links microtubules

The action of shi\textsuperscript{ts1} in photoreceptors has been widely interpreted in terms of its effects on endocytosis (Fabian-Fine et al., 2003). Although shi\textsuperscript{ts1}’s role in endocytosis (Kosaka and Ikeda, 1983) has overshadowed dynamin’s other actions in Drosophila, dynamin was in fact initially discovered as a MAP (Vallee, 1992). Thus, the interaction between dynamin and microtubules has long been known to occur in vitro (Shpetner and Vallee, 1989), but to our knowledge dynamin has not previously been shown to coat and cross-link microtubules in living cells. The microtubules we find decorated with dynamin are a hallmark of UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression in the terminals of Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors. We also confirmed that shi\textsuperscript{ts1} can induce microtubule bundling in other lamina neurons.

Cross-linked microtubules, which aggregate into bundles, are a distinctive feature of many neurons; for example in the “tubular body”, a dendritic specialization necessary for transduction in the wild-type campaniform mechanoreceptors of Drosophila (Toh, 1985). Initial axonal segments of multipolar neurons (Palay et al., 1968; Westrum and Gray, 1976) are likewise recognized by the presence of cross-linked microtubules. The protein causing this structural phenotype in mammalian neurons has not been reported.

Our results demonstrate that shi\textsuperscript{ts1} can indeed act as a MAP, and induce microtubule bundling in vivo. Although the bundling of microtubules seen in the axon and terminals of Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} photoreceptors incorporates a dynamin coat, and thus appears to result from shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression, the exact wild-type location of the dynamin-microtubule interaction is unknown. It is possible that only cells that require most cytoskeletal support, or place particular demands on axoplasmic transport, translocate MAPs in sufficient concentration to bundle their microtubules in specific locations. Even when dynamin is expressed at high concentrations, the presence of GTP may prevent dynamin self assembly around microtubules, as shown in membrane-bead preparations (Pucadyil and Schmid, 2008). It is feasible that the reduced GTPase activity induced by a single nucleotide change in the GTP-binding domain of shi\textsuperscript{ts1} (Grant et al., 1998), mimics low GTP availability. If this were the case, the self assembly of dynamin and cross-linking of microtubules observed in Rh1-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} terminals is in accordance with the suggestion that without GTP, self assembled dynamin is in a “kinetically trapped state” (Pucadyil and Schmid, 2008). Furthermore, the shi\textsuperscript{ts1} gene has seven splice variants (Chen et al., 1991) the intracellular location of which may not be uniform. Splice variation could be important, because another MAP protein, tau (MAPt), causes microtubule bundling in vitro, but only when specific splice variants are used and only at high concentrations (Scott et al., 1992).

Dynamin-microtubule interactions may be involved in functions other than microtubule bundling or endocytosis, such as sorting of vesicular cargo. In mammals, dynamin 2 associates with the Golgi apparatus (Maier et al., 1996). More recently, dynamin 2 has been shown to be necessary for maintaining dynamic instability of microtubules and to form mature Golgi complexes (Tanabe and Takei, 2009). Given that Drosophila has a single dynamin isoform, one of the shi\textsuperscript{ts1} splice variants could take on a similar role to that of dynamin 2. It is conceivable that the microtubule interaction here described for shi\textsuperscript{ts1} may be crucial for dynamin-like proteins (DLPs). DLPs, which possess a GTPase domain and can self assemble but lack the pleckstrin homology domain and the proline rich domain, are involved in a multitude of processes related to membrane shape (Hinsshaw, 2000; Praefcke and McMahon, 2004). For example, DLP1 is necessary for mitochondrial fission (Yu et al., 2005) and has also been shown to localize to vesicles that coalign with microtubules and the endoplasmic reticulum (Yoon et al., 1998).

Although the endocytotic function of the shi\textsuperscript{ts1} homozygous mutant is altered at 19°C, as shown by the reduced number of vesicles in its R1–R6 terminals (Macintosh, 1996), it must be emphasized that bundled microtubules have not been found in the photoreceptor terminals of the shi\textsuperscript{ts1} mutant (Macintosh, 1996). Additionally, Zheng et al. (2006) performed intracellular recordings of shi\textsuperscript{ts1} mutant photoreceptors and reported wild-type-like responses at 19°C and reversible silencing of neurotransmission at 29°C. We therefore suggest that it is the high levels of dynamin overexpression, in common with its mutant structure, which together overcome the normal function of wild-type dynamin, and caution against uncritical use of the UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} transgene to interrupt synaptic function reversibly. In graded neurons with high levels of neurotransmitter release (Juusola et al., 1995; Uusitalo et al., 1995b; Juusola et al., 1996), high levels of shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression may be required to block neurotransmission but may potentially cause apoptosis. In comparison, low overexpression of shi\textsuperscript{ts1} in presynaptic spiking neurons may prevent action potential generation in postsynaptic cells without completely blocking the synapse. In either case, however, optimal synapse control may be difficult to achieve. G4 drivers are in fact notorious for the wide range of intensity in their expression between different lines; the remaining elements of the neural network, that lack shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression, may moreover reroute and reshape neural communication to compensate for any altered function in the Ga4-shi\textsuperscript{ts1}-expressing neuron (Váhásöyörinki et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2006; Nikolaev et al., 2009). Furthermore, it would be paramount to confirm, with a physiological diagnostic, that shi\textsuperscript{ts1} overexpression prevents photoreceptor degeneration induced by shi\textsuperscript{ts1} (Acharya et al., 2003), providing one avenue to spare the UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} generated defects in endocytosis at low temperatures.

We conclude that the use of UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} in photoreceptors and lamina neurons of Drosophila at 19°C causes nonreversible phenotypes and confirms an additional action of dynamin in microtubule binding. Our results indicate that careful review on the toxicity and secondary effects caused by overexpressing mutant dynamin is needed.
References


Meirnertzhagen IA, O’Neil SD (1991) Synaptic organization of columnar


