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Predictive wear modeling of the articulating metal-on-metal hip replacements
Leiming Gao, Duncan Dowson and Robert W. Hewson

Abstract
The lubrication regime in which artificial hip joints operate adds complexity to the prediction of wear, as the joint operates in both the full fluid film regime—specifically the elastohydrodynamic lubrication (EHL) regime—and the mixed or boundary lubrication regimes, where contact between the bearing surfaces results in wear. In this work, a wear model is developed which considers lubrication for the first time via a transient EHL model of metal-on-metal hip replacements. This is a framework to investigate how the change in film thickness influences the wear, which is important to further investigation of the complex wear procedure, including tribocorrosion, in the lubricated hip implants. The wear model applied here is based on the work of Sharif et al. who adapted the Archard wear law by making the wear rate a function of a relative film thickness nominalized by surface roughness for examining wear of industrial gears. In this work, the gait cycle employed in hip simulator tests is computationally investigated and wear is predicted for two sizes of metal-on-metal total hip replacements. The wear results qualitatively predict the typical wear curve obtained from experimental hip simulator tests, with an initial “running-in period” before a lower wear rate is reached. The shape of the wear scar has been simulated on both the acetabular cup and the femoral head bearing surfaces.
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Introduction:
The prediction of wear in hip replacements has been a subject of intense study in recent years. Such a predictive capability has proved difficult to achieve because of the competing and complex physics at play in the artificial joint. The joint operates in both the full fluid film regime, specifically the Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication (EHL) regime, as well as the mixed or even boundary lubrication regime, where contact between the artificial femoral head and the acetabula cup leads to wearing of the opposing surfaces, a full predictive description of the problem is challenging. It is this transition from one lubrication regime to the other as well as a description of wear in the mixed lubrication regime, which presents significant challenges to the predictive modeling process.

A significant amount of research has been undertaken on the EHL of artificial hip joints.[1-13] In these models, the interaction of the fluid film pressure and the
elastically deformable head and cup is calculated to predict both the film thickness and the lubricating fluid pressure. Such studies have shown that the fluid-filled lubricating gap is at nanometer size—an extremely small gap when compared to typical engineering bearing problems, where the fluid-filled gap is typically in a range of 1–100 µm[14, 15]

Compared with the ideal spherical bearing geometry, the nonspherical geometries of bearing surfaces, which may be a result of wear, affect the gap between the cup and head surfaces (clearances) and consequently affect lubrication[16-18] and wear. The change of bearing geometry during wear resulting in a decreased contact pressure was also observed in the EHL studies of hip implants[19] such that the peak pressure was reduced and pressure was distributed more evenly on the bearing surface. However, some wear models, in which lubrication is not explicitly incorporated, predicted a constant wear rate (for a fixed wear coefficient) although they may also predict a decreased contact pressure as the bearing geometry changed during wear. This has included work using a finite-element approach to calculate the local dry contact pressure, which is then used as the basis for the wear calculations[20-26] When the Archard wear law[27] has been applied to this problem, a linear wear volume per cycle is obtained as the total force applied to the joint is the same resulting in the integration of the wear volume over the surface being constant. As such the predicted wear rate does not replicate the trends observed experimentally in the simulator tests.

The wear results obtained from artificial hip simulators typically show an initial “running in period” for approximately 1–2 million cycles, before a lower wear rate is reached (Figure 1). This “classical” wear trend[28] is followed for the majority of cases; however, there are some notable exceptions, which include cases of runaway wear and breakaway wear where a low steady wear rate may not be obtained. The reasons for these cases are possibly due to adverse loading and motion conditions and needs further study. This “classical” experimental trend has been observed in both metal-on-plastic (cobalt-chrome on UHMWPE) joints and metal-on-metal joints[29] as well as joints where one or both surfaces are ceramic. This is despite the significantly different wear rates observed for the different bearing surface materials or different gait cycles ranging from simplified patterns to more realistic physiological patterns[30-35] The role of head geometry has been examined for both total hip replacement and hip resurfacing[14, 25] with the effect of the head radius on the wear rate examined, it was shown experimentally that under conditions that avoided edge wear, a trend was shown for lower wear with larger head radius implants.
Figure 1. Representation of typical physical simulator wear results.

Wear particles and ion release attributed to metal-on-metal total hip replacements\[36, 37\] and the associated health problems\[38-40\] have resulted in the number of this type of hip replacement being used less\[41, 42\]. In the early to mid-1990s, the wear of polyethylene cups was implicated in early device failure and morbidity\[43\]. Despite the potential biocompatible issues associated with metal elements, some MoM hip implants have exhibited encouraging tribological and clinical performance.

The challenging problem of wear modeling when a fluid film is present has been investigated outside the field of artificial joint modeling. This includes the work of Sharif et al\[44\] who developed a wear model for gears. In their model, the predicted wear depends on a relative fluid film thickness nominated by surface roughness, and the sensitivity of wear rate to the relative film thickness is controlled by a power term which was obtained empirically. However, the same limitations of the Archard wear model are also present in the adapted wear model when it is applied on a conforming contact rather than a sliding point contact for which it was developed.

A similar approach is used in this work to produce the first model capable of predicting total hip replacement wear in the presence of a full fluid film. The aim of this study is to outline the framework required to simulate lubrication and wear in a hip joint, and to highlight the importance of including both of these if the “typical” wear predictions are to be replicated computationally. The model results provide the potential to apply optimization to the design of joint geometry to reduce surface wear and its resulting debris.

Wear model
Modeling of wear of MOM hip replacements which operate in a lubricated regime is clearly dependent on the film thickness. The wear rate of the implant bearing surfaces was described using an adapted Archard wear formula\cite{44} in which the effect of both fluid pressure and film thickness is considered:

\[
\text{wear rate} = k_w p v \left( \frac{R_a}{h} \right)^a \tag{1}
\]

where \(k_w\) is the dimensional wear coefficient, \(p\) is the fluid pressure, \(R_a\) is the composite surface roughness, \(h\) is the fluid film thickness, and \(v\) is the local relative sliding speed between the two surfaces.

The power term \(a\) determines how abruptly the transition to wear occurs with a decreasing film thickness and its value is based on the value obtained by Sharif et al.\cite{44}, the result of which is that the wear model does not need to change during the wear process. The effect of this power term \(a\) and film thickness on a nondimensional wear rate \([W_L/(k_wpv)]\) is illustrated (Figure 2), given the same wear coefficient, load, speed, and surface roughness. This will be discussed in the Results section. The linear wear at an arbitrary point \((i, j)\) on the bearing surfaces at the \(k^{th}\) time step in one gait cycle can be derived as

\[
W_{ij}^{L(k)} = k_w p (i) v (j) \Delta t \left( \frac{R_a}{h_{ij}} \right)^a \tag{2}
\]

where \(\Delta t\) is the discrete time step. After the calculation of all time steps \(n\), the wear depth in one cycle at point \((i, j)\) was summed as

\[
W_{ij}^{L\text{cycle}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} W_{ij}^{L(k)} \tag{3}
\]
The total wear depth at point \((i, j)\) after \(N\) cycles is calculated approximately as the number of cycles times the wear depth per cycle, if the surface profile does not change much within \(N\) cycles. After a certain number of cycles, the surface geometry changes are large enough to affect the pressure and film thickness, then the surface profile needs to be updated for the lubrication simulation, new pressures and film thicknesses are obtained and used to calculate the following cycles. As shown in Eq. (4), the wear coefficient and number of cycles (i.e., time) can be grouped together, which indicates that the wear coefficient can be "scaled" with time and make the predicted wear “independent” from the wear coefficient. This will be illustrated clearly in the results.

\[
W^{t,ij}_{\text{total}} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} W^{t,ij}_{\text{cycle}} \approx N \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ k_w p_{ij} V_{ij} \Delta t \left( \frac{R_e}{h_{ij}} \right)^\alpha \right]
\]

\[
\approx (N \cdot k_w) \sum_{k=1}^{g} p_{ij} V_{ij} \Delta t \left( \frac{R_e}{h_{ij}} \right)^\alpha
\]

Eq. (4)

The local relative sliding speed was calculated as

\[
v = \sqrt{v_0^2 + v_\phi^2}
\]

\[
\begin{cases}
  v_0 = -R \omega_x \sin \phi + R \omega_y \cos \phi \\
  v_\phi = -R \omega_x \cos \phi \cos \theta - R \omega_y \sin \phi \cos \theta + R \omega_z \sin \theta
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\phi\) and \(\theta\) are the spherical coordinates; \(\omega_x\), \(\omega_y\), \(\omega_z\) represent the angular velocities of flexion-extension, internal-external rotation, and adduction-abduction, respectively.

For each mesh point, the volumetric wear was calculated as a product of the linear wear and the area of the mesh cell with that point as vertex. The area of each mesh cell on the spherical surface was approximated as the area of a trapezoid as the curvature is small enough compared to the cup radius.

The flowchart describing the wear simulation procedure is given (Figure 3). The geometry change of bearing surfaces due to wear was updated with a fixed interval frequency of every 100,000 cycles. As will be demonstrated, this updating frequency provides independent wear results when realistic wear coefficients are applied.
Figure 3. Flowchart of wear simulation procedure.

EHL model

The Reynolds equation was used to describe the lubricated flow between the cup and head surfaces, formulated in spherical coordinates \([1, 7]\):

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left( h^3 \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \right) + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( h^3 \sin \theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \right) + \omega_3 \left( \sin \phi \sin \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} + \cos \phi \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial \phi} \right) = -6\eta R^2 \sin \theta + \omega_3 \left( \cos \phi \sin \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} - \sin \phi \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial \phi} \right) + \omega_2 \sin \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial \phi} + 12\eta R^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}
\]

\(7\)
The synovial fluid was assumed to be incompressible and have a constant viscosity $\eta$ of 0.0009 Pa s at high shear rate of approximately $10^7$ s$^{-1}$.[45, 46] The role of shear thinning fluids is, therefore, likely to have a role be important in determining the level of wear predicted, as it has been shown to play a role in dictating the minimum film thickness.[47] Other constituents of synovial fluid, such as absorbed protein layers may also play a role in EHL and wear.[48, 49] These are something which requires more investigation and may result in greater quantitative agreement between experimental results and the model presented here. Given the initial angle ($\beta$) of the inclined cup, the inlet and outlet boundaries of the lubricated domain were defined as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\theta_{in} &= 0, \quad \theta_{out} = \pi \\
\varphi_{in} &= \beta, \quad \varphi_{out} = \beta + \pi
\end{align*}$$

The hydrodynamic pressure ($p$) was assumed to be zero at both the inlet and the outlet boundaries defined by Eq. (8). The cavitation boundary condition was achieved by setting the obtained negative pressure of zero during the relaxation process in the entire calculation domain.

The film thickness ($h$) including both rigid and elastic deformation ($\delta$) between the two bearing surfaces, was calculated as

$$h(\phi, \theta) = c - e_x \sin \theta \cos \phi - e_z \sin \theta \sin \phi - e_x \cos \theta + \delta(\phi, \theta)$$

$$\delta(\phi, \theta) = \int_{\phi}^{\phi'} \int_{\theta}^{\theta'} K(\phi - \phi', \theta - \theta', \theta_m) p(\phi', \theta') d\phi d\theta$$

An equivalent spherical discrete convolution (ESDC) technique[50] and the multilevel multi-integration (MLMI)[51] were adopted to obtain the surface elastic deformation. $K$ denotes the influence coefficient of the elastic surfaces and $\theta_m$ denotes the fixed mean latitude[50].

It may be noted that the EHL solver used is able to deal with mixed lubrication conditions with zero film thickness numerically predicted at some points in the computing domain. To avoid infinite value of the exponential term ($R_\theta/h$) in the wear Eq. (1), a minimum gap was adopted.[44] In this article, the minimum gap was set as $h_0 = 20\mu$m, which is in the range of the measured boundary film layer in MoM hip replacements.[52] and the value is chosen with consideration of numerical convergence. During the iterations, if the calculated film thickness from Eq. (9) was below $h_0$ at certain point, the boundary film thickness Eq. (11) in which the film
thickness was set to 20 nm, was used to calculate the elastic deformation \( \delta \). Then the pressure at that point was calculated from the elastic deformation using Eq. (10) with pressures at all other points known.

\[ h_0 = c - e_x \sin \theta \cos \varphi - e_y \sin \theta \sin \varphi - e_z \cos \theta + \delta(\varphi, \theta) \]  

(11)

The external 3D loading components \( f_x, f_y, \) and \( f_z \) were balanced by the hydrodynamic pressure integrated with respect to the corresponding axes:

\[ f_{x,y,z} = \int_R \int_0 \int_0 P_{x,y,z} d\theta d\phi \]  

(12)

where the pressure components in three Cartesian coordinate directions are expressed as

\[ \begin{align*}
  p_x &= p \sin^2 \theta \cos \varphi \\
  p_y &= p \sin^2 \theta \sin \varphi \\
  p_z &= p \sin \theta \cos \varphi
\end{align*} \]  

(13)

The governing equations were made dimensionless to improve numerical stability and facilitate convergence, by introducing the following scaling parameters:

\[ \begin{align*}
  p &= \frac{p}{E}, H = \frac{h}{c}, \Delta = \frac{\delta}{c}, F_{x,y,z} = \frac{f_{x,y,z}}{ER^2}, \epsilon = \frac{c^2 E \cdot H^3}{6\eta R^2 \omega_0}, \\
  \Omega_{x,y,z} &= \omega_{x,y,z} / \omega_0, T = t \cdot \omega_0, \bar{e}_{x,y,z} = e_{x,y,z} / c
\end{align*} \]  

(14)

where \( \omega_0 \) can be any constant except zero. The equations were subsequently transformed into discrete forms using the finite difference schemes. Gauss–Seidel relaxation was employed for pressure iteration in the Reynolds equation, and the Multi-Grid techniques were employed with the maximum mesh grid of 256 × 256. The numerical procedure used to solve the lubrication equations is the same as that in Ref. [7].

**Geometry, materials, and loading profiles**

The metal-on-metal total hip replacement was investigated with two sizes of femoral head and clearance: (i) 28-mm head diameter (briefly noted as Head 28) with 40 \( \mu m \) diametrical clearance and (ii) 36-mm head diameter (briefly noted as Head 36) with 50 \( \mu m \) diametrical clearance. The clearance values are typical of those used in simulator studies allowing comparison with the trends found in the published literature to be made. The composite surface roughness of 40 nm of the cup and
head bearing surfaces was employed. The cup was assumed to be firmly fixed to the pelvic bone through an equivalent layer representing bone and/or fixation cement. The material and geometrical parameters are presented in Table 1. An illustration of the hip implant with applied loading and motions is shown in Figure 4. The loading and motion patterns of gait cycles employed in Leeds II (ProSim) hip simulator was considered in this study (Figure 5), composed of one load and two motions, flexion-extension and internal-external rotation, and no internal-external rotation, that is, $\omega_z=0$. There were $n=100$ time steps in the total cyclic time of 1 s. The inclination angle of cup was set to $45^\circ$. In this study, microseparation was not considered.

**Figure 4.** Illustration of a metal-on-metal hip bearing.
Table 1. Geometrical and Material Parameters of a MOM Total Hip Replacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head diameter (mm)</td>
<td>28 and 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diametrical clearance (μm)</td>
<td>40 and 50m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent support thickness (mm)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus of metal (GPa)</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus of equivalent support (GPa)</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's ratio of metal</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's ratio of equivalent support</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity of synovial fluid (Pa s)</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

A range of different wear coefficients were investigated for Head 36 bearing with a fixed power term (a) of 2.24 in Figure 5. The solid curve shows the wear prediction of 12 million cycles using wear coefficient of $k_w=1 \times 10^{-9}$ mm$^3$/(Nm); the “dot” curve shows 6 million cycles using $k_w=2 \times 10^{-9}$ mm$^3$/(Nm); the “cross”-curve shows 4 million cycles using $k_w=3 \times 10^{-9}$ mm$^3$/(Nm). These wear coefficient values are in agreement with literatures which ranged from $1 \times 10^{-9}$ to $1 \times 10^{-8}$ mm$^3$/(Nm) [22, 23, 25]. The predicted wear is plotted as a function of the product of time (number of cycles) and wear coefficient, which is shown in the bracket in Eq. (4). The reason this scaling has been used is that if the wear is updated frequently enough, then all the lines will coincide as doubling the wear factor will have exactly the same effect as doubling the number of cycles. Confirming that these wear results coincide is, therefore, a good demonstration of an appropriate wear update rate and the reason why 0.1 million cycles was chosen as the update frequency of the joint wear.
A value of 2.24 for the power term (a) in the wear Eq. (1) was found to reasonably capture surface wear by Sharif et al. [44] although the different geometry and surface characteristics may result in a different value being more appropriate, requiring further investigation. In this study, a range of values 1.2, and 2.24 of the power term were used for comparisons (Figure 7). Dowson (2006) [33] obtained a relationship for the global wear volume as a function of the minimum film thickness and it is interesting to note that the factor used in this work is of a similar magnitude as that used in Dowson's global approximation (1.49). The nonlinear trend in the predicted wear has been found more obviously with a higher value of the power term.
Figure 7. Effect of the power term (a) on the accumulated (A) wear volume and (B) maximum wear depth; Head 36; wear coefficient $k_w = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{mm}^3/\text{Nm}$.

For the rest of the results, the power term was fixed to 2.24 and the wear coefficient was fixed to $1 \times 10^{-9} \text{mm}^3/\text{Nm}$. For both Head 36 and Head 28, wear simulations were completed for 15 million cycles. Separate wear on cup and head surfaces are shown in Figure 8(A,B) for Head 36 hip implants and Figure 8(C,D) for Head 28, respectively. In order to present the nonlinear wear trend in Figure 8 clearly, the wear rate are listed in Table 2 for both Head 36 and Head 28 hip bearings. The wear rate (wear volume per million cycles) was calculated as the difference in the accumulated wear between the beginning and the end of each million cycles. The percentage was calculated based on that of the first million cycles. For example, for Head 28, the wear rate was reduced significantly from 0.0302 mm$^3$/million cycle in the first million cycle to 0.0099 mm$^3$/million cycle (32.8% of that in the first million cycle) after 12 million cycles, and became more stable at 0.0085 mm$^3$/million cycle (28.1%) after 15 million cycles. It is also observed that the accumulated wear on the cup and head surfaces are very similar to each other, while the maximum wear depth shows a more substantial difference.
Figure 8. Accumulated wear and maximum wear depth of Head 36 (A and B) and Head 28 (C and D) bearings; power term $a=2.24$; wear coefficient $k_w=1 \times 10^{-6}$mm$^3$/Nm.

Table 2. Wear Rate (mm$^3$/MC) (Based on Figure 8A and C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wear rate</th>
<th>1$^{st}$ MC</th>
<th>2$^{nd}$ MC</th>
<th>4$^{th}$ MC</th>
<th>6$^{th}$ MC</th>
<th>8$^{th}$ MC</th>
<th>12$^{th}$ MC</th>
<th>15$^{th}$ MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head 36</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>0.0119</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head 28</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
<td>0.0246</td>
<td>0.0207</td>
<td>0.0183</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The development of wear scar (wear profile contours at selected time intervals, 8 and 15 million cycles) for the cup and head surface is presented in Figure 9 for the Head 36 joint bearing. The time averaged pressure and film thickness at the central cross-section [illustrated as the dash line in Figure 4] of the cup bearing surface are plotted in Figure 10. The value of pressure or film thickness at each mesh point was averaged through the cyclic gait time. It illustrated how the distribution of pressure and gap changed due to change of surface profile before and after wear.
Figure 9. Wear scar on (A and B) cup and (C and D) head; Head 36; power term $a = 2.24$; wear coefficient $k_w = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{mm}^3/(\text{Nm})$ (Horizontal axis is azimuthal angle and vertical axis is polar angle with both unit of degrees; MC = million cycles.)
Figure 10. Time-averaged pressure distribution (A) and film thickness distribution (B) along the cross-section line as shown in Figure 4 (dash line). The value is averaged over a walking cycle time; Head 36; power term $a = 2.24$; wear coefficient $k_w = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{mm}^3/(\text{Nm})$.

Discussion

Despite the simplicity of the wear model and constant wear coefficient assumed in the wear components of the model, a classic wear result for the artificial hip is produced computationally by including EHL effects, resulting in a single wear coefficient, rather needing to apply an initial bedding-in wear coefficient followed by a steady one. Such an approach can capture the general trend alludes to the importance of including the fluid lubrication regime in determining the underlying physics of the problem. Two wear stages were obtained, the bedding-in stage within the first few million cycles where the wear rate is higher, followed by the steady-state wear stage where the wear rate is reduced significantly (approximately 50% to 70% reduced) [Figure 8(A,C) and Table 2]. Although this result is not as dramatic as those sometimes encountered in experimental testing, we believe that the nonlinear wear rate we have demonstrated provides a significant route to explain why the mixed lubrication regime is so important and points to potential future developments which
could be taken in developing more advanced mixed lubrication wear models to improve the correlation further.

The reduced wear rate is attributable to the change in the surface profile, which results in a decrease in pressure in the thin film lubricated area. The change in the surface profile as a result of the surface wear effectively make the head and cup geometries of a more similar radius, increased the loading area, increased the local gap, and decreased the peak pressures. This can be seen from the time averaged pressure and film thickness distribution (Figure 10), which representing the averaged load and motion situations in gait cycle. With the effect of lubrication considered, the current wear model is able to capture the nonlinear wear that practically occurs.

It is interesting to observe that although the wear volume of the head and cup is the same—as would be assumed from the wear model which assumes the same wear rate for the head and cup—the maximum wear depth is not the same for the two hip bearing surfaces (Figure 8). This is because of the transient nature of the wear process and where it occurs on the head and cup, leading to different shaped wear scars (Figure 9). The wear scar on head surfaces of Head 28 clearly showed a double lobed shape [Figure 9(D)] as observed in hip simulator studies under the same motion conditions (flexion-extension and internal-external rotation)[55].

The accumulated wear volume of Head 36 was found to be lower than that of Head 28, approximately by 30% (0.12 mm³ versus 0.17 mm³) [Figure 8(A,C)]. This trend is consistent with the experimental work[14, 34] that larger diameter implants resulted in lower wear. The nonlinear property in the predicted wear rate is mainly determined by the surface roughness (Ra) and the power term (a) in the wear formula. Before wear, the cyclic minimum film thickness ranged in 22–37 nm for Head 28 implant, while the corresponding values for Head 36 was much larger ranged in 30–47 nm. The cyclic central film thickness ranged in 38–49 nm with an average value of 44 nm for Head 28; the corresponding values for Head 36 are ranged in 47–66 nm with an average value of 58 nm. If the cyclic central film thicknesses are compared, it can be seen in Figure 2 that the dimensionless wear rate [W/(kwpv)] at 44 nm (corresponding to Head 28) was approximately twice of that at 58 nm (corresponding to Head 36). Therefore, the larger film thickness in Head 36 resulted in a lower wear rate with the same surface roughness (Ra) considered. However, the current simulation was based on a single statistical surface roughness parameter, the real measured surface roughness need to be further studied.
The predicted wear rate is lower than that typically obtained from hip simulators\(^1\)(of the order of 0.01 mm\(^3\) versus 0.1 mm\(^3\) per million cycles simulated). However, the predicted wear is able to be “scaled” against time if the wear coefficient is “scaled,” as proved in Figure\(^6\) and therefore, it is arbitrarily chosen, informed by approximate values. If the wear coefficient is enlarged by 10 times, the predicted wear in 15 million cycles could be plotted as the same curve against the time axis of 1.5 million cycles, which will give a 10 times higher wear rate than the current. This highlighted that the preliminary model is able to predict the reduced wear rate against time even using a linear wear formula, in which the wear rate is linear with the wear coefficient and load.

This coupling of the level of wear to that of the wear rate, via the change in film thickness, has been demonstrated and shown to reproduce the general wear trends encountered experimentally. There is a significant scope to enhance such a model through the inclusion of shear thinning properties and a more comprehensive model of the mixed lubrication regime to reproduce better quantitative agreement between the model and those of experiments. The role of the 20 nm minimum film thickness implemented to ensure computational convergence may also be limiting the level of wear observed, highlighting a need for a more accurate model of wear and the mixed lubrication regime to capture not just the qualitative wear trends but the quantitative levels of wear experimentally observed.

**Conclusions**

This study highlights the importance of including wear and lubrication in the numerical simulation of the articulating hip joint replacements. The resulting model is able to capture how experimentally obtained wear rates vary with time using a linear wear model (with load and wear coefficient) and an EHL simulation of the lubrication in the joint. The gait cycle employed in hip simulator tests has been investigated and wear has been predicted for two sizes of the femoral head of metal-on-metal total hip replacements. The predicted results qualitatively show the two stages of wear bedding-in stage with higher wear rate followed by a phase with a lower wear rate. The model demonstrates the important role that a changing fluid film thickness due to wear plays on the wear process itself. The model results provide an avenue not only to further understand artificial joint wear and how the wear debris moves from where it is generated and out of the joint, but also to optimize the joint geometry to reduce the rate at which wear occurs. The model described here highlights the importance of the change in film thickness on the wear process, further work is
required to more accurately capture the complex mixed lubrication wear process as well as potentially including tribocorrosion effects.
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