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ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING OF PHYSICAL ASSETS BY USING CERAMIC MULTICOMPONENT EXTRA-TERRESTRIAL MATERIALS

Athanasios Goulas¹, Russell A. Harris¹, Ross J. Friel¹,

¹Wolfson School of Mechanical & Manufacturing Engineering,
Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Powder Bed Fusion (PBF) is a range of advanced manufacturing technologies that can fabricate three-dimensional assets directly from CAD data, on a successive layer-by-layer strategy by using thermal energy, typically from a laser source, to irradiate and fuse particles within a powder bed.

The aim of this paper was to investigate the application of this advanced manufacturing technique to process ceramic multicomponent materials into 3D layered structures. The materials used matched those found on the Lunar and Martian surfaces. The indigenous extra-terrestrial Lunar and Martian materials could potentially be used for manufacturing physical assets onsite (i.e. off-world) on future planetary exploration missions and could cover a range of potential applications including: infrastructure, radiation shielding, thermal storage, etc.

Two different simulants of the mineralogical and basic properties of Lunar and Martian indigenous materials were used for the purpose of this study and processed with commercially available laser additive manufacturing equipment. The results of the laser processing were investigated and quantified through mechanical hardness testing, optical
and scanning electron microscopy, x-ray fluorescence spectroscopy, thermo-gravimetric analysis, spectrometry, and finally x-ray diffraction.

The research resulted in the identification of a range of process parameters that resulted in the successful manufacture of three-dimensional components from Lunar and Martian ceramic multicomponent simulant materials. The feasibility of using thermal based additive manufacturing with multi-component ceramic materials has therefore been established, which represents a potential solution to off-world bulk structure manufacture for future human space exploration.

1 – INTRODUCTION

Mankind has always envisioned conquering space, “the final frontier” as acclaimed by many science fiction novelists and aspiring scientists. Setting the first human in orbit around Earth was only the beginning (1); a few years later a number of manned and unmanned missions had managed to establish human presence on the moon (2), land robotic missions on planet Mars (3,4) and, very recently, visit an actual comet (67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko) (5). Although a reduction in manned space missions has been the status quo for the past few decades, it now seems that a new age of space exploration is at the doorstep and major space agencies have announced their plans towards realising this new age by gradually re-advancing from Low Earth Orbit (LEO) missions to the Moon and later onto the planet Mars (6,7).

The benefits of establishing a human outpost off-world (i.e. the Moon) are multifold, with the most valuable asset being the gathering of data and attaining knowledge on off-world survival with the chance to develop strategies to retain human presence in space and
reach even further to other planetary bodies (i.e. Mars). In order for this step of human colonisation to become a reality, engineers back on earth are assigned the highly challenging task in finding efficient and cost-effective ways to build structures that will be able to support life and scientific activity whilst resisting Martian/Lunar environmental threats such as micro-meteoroid impacts, solar and cosmic radiation, intense temperature loads, etc. Numerous studies are available in the literature proposing and engineering ways in fabricating habitats by either dwelling caves underneath the surface or via the concept of In-Site Resource Utilisation (ISRU) to use indigenous materials, readily available onsite to build such habitat structures (8–10).

Additive manufacturing, also known as 3D Printing, is a novel range of advanced manufacturing techniques, that in combination with the ISRU concept could serve as a feasible solution to this significant off-world engineering challenge. To date only a limited number of research studies have been performed under this topic and most of the proposed approaches are facing significant challenges prior to any potential adoption (9,11–16).

In this paper a PBF process with a thermal energy source was investigated as to its potential as an off-world manufacturing solution to the aforementioned challenges. The PBF process was used to attempt to fuse together particulates of ceramic multicomponent materials that simulated Lunar and Martian regolith.

Although “regolith” is a terrestrial term, it is used to denote Lunar and Martian soil; soil is a pedogenic term used only in reference to Earth’s geotechnical material (17) and refers to a complex layer of unconsolidated rock debris that have been derived by the fragmentation of the bedrock due to minor meteoritic impacts on the Lunar and Martian surfaces (18).
2 – MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 – Materials

The research presented, was carried out using commercially available simulants, developed in coordination with the Johnson Space Center at NASA and supplied by Orbital Technologies Corporation, Colorado, USA. The Lunar simulant JSC-1A has been characterized as a crushed basalt, rich in glass and oxidized forms of silicon, aluminum, iron, calcium and magnesium (19), with a composition matching actual lunar soil, but containing less material complexities due to it not having been exposed to “space weathering” conditions such as those explained in the introduction. (20).

The Martian simulant material JSC-Mars-1A consists mainly of palagonitic tephra (weathered volcanic ashes) and was mined on Earth in a cinder cone in Hawaii. The specific material was chosen due to the spectral similarities to the bright regions of Mars as measured by X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) and Alpha Particle X-ray Spectrometer (APXS) analyses performed onboard the Viking landers, Mars and Spirit Rover. (21–23).

Although an accurate representation of the material gathered by Martian landers, it is important to note that the simulant material is not a de-facto standard (i.e. the whole of the Martian surface is not made of the same material) and therefore this work is specifically focusing on build material that is available at the various Martian lander sites. The following Table 1 consists of a representation of the chemistry present in both simulants.
Table 1 – Composition (Wt.%) of the major constituents in the JSC-1A Lunar Regolith Simulant and JSC-MARS-1 Martian Regolith Simulant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Compound</th>
<th>Lunar Regolith Simulant (Orbitec, 2005)</th>
<th>Martian Regolith Simulant (Orbitec, 2008)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide ($\text{SiO}_2$)</td>
<td>46 - 49</td>
<td>34.5 – 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide ($\text{TiO}_2$)</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td>3 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Oxide ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$)</td>
<td>14.5 – 15.5</td>
<td>18.5 – 23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric Oxide ($\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$)</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td>9 – 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Oxide ($\text{FeO}$)</td>
<td>7 – 7.5</td>
<td>2.5 – 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide ($\text{MgO}$)</td>
<td>8.5 – 9.5</td>
<td>2.5 – 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide ($\text{CaO}$)</td>
<td>10 – 11</td>
<td>5 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide ($\text{Na}_2\text{O}$)</td>
<td>2.5 – 3</td>
<td>2 – 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide ($\text{K}_2\text{O}$)</td>
<td>0.75 – 0.85</td>
<td>0.5 – 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese Oxide ($\text{MnO}$)</td>
<td>0.15 – 0.20</td>
<td>0.2 – 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium III Oxide ($\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$)</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphosphorus Pentoxide ($\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$)</td>
<td>0.6 – 0.7</td>
<td>0.7 – 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 - Manufacturing Equipment

Manufacturing experimentation was conducted using commercial AM equipment, a Realizer SLM100A Selective Laser Melting (SLM) machine (Realizer GmbH, Bорchen, Germany). The SLM100A carries on board an Ytterbium fiber laser manufactured by IPG Photonics (IPG Photonics Corp., Oxford, USA) producing laser radiation of 1.06 – 1.09 μm wavelength, with a standard TEM$_{00}$ Gaussian profile beam, of 50 W maximum power output and a 30 – 300 μm spot size. All manufactured samples were built on a mild steel substrate plate that was available with the machine together with applying constant heating at 200°C. The following Table 2 states the process parameters used during the experimental procedure. An experimental study was followed in order for a suitable process parameter window to be identified that would allow the successful fabrication of multiple layered structures. The feedstock Martian material was screened in order to
match the equipment’s requirements, from a \( d \, (0.5):350 \, \mu m \) that corresponds to the as received powder particle size, to a \( d \, (0.5):70 \, \mu m \), as measured through laser diffraction. A laser beam spot size of \( \varnothing80 \, \mu m \) was used and a minimum layer thickness of 150 \( \mu m \) was selected based on previous empirical data, in order to account for the poor packing density of the feedstock material due to its angular shape; as shown in the SEM images in Figure 2.

\[
\text{Table 2 – Process parameters used during the experimental procedure.}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser</td>
<td>Near-IR (NIR) Ytterbium Fibre Laser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (W)</td>
<td>5 – 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (( \mu m ))</td>
<td>1.06 – 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of Laser Spot (( \mu m ))</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Distance (( \mu m ))</td>
<td>30 - 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatching Space (( \mu m )) – (Overlap %)</td>
<td>52 – 80 (35% – 0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure Time (( \mu sec ))</td>
<td>100 – 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of Powder Layer (( \mu m ))</td>
<td>150 - 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity (( mm/s ))</td>
<td>Point Distance/Exposure Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Argon Atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of substrate (( ^{\circ}C ))</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate material</td>
<td>Mild steel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 - Analytical Methods

A Hitachi TM 3030 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (Hitachi High-Technologies Europe GmbH, Krefeld, Germany) was utilized for surface morphology, internal porosity and material microstructure analysis of the laser melted and raw material samples. XRF spectroscopy (Orbis PC Micro-XRF Elemental Analyzer, EDAX, Mahwah, USA) equipped with an X-ray source of monochromatic Rh K\( \alpha \) radiation (30 \( \mu m \) aperture, 30 kV, 1000 \( \mu A \))
and a 25 μm Al filter was used to determine the change in elemental composition of the materials after laser processing. All results reported are normalized to 100%.

The manufactured samples were prepared for SEM via a standard metallography technique on a Buehler AutoMet 250 (Buehler Inc., USA). They were mounted in a Buehler EpoxyThin 2 clear epoxy resin and ground using a SiC paper from P320 to P4000 grit size. Following grinding, polishing was carried out using a polishing cloth and 1 μm diamond paste. Water was used as a cooling and lubricating liquid during the sample preparation. Prior to SEM, the samples were sputter coated using a Gold/Palladium alloy on a 40 seconds exposure time at 20mA charge.

In order to quantify the relative porosity of the fabricated samples and the feedstock material, SEM imaging of the cross-sectioned samples was used. The acquired images were processed and binarized using a threshold value as shown in Figure 1. The relative porosity was calculated as a ratio of the black to white pixels which corresponded with the fraction of the surface voids over the total surface (24).

To test the hardness of the manufactured samples the Vickers micro-indentation method was used in accordance with the ASTM C1327 standard and measured with a Struers Durascan 70 (EMCOtest, Kuchl, Austria). A Vickers diamond pyramid indenter was used with a 200 g load for a 15 second dwell time. Hardness values were measured from 12 different locations on the built samples in order to acquire a range of hardness values across the laser processed areas. A total of 6 samples from each regolith material were used.
Thermal analysis of the Lunar and Martian regolith simulants was conducted using Thermo-Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) in order to determine the presence of volatile compounds. TGA measurements were conducted with a TA Instruments Q5000-IR (TA Instruments, Inc., Elstree, UK), in an Argon inert gas environment, at a heating rate of 10 °C/min, from room temperature up to 1000 °C.

The light absorbance characteristics of the regolith simulants were investigated in a wide wavelength range and also at the operating wavelength of the laser system used (1.07 – 1.09 µm). Visible to near-IR (NIR) spectra were obtained using a Jenway 6105 UV/Visible (Jenway Ltd., Felsted, UK) spectrophotometer and far IR spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu FTIR-8400S (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) spectrophotometer. Measurements were taken at room temperature using powder samples screened through a laboratory sieve of 125 µm apertures.
Finally, the change in crystallinity or the presence of amorphous content in the laser processed samples was determined through the use of X-Ray Diffraction (XRD). XRD patterns were obtained using a Bruker D2 Phaser X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2000), fitted with a 1-dimensional Lynxeye detector and using Ni filtered Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda=1.542$ Å) run at 30 kV and 10 mA. Patterns were recorded from 15 – 100° 2θ, using a step size of 0.01 and an equivalent time per step of 5 seconds. All data was processed using Bruker’s proprietary software, DIFFRAC.EVA 3.1 (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2000) and evaluated by comparison to the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) Powder Diffraction Files.

![Figure 2 – Examples of binary processed SEM images of Lunar (a-b) and Martian (c-d) materials.](image)

**Figure 2 – Examples of binary processed SEM images of Lunar (a-b) and Martian (c-d) materials.**

### 3 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A previous experimental study, mainly focused on building structures out of lunar regolith simulant, gave a process parameters window and a laser energy density range of 0.9 – 1 J/mm$^2$ where samples with no macroscopic defects were built (25). This paper covers a
significantly extended and deepened study by exploring the additive manufacturing potential of ceramic multicomponent materials mimicking not only Lunar soil but also Martian soil. A combination of process parameters within the parameter limits (Table 2), revealed a range of energy densities between 2.5 – 4 J/mm² where sufficient fusion was evident between the particulates of the material. Laser energy less than 2.5 J/mm² was proven not to be adequate to achieve sufficient fusion between the feedstock material particles and further energy increase caused the material to evaporate, as witnessed during processing. However during laser scanning of the first few layers, the material evaporated even in the lowest attempted settings, because of the poor absorption of the laser beam; thus indicating that a larger layer thickness should be chosen.

Porosity analysis (Figure 1) of the fabricated samples from both materials revealed lowest values of 40% porous for the Lunar material (1.01 J/mm² energy density used) and 59% porous for the Martian material (2.8 J/mm² energy density used). In both materials the reduction of porosity was correlated to the increase of the given laser energy until a saturation point was reached.

3.1 - Hardness Measurements

The Lunar regolith samples exhibited a relatively high hardness of 660 ± 8 HV, and even higher 710 ± 30 HV for the Martian samples. Both are comparable to that of soda lime glass, 545 ± 20 HV a typical hard and glassy ceramic material used in many engineering applications.
3.2 - Elemental Analysis

The following Figure 3 consists of a representation of the element concentrations of the build material prior and post laser processing. Both materials exhibited a reduction in the element concentration which is due to the low melting and decomposition temperatures of the mineral compounds, thus resulting in evaporation; this result is in line with previously gathered and published data by the authors (25).

*Figure 3 – Elemental concentrations (Wt.%) between Additively Manufactured Lunar (left) and Martian (right) regolith samples and raw material powders acquired via X-Ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy (XRF).*
3.3 - Optical Properties

In powder bed fusion the laser beam partially penetrates the irradiated material through naturally occurring pores and/or the inter-granular gaps; a result of poor packing density of the angular particles of the simulants. Thus the laser interacts with the particles below the top powder layer, leading to particle re-arrangement and non-uniformity that changes the absorbance characteristics of the material (26). Absorbance is a dimensionless number defined as the ratio of the absorbed radiation to the incident radiation. Investigation into the optical characteristics of the regolith simulants was performed to identify and optimise processing parameters, such as the required energy density, for each material to be processed by the laser system. The greater the absorbance value of a material the less energy input is needed for it to be adequately fused and to avoid unwanted implications (i.e. vaporization). Figure 4 depicts the absorbance values of the regolith simulants throughout the visible to NIR spectrum.

Both feedstock powder materials have exhibited absorbance values of 0.9 for the Lunar and 0.6 for the Martian simulant, at the ytterbium fibre laser operating wavelength (1.06 – 1.09 μm). This result revealed that the specific type of laser used was suitable to be used as it is better absorbed by both materials and less energy density input will be required in order to achieve sufficient consolidation between the particles of the feedstock material. However a small and unquantified deviation is expected in the experimental results due to the presence of CO₂ and water vapour in the laboratory ambient air that are known to absorb a portion of the NIR radiation. It is suggested that absorption characteristics will be improved when laser processing takes place in a vacuum or inert environment. An
additional investigation for the purpose of employing an IR CO$_2$ laser was conducted; however both materials exhibited a highly reflective behaviour when being irradiated with higher wavelengths (i.e. 10.6 μm); CO$_2$ laser, as also shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4](image)

*Figure 4 – Absorbance of regolith simulants from the visible to the NIR spectrum (left) and IR (right) spectra.*

A closer look at the wavelength range corresponding to visible light (0.4 – 0.7 μm) showed relatively higher absorption values for both materials when compared to the NIR (1.07 – 1.09 μm) and IR (10.57 – 10.63 μm) wavelength. These results suggested that an alternative energy solution to NIR laser may be possible which may aid any future application and development of the technology for off-world situations.

3.4 - Thermal Analysis

TGA of the lunar simulant did not reveal any significant mass losses suggesting that the material does not contain any volatile constituent (below 1000 °C). A small mass gain of
0.8% though was evident and it can be explained due to the oxidation reactions of Iron Oxide compounds that occur under laser processing conditions as previous research suggests (27). The minimum weight value of 99.96% at the temperature of 323 °C suggests the complete evaporation of the moisture attached to the particles of the lunar simulant when exposed to atmosphere. Results on the Martian regolith simulant, revealed a more significant mass loss of 30.18 % until the highest recorded temperature of 963 °C. The graph displayed a continuous trend of mass loss throughout the run but due to limitations of the equipment there was no data past that point. A further look at the mass loss profile revealed an initial volatile melt in the 120 – 325 °C range and past that point continuous melting and decomposition of the material. It has been suggested that the mass losses are dominated by the water content and potentially sulphur dioxide (21).

Finally, an overlay of the TGA curves of both materials is shown in Figure 5 and clearly shows the volatile behaviour of the Martian simulant as opposed to the Lunar, thus revealing the issues (poor sintering/melting behaviour) that came forward during the laser melting experiments where the material was evaporating throughout a large range of laser energy density inputs.

Overall results suggest that the Martian material is less stable in regards to thermal input when compared to the Lunar one, thus making it much more difficult to process since a significant portion will evaporate under any given thermal input.
3.5 - X-Ray Diffraction

*Figure 6* depicts the X-Ray diffraction patterns acquired from both the un-processed (RAW MATERIAL) and the laser processed lunar regolith simulant (3D PRINTED) accordingly. No significant difference between the identified crystalline phases was shown, since phases of Forsterite-rich Olivine, Ilmenite and various Anorthite-rich Plagioclase minerals were present in both cases; before and after laser processing. However the wider and less intense peaks in the laser processed diffraction patterns suggested that there was an increase in amorphous content. The high amount of silicon
oxide (~50%) in the lunar regolith material can facilitate the formation of amorphous glass due to the high cooling rates achieved during processing, as discussed previously (11).

Figure 6 – X-Ray Diffraction patterns of un-processed (RAW MATERIAL) and laser processed (3D PRINTED) lunar regolith simulant.

4 - CONCLUSIONS

This study presented, an experimental feasibility investigation in additively manufacturing/3D printing physical assets, for off-world onsite manufacturing out of ceramic multicomponent materials via the use of thermal based powder bed fusion. The research was conducted with suitable simulants, mimicking the mineralogical properties of the indigenous materials found off-world (i.e. the Lunar and Martian surface).
A range of suitable energy density inputs was identified for each material used. An input of $0.9 - 1 \text{ J/mm}^2$ for the Lunar and $2.5 - 4 \text{ J/mm}^2$ for the Martian material could successfully fuse the simulant material into three dimensional built samples with no macroscopic defects. The optical and thermal properties of the feedstock materials were investigated, together with the mechanical properties and the difference in the element concentration of the 3D printed parts. The effect of change in crystallinity was also investigated for the Lunar material. Overall results suggest that the Lunar regolith is more appropriate for powder bed fusion additive manufacturing use than Martian regolith.

Future work in the specific area would include further process optimization towards improving built parts density and also a study into using alternative thermal power inputs.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank Dr. Keith Yendall at the Loughborough Materials Characterization Center (LMCC) for his help using X-Ray Diffraction (XRD).
REFERENCES


