Improving Rail Wear and RCF Performance using Laser Cladding
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ABSTRACT

Laser cladding has been considered as a method for improving the wear and RCF performance of standard grade rail. This paper presents results of small scale tests carried out to assess the wear and RCF performance of rail which had been laser clad. Using the Laser Cladding process premium metals can be deposited on to the working surface of standard rail with the aim of enhancing the wear and RCF life of the rail. Various laser clad samples were tested using a twin-disc method. The candidate metals were clad on to standard R260 grade rail discs and were tested against a disc of standard wheel material. During the tests, wear rates and RCF initiation were monitored and compared to those of a standard rail disc. Six candidate cladding materials were chosen for this test: A multi-phase Manganese Steel Variant (MMV), Martensitic Stainless Steel (MSS), TWIP Steel, NiCrBSi, Stellite 12 and Stellite 6. The MSS, Stellite 6, and Stellite 12 samples showed reduced wear rates relative to the standard R260 Grade rail discs, and also produced a reduction in wheel steel wear. The RCF initiation resistance of all of the candidate materials was superior compared to the R260 Grade material.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rail maintenance and replacement represent some of the major costs of running a rail network. Rail lifetime is determined by two major factors; wear and rolling contact fatigue (RCF). The use of premium rail grades is one solution to increasing rail life. However, work carried out in [1, 2 and 3] showed that the laser cladding of rail shows great potential in increasing the RCF performance of standard grade rail. Laser cladding of rail also offers a potentially more cost effective solution to extending rail life as opposed to manufacturing and entire section of rail from premium material. With laser cladding only the top few millimeters of rail need to be treated with the bulk of material being a cheaper standard rail grade. Laser cladding is a hard facing process which offers the opportunity of tailoring certain areas of engineering components and structures to individual operating conditions. For example the rail head needs to have strong tribological properties whereas the web and foot are required to have strong structural properties. It is rare that all requirements can be offered by one material. However, before laser cladding of rail track can widely adopted by the rail industry the process needs to be streamlined to the rail manufacture process and the costs involved brought down. In its current state however, laser cladding is suitable for repair/refurbishment/enhancement of high value track components such as switches, crossings and insulated rail joints. The objective of this work was to characterise the wear and RCF performance of a wide range of laser clad materials with a view to selecting the best performing ones for full scale testing.

Six candidate cladding materials were chosen for this test: A multi-phase Manganese Steel Variant (MMV), Martensitic Stainless Steel (MSS), TWIP Steel, NiCrBSi, Stellite 12 and Stellite 6. These were clad onto the running surface of sample discs machined from R260 grade rail. These materials were chosen as a result of their high hardness and great
proven/expected wear and RCF resistance. Materials were deposited in nominally 1 mm thick layers. 1 or 2 layers were applied, as shown in Figure 1. The width of a deposited bead is typically 4mm and several beads are required to cover the 10 mm wide running band of a twin-disc specimen. Once the surface of a specimen is covered and the deposit cooled the surface has a “ploughed field appearance due to the adjacent beads of deposit. After the required number of layers are applied the discs then need to be ground to achieve the final test surface finish.

2. TEST APPARATUS/METHODOLOGY

A Twin-Disc machine, shown in Figure 2a, was used in this study and is described in detail in [4]. The test samples were machined from sections of wheel and rail. A candidate material was then deposited on to the running band of each rail sample as shown in Figure 1. Only the R260 rail samples were clad with the materials mentioned above. The counter wheel discs were made from R8 wheel steel and were not clad as the focus of this work was the cladding of rails only.

Two types of tests were carried out; one to look at wear and the other RCF. Before each test, the samples were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with acetone. In the wear tests the discs were run for a total of 30,000 cycles in 5,000 cycle intervals. Wear was periodically measured by weighing the discs before the test start and after each subsequent 5000 cycles. The tests were intermittently stopped in order to monitor the evolution of the wear rates of the discs over time. The wear tests were designed to characterize the traction and wear properties of the laser clad samples under dry conditions. Some of the candidate cladding materials were known to work-harden, hence will not show a stable wear rate. Therefore monitoring the evolution of the wear rate allowed a better understanding of the wear characteristics of each material. Micro-hardness of the discs was also measured before and after each test. The RCF test samples were run for 500 cycles under dry conditions to build up plastic flow at the disc surface and initiate cracks. The discs were then run for 50,000 wet cycles [5]. The contact between the discs was wetted by dripping water at a rate of 1 drop per second onto the discs. The contact was wetted to accelerate the rolling contact fatigue process. Both types of test were performed at a slip of 1% and a maximum Hertzian contact pressure of 1500 MPa. An Ellotest B1 Eddy Current Crack Detector was used to show the presence of any fatigue cracks in the specimens during the RCF tests. A differential eddy current probe was offset 0.3 mm from the surface of the rail disc, see Figure 2b. This induces an eddy current in the surface of the disc. Any crack or fissure in the disc surface will disturb this eddy current and these
disturbances are sent back to the detector unit. The unit is calibrated with a reference disc which has a 5mm long wire eroded crack in its surface parallel to the discs axis of rotation.

Six candidate cladding materials were chosen for this test: A multi-phase Manganese Steel Variant (MMV), Martensitic Stainless Steel (MSS), TWIP Steel, NiCrBSi, Stellite 12 and Stellite 6. These were clad onto the running surface of test samples machined from R260 grade rail. Clad samples were prepared with 1 or two layers deposited which gave nominal thicknesses of 1mm and 2mm. However, the clad discs had to be ground back to a diameter of approximately 46mm due to an error during the grinding process. This meant that some of the discs with two layers may not still have had two full layers of clad left on them after grinding.

R260 Grade material was also clad onto a solid R260 disc in 2 layers and tested. This was done in order to check if R260 material could be clad onto damaged track as a direct repair. This disc is referred to as “Clad R260 Grade” in the results section below. Cladding of R260 material directly onto a R260 disc would also reveal any differences in material properties between as rolled material and as clad material. Two types of reference disc were also tested; these were an un-clad R260 grade rail disc, and a disc machined entirely from MMV steel. The testing of a solid MMV disc allowed a comparison of performance between a rail that was manufactured entirely out of MMV and MMV being used just as a cladding on the surface of standard grade rail. The Stellite material comes in different grades, and two variants: Stellite 12 and Stellite 6 were both tested in this work. Stellite 6 was tested in [1] and was found to show superior wear and RCF resistance. The microstructure of Stellite 12 is thought to promote greater RCF resistance over Stellite 6. It was noted however, during manufacture of the discs that Stellite 12 was much harder to clad than Stellite 6. It was therefore decided to test both materials in this work to see if there were any tribological benefits to using Stellite 12 over Stellite 6.

This work follows on from previous tests [1] where much shorter duration wear tests were performed. Results of previous wear testing were found to be inconclusive and it was decided that the short test duration had not been enough to show steady state wear of the samples. The current wear tests were therefore extended to 30,000 cycles to allow the samples to reach a steady state (i.e. fully developed work hardening, ratchetting or shakedown) which would be expected in around 17500 cycles for a normal grade 240Hv rail steel [5].
3. RESULTS

3.1 Traction

Figure 3 shows average traction results for each of the different claddings compared to an unclad reference R260 grade rail disc. The dry traction data was taken from the wear tests and the wet data taken from the RCF tests.

![Average traction coefficients for each cladding in both dry and wet conditions. Ref indicates reference, 1L indicates 1 clad layer and 2L indicates 2 clad layers](image)

3.2 Wear Tests

Figure 4 shows the evolution of the wear rate of the rail discs. The results have been compared to tests carried out by Tyfour et al. [6] in which the wear rate evolution of BS11 rail rolling against R8A wheel steel was measured using the same twin-disc machine under the same conditions as used in these tests.

Figure 4 shows that there is a wide variation in the wear behavior of the rail discs. There seems to be 3 distinct groups which the discs can be put into:

- **Group 1** (Figure 4a), where the wear rate stays below 5 μg/cycle. This group includes both the 1 and 2 layer MSS and Stellite 12 discs and the Stellite 6 2 layer disc.
- **Group 2** (Figure 4b), where the wear rate is between 5 and 15 μg/cycle. This group includes both the 1 and 2 layer MMV, the Stellite 6 1 layer disc, the R260 grade reference disc, the clad 2 layer R260 grade disc and the NiCrBSi disc.
- **Group 3** (Figure 4c), where the wear rate remains mainly above 15 μg/cycle. This group includes the MMV reference disc, the BS11 disc [6] and the TWIP disc.
Figure 4. Wear rate evolution of the rail discs under dry conditions. a) Group 1 (Wear < 5 µg/cycle), b) Group 2 (5 > wear < 15 µg/cycle), c) Group 3 (Wear > 15 µg/cycle)

The hardness of each rail disc was compared against the wear rate of the wheel disc that it was tested against as shown in Figure 5. Each disc was sectioned and polished after testing and a Vickers micro-hardness case measurement was taken close to the disc surface. Figure 6 shows the correlation between average rail disc hardness and rail disc wear rate.
Figure 5. Average wear rate of wheel discs in dry conditions plotted against the end-of-test rail disc hardness, colour of data point indicates material/clad type, shape indicates number of layers.

Figure 6. Average wear rate of rail discs in dry conditions plotted against the end-of-test rail disc hardness, colour of data point indicates material/clad type, shape indicates number of layers.

Figure 7 shows the change in hardness of the rail discs before and after the wear tests. Micro-hardness was measured as close to the surface as possible for all of the discs shown in Figure 7 on sectioned specimens with the exception of the R260 Grade reference disc where the hardness was measured on the curved running band before the test. It was decided to leave this specimen in Figure 7 as a reference as the hardness change is still similar to the BS11 and the Clad R260 2L specimens both of which had section micro-hardness measured before and after the test.
Figure 7 shows that all of the discs which had Vickers micro-hardness measured near the surface work hardened with the greatest amount of work hardening show by the BS11, R260 and TWIP samples. It is interesting to note that the hardness of all of the discs represented in Figure 7 increase toward the 600 – 800 Hv range during the test regardless of the starting hardness value.

Micro-hardness was measured at the surface of the wheel discs before and after the wear tests. Hardness readings taken on the disc surface are less reliable than section hardness results due to the curved running surface and hence should only be used as a guide. However it is interesting to note that all the wheel discs started at a mean hardness level of approximately 340 Hv and work hardened during the test to an average range of approximately 600 – 800 Hv as is also seen with the rail discs (Figure 7).
3.3 RCF TESTS

The RCF initiation life of each disc is quoted in rolling distance and results are shown in Figure 8. Tests were limited to 50,000 cycles (~7 km depending on disc size) for practicality reasons. Tests which ran beyond 7.14 km without failure (as defined by the eddy current method, see section 2) were stopped and recorded as run-out tests. 7.14 km equates to approximately 50,000 cycles for a standard 47 mm diameter disc.

Figure 8 shows that none of the clad samples showed any signs of RCF initiation and all ran out to the 50,000 cycle limit. (Note: the Stellite 12 1 layer sample had a reduced diameter, but still completed 50,000 cycles). The RCF initiation life of the reference sample was 3 km showing that all clad samples show superior RCF initiation resistance compared to standard R260 Grade rail. New, untested specimens of the best performing samples from the wear tests (MSS and Stellite 12 both 1 and 2 layer and Stellite 6 just 2 layer) were tested to 100,000 cycles. However, none showed any signs of crack initiation after being tested to double the original endurance limit.

4. SUB-SURFACE SPECIMEN INSPECTION

Each of the tested specimens along with an un-tested specimen of the same material were sectioned, polished, mounted and etched and then inspected under a light microscope. The quality of the deposit/interface, depth of deformation and surface damage was assessed. Electron Backscatter Diffraction, EBSD, was performed on some of the sections to gauge the amount of deformation below the surface and more information can be found in [7]. The microstructure of the HAZ of all the samples tested was also checked and found to consist of lamellar pearlite with no embrittling martensite structures seen. Figure 9 shows micrographs of a selection of the wear samples sectioned.

4.1 Wear Specimens

The R260 material clad onto a R260 specimen (Figure 9b) was only clad in two layers and it was found that the microstructure of the deposited layer was actually somewhere between that of R220 and R260 grade rail. This suggested that the carbon content of the deposit was somewhat lower than that of the parent R260 material.

Sub-surface deformation was measured in both the clad and un-clad R260 grade samples using a Prior Lineal Traverse Machine. Deformation depths were found to be similar for both samples being on average 643 µm and 607 µm for the un-clad and clad samples respectively. There were also similar wear rates seen between the two samples with 9.64 and 9.42 µm/cycle seen for the un-clad and clad samples respectively.

The Stellite 6 specimen (Figure 9c) showed the best deposit quality being virtually porosity free and with excellent fusion at the deposit/substrate interface. This was closely followed by the MMS sample (Figure 9e) which also showed excellent fusion at the interface and only small areas of small porosity in the deposit. It is interesting to note that these two materials exhibited very low wear rates compared to the reference R260 sample.

The Stellite 12 (Figure 9d) sample also exhibited a relatively low wear rate. Sub-surface examination, however, revealed cyclic porosity on the clad/substrate interface. This porosity could potentially be a site for the nucleation of fatigue cracks. It is observed in the field that the failure of track component repair welds arises from inclusions within the weld itself. This porosity could potentially be eliminated with changes to the cladding parameters and despite its presence the Stellite 12 samples were still some of the best performers in terms of wear.
There was a large amount of porosity mostly present within the deposits of the 1 and 2 layer MMV samples (Figure 9f). Some of this porosity was very close to the interface and still had deposit powder trapped within it. The interfaces of both MMV samples was continuous with no lack of fusion.

Only the 1 layer NiCrBSi was assessed in the wear tests. The 1 layer deposit (Figure 9g) showed a small amount of porosity distributed within the sample. However, there was a vertical crack in the untested 2 layer disc which extended through the full thickness of the deposit. It is assumed that similar cracks were also present in the 1 layer sample. The interface of the 1 and 2 layer NiCrBSi discs was continuous with no lack of fusion. However, some porosity can be seen in the deposit close to the interface in the 2 layer sample.

Only the 1 layer TWIP sample (Figure 9h) was tested and was found to contain only minor porosity with some larger pores isolated within the deposit. This was the only sample which did not show an even clad/substrate boundary. The interface of the TWIP sample had a turbulent appearance. Whether this led to the high wear rate of the TWIP sample as seen in Figure 4 cannot be determined. However, it does suggest that the cladding parameters were not fully optimized which may have resulted in changes to the materials wear resistance. This is also supported by the marked increase in the porosity seen in the untested 2 layer sample. The amount of sub-surface deformation was much less than in the R260 reference sample despite the large amount of plastic flow which was seen on the running surface of the disc after testing.
Figure 9. Subsurface micrograph of wear samples: a) Un-Clad R260 after 30,000 cycles, b) Clad R260 after 30,000 cycles, c) Stellite 6 2 Layer after 30,000 cycles, d) Stellite 12 2 Layer after 30,000 cycles, e) MSS 2 Layer after 30,000 cycles, f) MMV 2 Layer after 30,000 cycles, g) NiCrBSi 1 Layer un-tested, h) TWIP 1 Layer after 30,000 cycles
Figure 10 shows an area of porosity at the surface of the 2 layer MMV sample. It can be seen that the material below the pore deforms to a much greater extent than the surrounding material and cracks have developed around the pore within this deformed region. Thus it can be seen that any porosity within the deposit is likely to be detrimental to the wear performance of the deposit.

All of the clad samples exhibited little or no subsurface deformation compared to the R260 reference sample. In the R260 disc the deformation depth was on average 650 µm. However, for all of the clad wear specimens the depth of deformation below the surface was so shallow that it was not even possible to measure using an optical microscope.

The hardness of the HAZ of all the 1 layer samples was slightly greater than the 2 layer samples. This is presumed to be due to the higher cooling rate of the 1 layer samples during the cladding process.

4.2 RCF Specimens

Sectioning of the RCF specimens revealed that the only specimens to show extensive RCF-like cracks were the un-clad R260 reference disc and the clad 2 layer R260 disc. A section of an un-clad R260 RCF specimen which failed after 32,500 cycles is shown in Figure 11. Figure 11 shows there is an extensive network of RCF cracks within the zone of heavy deformation. The depth of deformation in this sample was roughly 630 µm.
The microstructure of the clad R260 samples resembled that of R220 rail material and showed a similar depth of deformation to the un-clad R260 discs at 494 µm.

All of the other clad RCF samples showed no signs of RCF crack initiation. Some of the samples triggered the eddy current detector before the 50,000 cycle run-out and this is thought likely to be due to inclusions in the deposit such as porosity or cracks emanating from inclusions near the surface. No classic RCF cracks were seen in any of the discs clad with premium materials.

Although one of the best performers in terms of wear and RCF resistance, the Stellite 12 samples had gross porosity present within the deposit as seen in Figure 12. However, despite this the 1 layer sample which was tested to 50,000 cycles contained no RCF cracks and no evidence was seen of any surface damage or sub-surface deformation.

The 2 layer sample triggered the eddy current crack detector at 45,000 cycles but no evidence of surface damage or embryonic RCF cracks were seen when the samples were sectioned. No deformation in the deposit was seen either. It is likely that the detector was triggered by the gross porosity in the clad layer.

A 1 layer Stellite 12 sample was tested to 100,000 cycles, however upon inspection showed no signs of surface damage or RCF crack initiation. EBSD of the sample also showed no evidence of subsurface deformation. Generally this sample was free of porosity relative to the other samples tested with only a few isolated spots of smaller porosity.
The 2 layer Stellite 6 RCF sample was internally free of porosity unlike the Stellite 12 samples. Some evidence of surface damage was seen in the 2 layer sample which was tested to 50,000 cycles. However, no subsurface deformation and hence no RCF cracks were seen. Figure 13 shows a second 2 layer sample which was tested to 100,000 cycles with the surface appearing virtually untested. EBSD performed on this sample showed no signs of subsurface damage.

The 1 layer MMV disc had extensive porosity throughout the deposit as seen in Figure 14. Cracks seem to have developed from the edges of the pores closest to the specimen surface similar to what was seen in the MMV wear sample as shown in Figure 10.

The uneven appearance of the MMV sample surface seen in Figure 14 is evidence of surface damage however, no embryonic RCF cracks were seen in the sample.

The solid MMV RCF sample ran-out to 50,000 cycles. However, the surface of the solid MMV sample looked virtually untested and no RCF cracks were observed. A very shallow layer of deformation was evident below the surface of the sample.

Micro-hardness traverses of the solid and clad MMV discs showed that the hardness of the solid disc is significantly lower than that of the deposited material on the clad disc. This is assumed to be due to the high cooling rate of the, relatively thin, as deposited layer of the clad disc compared to the solid disc which was machined from a much larger block of the MMV material.
No RCF cracks were observed in the 1 layer MSS sample which was tested to 50,000 cycles. However, there seemed to be a shallow region of deformation between 20 and 50 µm below the surface of the sample. The 2 layer sample on the other hand showed signs of embryonic RCF crack formation at the surface and showed a greater depth of deformation as shown in Figure 15a.

![Figure 15. Subsurface micrographs of the MSS RCF samples: a) 2 layer sample after 50,000 cycles in the etched condition, b) 1 layer sample after 100,000 cycles in the etched condition](image)

A separate 1 layer sample was also tested to 100,000 cycles and was seen to exhibit less deformation than the 2 layer sample tested to 50,000 cycles. No typical RCF cracks were seen in this sample, however, cracks were seen around areas of porosity, as shown in Figure 15b; some of which were sub-surface and some exposed at the surface. EBSD showed that the depth of deformation in the 100,000 cycle sample is roughly 50µm.

The wear rate of the 1 layer RCF TWIP specimen was substantially lower in the wet conditions of the RCF test compared to the dry running of the Wear tests. The wear rate under wet conditions was 0.82 µg/cycle even lower than the reference R260 disc under the same conditions which was 1.69 µg/cycle. This is in stark contrast to the wear rates seen in the dry conditions of the wear tests. No RCF cracks were seen in the sample and only a very shallow layer of deformation was seen. Also no RCF cracks were seen in the 1 layer NiCrBSi sample tested to 50,000 cycles.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Traction

In dry conditions the majority of discs showed a traction coefficient above 0.35 with the two exceptions being the 1 layer NiCrBSi and the 1 layer TWIP samples both giving coefficients of 0.29 and 0.25 respectively. In wet conditions all of the discs gave values within a similar range. Apart from the NiCrBSi and TWIP discs none of the traction
coefficients of the clad discs deviated far from the R260 Grade reference sample, indicating that in the field MSS, Stellite 12 and MMV clads would give similar adhesion levels to un-clad R260 Grade rail. Interestingly, in dry conditions, the 2 layer clad R260 and MMV samples show higher traction than their un-clad reference counterparts.

5.2 Wear

The best performing cladding in this test was 1 layer MSS where the wear rate remained consistently below 1 \( \mu \text{g/cycle} \). The discs in group 1 (see Section 3.2) seemed to reach a steady state of wear relatively quickly (within 5000 cycles) where upon there was little variation in the wear rate after this point. Most of the discs in group 2 reached a maximum wear rate after which a lower cyclical wear rate was seen. However, the R260 Grade reference sample and the clad R260 sample both seemed to show gradually increasing wear rates. The worst performing disc, in terms of wear, was the 1 layer TWIP sample which showed a wear rate far in excess of any other sample tested. The wear rate of the TWIP disc also increased throughout the test. It was observed that there is a large degree of plastic deformation on the surface of the TWIP sample. What is not easy to determine here is whereabouts the wear rate of each sample lies on the curve representing the life of typical tribological components as shown in Figure 16 [8].

Due to the scaled nature of the tests and the range of mechanical properties of each of the materials tested it is difficult to say whereabouts 30,000 test cycles places each of the samples on the curve shown in Figure 16. It is possible that the samples in group 1 “Steady wear rate” may be in the “Steady Wear” regime as per Figure 16. It is also possible that those materials in group 1 do not exhibit typical wear behavior and instead transition straight to “Steady Wear”. Thus materials in Groups 2 and 3 which show increasing wear behavior may still be in the “Run-In” phase and may potentially show steady wear if the tests were continued beyond 30,000 cycles.

In this work wear has been expressed as a rate i.e. total mass loss divided by the number of cycles (\( \mu \text{g/cycle} \)). For the values of wear rate expressed in Table 1 it is easy to assume a linear amount of material loss over a given time frame. However, as shown in Figure 4, and discussed in great detail in [9], the wear rate is constantly changing as different wear mechanisms come to dominate due to shifts in wear transition. With reference to Figure 4 it seems as if 30,000 cycles was sufficient for most of the samples to transition into the “steady wear” regime as depicted in Figure 16.
What can be definitely concluded, however, is that the materials in Group 1 show wear rates far lower than any other of the materials that even if the group 2 and 3 materials were to eventually reach steady wear the differences in cumulative material loss between the groups would be very large. It can be noticed from Figure 4 how the 1 layer Stellite 6 sample does not wear similar to its 2 layer counterpart and thus is in group 2 as opposed to group 1. It is not clear why this is, however, the 1 and 2 layer Stellite 6 samples were manufactured by different methods. The 1 layer sample was clad from a pre-machined disc whereas the 2 layer sample was manufactured by cladding a length of R260 grade cylinder which was then machined into discs. Only 2 of the samples used in this project were manufactured using this second method. All others were manufactured by cladding pre-machined discs. It should be noted that the chart in Figure 16 is representative of many tribological applications. However, it may not truly represent in-service conditions and is only used to support observations in these tests. Table 1 shows the average (overall) wear rates for the wheel and rail discs under dry conditions. The wear rates of each of the clad discs is also expressed as a percentage of the standard R260 Grade reference disc.

In Table 1 any wear rate which was in excess of either the reference (R260 Grade) wheel or rail, i.e. 100% or greater, has been highlighted red. Any wear rate which is less than the reference is highlighted green indicating good wear performance. Wear rates which are less than 50% of the reference case are highlighted light green indicating superior wear performance. Six of the rail samples tested are in the good/superior wear category. These are: R260 Grade Clad, NiCrBSi 1L, both MSS samples, both Stellite 12 samples and both Stellite 6 samples. Of those 6, only the MSS and Stellite 12 samples showed superior wear performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clad</th>
<th>Layers</th>
<th>Overall Wear Rate</th>
<th>Percentage of 260 Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wheel</td>
<td>Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS11 [6]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>16.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R260 Grade</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>9.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clad R260</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCrBSi 1L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>9.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSS 1L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSS 2L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellite 12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellite 12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellite 6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellite 6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMV Ref</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMV 1L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMV 2L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>10.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWIP 1L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>35.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all but one of the cases tested the wheel wear rate was classified as good/superior with Stellite 6 and TWIP, both 1 layer, showing superior wheel wear rates. Figure 5 shows the wear rates of the wheel discs plotted against the measured rail disc hardness. It is difficult to draw a conclusion from this data as the surface disc hardness will have changed throughout the test. What is of more relevance is that the wear rate of the wheels has not increased as a result of running against a harder rail as would be expected. In all but one cases the wear of the wheel is lower than the reference case and in some cases substantially.

It is not clear why the number of layers of clad should make a difference to the wear rate of a particular clad specimen as from a tribological point of view the interface is still made of the same composition of materials on the surfaces. However, the cooling rates experienced during manufacture of the 1 and 2 layer samples could be different resulting in differences in microstructure. The effective stiffness of the samples will also be effected by the thickness of the clad layer on top of the substrate but how this would combine with other factors such as: surface topography, hardness etc., to effect the overall wear rate is unclear.
The highest average wear rate was shown for the TWIP rail disc in dry conditions. Excluding the TWIP sample the highest wear rate in dry conditions was seen with the solid MMV sample “MMV Ref” with a wear rate of 16.41 $\mu g/$cycle compared to 9.64 $\mu g/$cycle with the, Un-clad, R260 grade reference disc. The clad MMV samples, however, showed a much lower wear rate than the solid MMV disc at 10.25 and 10.42 $\mu g/$cycle for both the 1 layer and 2 layered samples respectively. These wear rates are also in line with the R260 Grade reference sample. The best performing discs in dry conditions were the MSS and Stellite 12 samples with the lowest wear rate shown by the 1 layer MSS sample at 1.04 $\mu g/$cycle. This is almost 10% of the wear rate of the reference sample. The 2 layer MSS sample showed a higher wear rate at 2.63 $\mu g/$cycle. The Stellite 12 samples were the second best performers with wear rates of 4.00 and 3.17 $\mu g/$cycle for the 1 and 2 layer samples respectively. The third best performers were the Stellite 6 samples which both wore more than the Stellite 12 samples with wear rates of 6.66 and 5.03 $\mu g/$cycle for the 1 and 2 layer samples respectively. Even though the Stellite 6 wear rates were higher than Stellite 12 they were still lower than the reference wear rate for un-clad R260. Wheel wear rates were also reduced with the majority of the other clad samples.

R260 Grade material was also clad onto a R260 grade disc to represent a direct repair to a damaged rail and to explore how much the results are dependent on the cladding material and how much on the heating and microstructural effect of the cladding process itself. The wear rate of this rail sample was similar to the reference case, however, the wheel wear rate was reduced. It can therefore be said that for the case of R260 grade rail material the cladding process does not necessarily affect rail wear behavior.

Figure 5 shows a comparison between the mean rail disc hardness and the wear rate of the wheel disc which it was tested against. No correlation between rail hardness and wheel wear can be seen in the data. However, only one of the samples showed a higher wheel wear rate than the reference case; that being the NiCrBSi sample. This suggests that in the majority of cases if a premium/harder material were to be clad onto a standard R260 Grade rail this would not adversely affect the wheel wear rate. In all but one of the claddings tested the wheel wear rate was either unaffected or lower than in the baseline R260 Grade case. This is shown in Table 1. This phenomenon of harder rail material yielding lower wheel and rail wear rates has also been seen in [10-12]. It is interesting to note from the hardness change of the rail discs and the indicated hardness change of the wheel discs that both wheel and rail specimens work hardened toward a range of 600 – 800 Hv.

It should be noted that even though twin-disc testing is a generally accepted small scale test method for testing friction and wear of wheel/rail materials the results yielded cannot be translated directly to the full scale. For example in the field new rails show higher wear rates due to non-conformal contacts between the wheel and rail. The wear rate then reaches a steady state as the contacts conform and the softer decarburised layer is worn from the rail. Such effect cannot be replicated in twin-disc testing. As such it is the relative ranking of the wear rates which need to be noted rather than the absolute wear rates.

### 5.3 RCF

With the R260 Grade reference disc the gates of the eddy current detector were triggered at 2.9 km. Failure in the region of 2.5 – 3.0 km would be expected of a R260 Grade disc tested under these conditions [3]. The clad 2 layer R260 grade disc ran for the shortest distance and underperformed the reference disc with a running duration of only 1.07 km. This was almost a third of the benchmark distance. The only other clad disc not to run-out was the 2 layer Stellite 12 sample which triggered the gates of the eddy current detector at 6.43 km, however, this still represents an endurance of 222% of the R260 Grade disc. All other samples tested did not show any failure and the tests were
stopped at the 7.0 km mark due to project time constraints. Therefore, these discs potentially could have run much further than 7.0 km.

5.4 Run-out RCF Tests

To investigate further the three best performing claddings from the wear tests, new MSS, Stellite 12 and Stellite 6 discs, were tested with the aim of running beyond 7.0 km. However, during these run-out tests the MSS and Stellite 12 discs showed signs of failure early on in the tests (below 1 km). It was therefore decided to perform run-out tests on the discs for a total of 14.0 km which was twice the distance used in the RCF tests. The Stellite 6 sample however, showed no early signs of failure and did not trigger the eddy current detector until 11.9 km. This is 410% of the reference sample. It was decided however, to continue the test up to a 14.0 km limit so that the Stellite 6 sample was comparable to the MSS and Stellite 12 run-out samples. No RCF like cracks were seen in the run-out RCF samples.

5.5. Sub-Surface Inspection

The average measured deposit thickness was 574 µm and 1444 µm for the worn samples labeled 1 layer and 2 layer respectively. Layer thicknesses for some 1 and 2 layer un-worn samples were also measured and the difference was less than 100 µm. It therefore seems that, assuming that the original deposit layers were 1000 µm, roughly 430 – 560 µm is removed during the grinding process. Only 30 – 100 µm on average is removed during the wear tests.

The R260 and MMV materials were used to investigate how the cladding process might change the wear and RCF resistance properties of the clad materials over their un-clad state. This was done by having samples machined directly from either R260 or MMV, and some samples consisting of R260 or MMV clad on top of a machined R260 disc. The wear and RCF properties of the solid R260 and the clad R260 discs were very similar. But there was a marked difference seen in their respective microstructures with the clad R260 resembling that of the less pearlitic R220 steel. A difference in material properties was also seen with the MMV samples with the solid MMV having a distinctly lower hardness compared to the clad MMV sample; the solid MMV sample correspondingly showing a distinctly higher wear rate than its clad counterparts. These changes are a result of a number of factors such as the atomization process of the metals and the cladding process itself. This shows though that the laser cladding process is likely to change the properties of the deposited materials. However, depending on the material this may or may not translate into a change in material performance.

The three best performing specimens in terms of wear were the Stellite 6, the MMS and the Stellite 12. Although not the lowest wearing; the Stellite 6 samples showed the best deposit quality being virtually porosity free and with excellent fusion at the deposit/substrate interface (Figure 9c). The best performing specimen in terms of wear was the MMS sample (Figure 9e) which also showed excellent fusion at the interface but small areas of porosity were present within the deposit. It is interesting to note that these two materials exhibited very low wear rates compared to the reference R260 sample.

The MSS deposit was generally sound with a good quality interface. However, a few isolated spots of gross porosity were seen in the RCF samples with associated cracking at the pores close to the surface of the deposit.

The Stellite 6 deposit was tested due to the difficulties seen with the cladding of Stellite 12 i.e. porosity and cracking. Stellite 6 is not as hard as Stellite 12 and this is shown in the higher wear rate of the Stellite 6 specimen compared to Stellite 12, see Figure 4. Despite this the wear rate of the Stellite 6 specimen was still only 52% of the reference R260 specimen.
The Stellite 12 (Figure 9d) sample also exhibited a relatively low wear rate. However, cyclic porosity on the clad/substrate interface is a potential site for the nucleation of fatigue cracks. It is observed in the field that the failure of track component repair welds arises from inclusions within the weld itself. This porosity could potentially be eliminated with changes to the cladding parameters and despite its presence the Stellite 12 samples were still some of the best performers in terms of wear.

It seems as though the internal integrity of the deposit and the interface are just as important at dictating wear behavior as the material properties themselves. Although material properties do also play a major part in the overall wear and RCF resistance of the materials. This can be seen in the different sub surface features of the MMV, R260 Clad, Stellite 12 and MSS specimens which both contained gross porosity, see Figures 9, 10, 11 and 14 respectively. Despite the internal porosity in the Stellite 12 deposit, no cracking was seen around the inclusions and the sample yielded the second lowest wear rate. This shows that Stellite 12 has the ability to suppress the potential performance limitations caused by the presence of inclusions. The other three materials, to varying extents did not seem to be able to do this.

None of the specimens clad with the premium materials showed any significant levels of deformation compared to the R260 reference specimens even though they showed a similar level of hardness close to the surface post-test. The average depth of deformation for the solid and clad R260 discs was roughly 650 µm. In contrast the depth of deformation on a 1 layer MSS sample was only 50 µm. The depth of deformation for all the other samples was so shallow or absent that it was not possible to measure. As such none of these samples showed any signs of RCF crack nucleation. Some of the samples triggered the eddy current detector before the 50,000 cycle run-out mark and this is thought likely to be due to inclusions in the deposit such as porosity or cracks emanating from inclusions near the surface.

RCF performance of each of the premium materials tested is not possible to determine as none of these samples initiated genuine RCF cracks even when tested to distances far in excess of the reference sample.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Testing of laser clad twin-disc samples has been carried out and the following conclusions can be made:

- MSS and Stellite 12 samples wore at a rate of less than 50% of the reference R260 Grade disc. However both samples contained porosity in their deposited layers with the latter showing the greatest amount of porosity.
- Stellite 6 also shows wear rates of less than 70% of a R260 Grade disc. However, the deposit was much better than either MSS or Stellite 12 having a virtually porosity free deposit and excellent fusion at the HAZ interface.
- Testing also shows that the use of harder deposits on top of the rail does not increase wheel wear rates and in most cases decreased it.
- All of the clad samples showed superior RCF initiation resistance compared to the reference sample.
- However, it is impossible to quantify the RCF resistance performance of the premium deposits as none showed any evidence of RCF crack initiation.
- None of the premium deposits showed any meaningful levels of subsurface deformation compared to the R260 reference sample even though they were tested far in excess of the reference sample.
- These results show that for the conditions tested cladding premium alloys on to standard R260 grade rail has the potential to vastly increase RCF initiation life.
- Cracking around individual pores in some of the specimens shows the necessity to produce porosity free deposits with sound interface between the deposit and HAZ.
Sub-surface inspection of the clad samples showed no delamination of the clad from the R260 Grade base material with the microstructure of the HAZ of all the samples consisting fully of lamellar pearlite with no embrittling martensite formations seen.

Cladding performance seems to be dictated by a mixture of the materials properties and the internal integrity of the deposit.

The next stage will be to take the two best performing materials in this case MMS and Stellite 6 and to clad them onto full-scale rail sections. The clad rails will then be tested for wear and RCF performance using a full-scale wheel/rail test facility. Challenges will be faced in terms of cladding these materials to the much larger specimens, grinding the deposit back to the original profile of the rail, and finding an appropriate test regime which will yield a measurable amount of wear and RCF formation within a reasonable timeframe.
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